## FY2015 Annual Report of Environmental Health Surveillance for Air Pollution (Overview)

#### 1. Method

In both the 3-year-old survey and the 6-year-old survey, a health survey and exposure assessment were conducted as shown below, to analyze and assess the correlation between the concentration of air pollutants and prevalence of asthma and other respiratory symptoms.

In the follow-up analysis, the correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma incidence was analyzed and assessed. Among the subjects of the FY2015 6-year-old survey implemented in 36 survey areas where a follow-up analysis was feasible, the analysis targeted those who also responded to a 3-year-old survey held in FY2011 and FY2012. Children who did not have asthma at the time of the 3-year-old survey but displayed asthma symptoms later at the time of the 6-year-old survey were deemed to have developed asthma.


	3-year-old survey	6-year-old survey
Health	ATS-DLD simplified questionnaires	ATS-DLD simplified questionnaires
survey	(MOE version) were sent to all	(MOE version) were sent to all
	households with 3-year-old children in	households with first-grade children who
	the survey areas. They were filled out	attend a public primary school in a school
	by the children's parents and collected	district that includes the survey area of the
	by staff of 36 local municipalities.	3-year-old survey. They were filled out by
		the children's parents and collected by
		staff of 37 local municipalities.
Exposure	Using the three-year average of annual av	erage concentrations of NO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> and
assessment	SPM at ambient air pollution monitoring	stations in and surrounding the study areas
	between FY2012 and FY2014, the conc	entration of these pollutants at residential
	points of all study subjects was estimated	

#### 2. Results

#### (1) Number of children surveyed and response rate

The 3-year-old survey targeted 84,105 children and obtained 70,770 responses, corresponding to a response rate of 84.14%. The 6-year-old survey targeted 83,794 children and obtained 71,284 responses, corresponding to a response rate of 85.07%.

#### Response rate and number of areas



The follow-up analysis targeted 48,318 children, corresponding to 68.82% of the 70,211 respondents of the 6-year-old survey implemented in areas where a follow-up analysis was feasible.


### (2) Results of the cross-sectional analysis of the 3-year-old survey and 6-year-old survey

# 1) Prevalence of respiratory symptoms at each background concentration level for each subject group

The prevalence of respiratory symptoms was analyzed by background concentration level of air pollutants with respect to each subject group, with the result showing no tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants in neither the 3-year-old survey nor the 6-year-old survey.


With respect to SO<sub>2</sub>, it is thought that its range of background concentration levels was too limited to sufficiently analyze any trend.


### 3-year-old survey





(Note) Background SPM concentration in Naha city was treated as missing, as SPM measurement results for FY2012 could not be obtained from the Naha monitoring station (also applies to survey results shown below).


# 6-year-old survey












# 2) Average background concentration and respiratory symptom prevalence in each survey area for each subject group

The correlation between average background concentration and the prevalence of respiratory symptoms was analyzed by survey area with respect to each subject group, but neither the 3-year-old survey nor 6-year-old survey indicated a tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations.

#### 3-year-old survey


Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence (adjusted rates) – All subjects (boys + girls)



- \*1 Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2015 Survey areas.
- \*2 The adjusted rates are the prevalence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects by the composition ratio of each type of allergy.

### 6-year-old survey

Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence (adjusted rates) – All subjects (boys + girls)



- \*1 Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2015 Survey areas.
- \*2 The adjusted rates are the prevalence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects by the composition ratio of each type of allergy.

#### 3) Study of factors influencing asthma prevalence

In the 3-year-old survey, the study indicated that the following factors contribute to the prevalence of asthma symptoms: gender (male), smoking habits of family members at home (mother), smoking habits of family members at home (other member), the presence of pets (present), daytime caretaker (nursery center), feeding during the first 3 months after birth (milk only) and allergic history of subject and parents (positive history). In the 6-year-old survey, the influencing factors were: gender (male), smoking habits of family members (mother), the presence of pets (present), feeding during the first 3 months after birth (milk only) and allergic history of the subject and parents (positive history). With regard to air pollutants, no significant positive correlation was found.

Odds Ratios of Factors Influencing Asthma Prevalence

		3-year-old surv	ey		6-year-old su	rvey
NO <sub>2</sub>	Per 10ppb increase	0.75	*		0.95	
NOx	Per 10ppb increase	0.83	*		1.00	
$SO_2$	Per 10ppb increase	0.99			0.87	
SPM	Per 10µg/m3 increase	0.82	*		0.89	
Gender	Male	1.70~1.71	*		1.47~1.49	*
	Female	1.00			1.00	
Smoking habits of	Mother	1.41~1.47	*		1.58~1.61	*
family	Other member	$1.25 \sim 1.29$	*		1.10~1.11	
members	No smoker	1.00			1.00	
Housing structure	Wooden with	$0.98 \sim 1.04$			1.10~1.13	
	wooden frame					
	Wooden with metal	$0.93 \sim 0.99$			$0.83 \sim 0.85$	*
	frame					
	Reinforced concrete	1.00			1.00	
Heating method	No exhaust system	$1.01 \sim 1.05$			$1.05 \sim 1.08$	
	Exhaust system	1.00			1.00	
Dwelling period	Since birth	$0.90 \sim 0.93$		Since birth	$0.89 \sim 0.90$	*
	More than 1 year	$0.95 \sim 0.99$		More than 3 years	$0.95 \sim 0.96$	
	Less than 1 year	1.00		Less than 3 years	1.00	
Pets	Yes	1.31	*		1.21	*
	No	1.00			1.00	
Daytime	Nursery center	$1.38 \sim 1.43$	*			
caretaker	Others	1.00				
Feeding during	Milk only	$1.35 \sim 1.38$	*		1.20	*
the first 3 months	Breast feeding and	$1.05 \sim 1.09$			1.05	
after birth	milk					
	Breast feeding only	1.00			1.00	
History of	Yes	$1.95 \sim 1.96$	*		$2.24 \sim 2.27$	*
allergies (subject)	No	1.00			1.00	
History of	Yes	$2.52 \sim 2.58$	*		$2.13 \sim 2.17$	*
allergies (parents)	No	1.00			1.00	

<sup>\*</sup> All odds ratios estimated for each air pollutant (NO2, NOx, SO2, SPM) are statistically significant (P<0.05)

<sup>(</sup>Note 1) The numerical ranges shown in the above chart indicate the range between the minimum and maximum odds ratios that have been estimated for each air pollutant (NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, SPM).

<sup>(</sup>Note 2) To examine the correlation between asthma prevalence and major factors (items between "gender" and "history of allergies (parents)" above) in detail, odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression analysis using the major factors and air pollutant concentrations as explanatory variables.

#### (3) Longitudinal and comprehensive analysis of 3-year-old survey data\*

In the longitudinal analysis of data from FY1997 to FY2015, a number of survey areas showed an increase or decrease in asthma prevalence during that time period. However, an overall declining trend in air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence was observed in the aggregated data of all survey regions.

In the comprehensive analysis of integrated data from FY1997 to FY2015, the prevalence of respiratory symptoms in each subject group according to the background levels of pollutant concentrations and according to the average background concentration level in each survey area, showed no tendency for asthma prevalence (adjusted rate) to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants compared to areas with lower concentrations. Even in areas with high concentrations, asthma incidence was around the same level or in some cases higher than areas with high concentrations of air pollutants. The examination of odds ratios showed no significance positive correlation.

\* The data on asthma prevalence obtained in FY1996, the first year of the survey, was simply used as reference in the longitudinal and comprehensive analysis, because it showed values that were distinctly lower than data from other years.

#### (4) Longitudinal and comprehensive analysis of 6-year-old survey data

In the longitudinal analysis of data from FY2004 to FY2015, a number of survey areas showed an increase or decrease in asthma prevalence during that time period. However, an overall declining trend in air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence was observed in all regions.

In the comprehensive analysis of integrated data from FY2004 to FY2015, the prevalence of respiratory symptoms in each subject group according to the background levels of pollutant concentrations and according to the average background concentration level in each survey area, showed no tendency for asthma prevalence (adjusted rate) to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants compared to areas with lower concentrations. Even in areas with high concentrations, asthma prevalence was around the same level or in some cases higher than areas with high concentrations of air pollutants. The examination of odds ratios showed a significance positive correlation with an odds ratio of 1.03 for SPM.


### (5) Results of the follow-up analysis

#### 1) Asthma incidence at each background concentration level


Asthma incidence was analyzed by background concentration of air pollutants with respect to each subject group, but there was no tendency for incidence rates to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations.

With respect to SO<sub>2</sub>, it is thought that its range of background concentration levels was too limited to sufficiently analyze any trend.


Asthma incidence by NO  $_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\,$  concentration




Asthma incidence by NOx concentration



Asthma incidence by  $SO_2$  concentration




Asthma incidence by SPM concentration



#### 2) Average background concentration and asthma incidence in each survey area

The correlation between average background concentration and asthma incidence was analyzed by survey area with respect to each subject group, but asthma incidence did not tend to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations compared to areas with lower concentrations. In fact, some areas with low air pollutant concentrations had the same or higher incidence of asthma compared to areas with high air pollutant concentrations.



Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma incidence (adjusted rates) –

Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2015 Survey areas.

6

ppb

The adjusted rates are the incidence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects of the 3-year-old survey by the composition ratio of each type of allergy and the average of the follow-up analysis

0% 0

 $\mu$  g/m<sup>3</sup>

10

The background concentration level for each subject group in each survey area was taken from the background concentration level measured at the time of the 3-year-old survey.

#### 3) Study of factors influencing asthma incidence

The study indicated that the following factors contribute to the incidence of asthma: gender (male), smoking habits of family members at home (mother), the presence of pets (present), feeding during the first 3 months after birth (milk only) and allergic history of the subject and parents (positive history). With regard to air pollutants, no results were obtained that showed a significant positive correlation.

Odds Ratios of Factors Influencing Asthma Incidence

NO <sub>2</sub>	Per 10ppb increase	0.98	
NOx	Per 10ppb increase	1.00	
SO <sub>2</sub>	Per 10ppb increase	0.72	
SPM	Per 10μg/m3 increase	0.97	
Gender	Male	1.35~1.37	*
	Female	1.00	
Smoking habits of	Mother	1.43~1.47	*
family members	Other member	1.08~1.10	
	No smoker	1.00	
Housing structure	Wooden with wooden frame	0.84~0.87	
	Wooden with metal frame	0.84~0.86	*
	Reinforced concrete	1.00	
Heating method	No exhaust system	1.08~1.10	
	Exhaust system	1.00	
Address change	Change of address	1.07~1.09	
	Same address	1.00	
Pets	Yes	1.24~1.25	*
	No	1.00	
Daytime caretaker	Nursery center	0.91~0.93	
	Others	1.00	
Feeding during the first	Milk only	1.28~1.30	*
3 months after birth	Breast feeding and milk	1.07	
	Breast feeding only	1.00	
History of	Yes	2.07~2.10	*
allergies (subject)	No	1.00	
History of	Yes	1.70~1.72	*
allergies (parents)	No	1.00	

<sup>\*</sup>All odds ratios estimated for each air pollutant (NO2, NOx, SO2, SPM) are statistically significant (P<0.05)

<sup>(</sup>Note 1) The numerical ranges shown in the above chart indicate the range between the minimum and maximum odds ratios that have been estimated for each air pollutant (NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, SPM).

<sup>(</sup>Note 2) To examine the correlation between asthma incidence and major factors (items between "gender" and "history of allergies (parents)" above) in detail, odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression analysis using the major factors and air pollutant concentrations as explanatory variables.