1	CAS No.: 2921-88-2	Substance: Chlorpyrifos
Chemic	al Substances Control Law Refe	erence No.: 5-3724
PRTR I	Law Cabinet Order No.*: 1-249	
Molecu	lar Formula: C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> PS	
Molecu	lar Weight: 350.59	Structural formula:
		$H_{3}C - CH_{2} $ $H_{3}C - CH_{2} $ $H_{2}C - O $ $H_{2}C - O $ $H_{2}C - CI $ $CI $ $C$
*Note:	No. in Revised Cabinet Order e	nacted on October 1, 2009

## 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 0.73 mg/1000g (20°C), the partition coefficient (1-octanol/water) (log K<sub>ow</sub>) is 5.27, and the vapor pressure is  $1.87 \times 10^{-5}$  mmHg (= $2.49 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa) (25°C). The biodegradability (aerobic degradation) is characterized by a BOD degradation rate of 0.2%, and bioaccumulation is thought to be at a medium level. Furthermore, its half-life for hydrolysis is 62 days (pH=4.7, distilled water, 25°C), 35 days (pH=6.9, distilled water, 25°C), and 22 days (pH=8.1, distilled water, 25°C).

This substance is a registered agricultural chemical under the Agricultural Chemicals Regulation Law, designated as a Type II and Type III Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances, and designated as a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). This substance is primarily an active ingredient in organophosphate pesticides; it is blended with dilutants, auxiliaries or other pesticides, formulated in various forms such as wettable powders and emulsions, and used widely. The production quantity in 2008 as an active ingredient was 15 t.

## 2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in fiscal 2007 under the PRTR Law was 91 t, and all releases were unreported. Distribution by medium in the environment predicted by using a multi-media model indicated that the proportion distributed to soil was an estimated 99.8% for areas where the greatest releases were to the environment and soil.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC), which indicates exposure to aquatic organisms, is less than around  $0.3 \mu g/L$  for public freshwater bodies and less than around  $0.01 \mu g/L$  for seawater.

## 3. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 96-h median effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) of 138  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the Chrysophyceae algae *Isochrysis galbana*; a 96-h median lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) of 0.035  $\mu$ g/L for the Mysidacea crustacean *Americamysis bahia*; a 48-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.035  $\mu$ g/L for the Daphniidae crustacean *Daphnia ambigua*; and a 96-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.4  $\mu$ g/L for the fish species *Menidia peninsulae* (silverside). Accordingly, based on these acute toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) 0.00035  $\mu$ g/L was obtained. A 10-d LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.07  $\mu$ g/L for the bloodworm *Chironomus tentans* was also obtained.

With regard to chronic toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 96-h no observed effect concentration (NOEC) of 400  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the green algae *Dunaliella tertiolecta*; a 32-d NOEC of 0.045  $\mu$ g/L for

reproductive inhibition in the crustacean *Ceriodaphnia* cf. *dubia* that belongs to the same genus as *Ceriodaphnia dubia*; and a 35-d NOEC of 0.14  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the fish species *Leuresthes tenuis* (California grunion). A 21-d NOEC of 15  $\mu$ g/L for mortality in the freshwater mussel *Lampsilis siliquoidea* was also obtained. Accordingly, based on these chronic toxicity values and an assessment factor of 10, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.0045  $\mu$ g/L was obtained. The value of 0.00035  $\mu$ g/L obtained from acute toxicity to the crustacean was used as the PNEC for this substance.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 860 for freshwater bodies and less than 29 for seawater, and a judgment cannot be made at present. A concentration of  $0.04 \ \mu g/L$  was detected in public freshwater bodies in fiscal 2000, and the ratio of this concentration and PNEC is 110. To augment environmental concentration data, use trends, production and import quantities, and releases to the environment for this substance should be considered where necessary.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)				Dradiated no	Exposure assessment			
Species	Acute/ chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/PNEC ratio	Assessment result
Crustacean					Freshwater	<0.3	<860	
Mysidae /Daphnia ambigua	Acute	LC <sub>50</sub> Mortality	100	0.00035	Seawater	<0.01	<29	× (▲)

## 4. Conclusions

dgment cannot be made at present regarding risk. A concentration of 0.04 $\mu$ g/L was tected in public freshwater bodies in fiscal 2000, and the ratio of this concentration and JEC is 110. To augment environmental concentration data, use trends, production and	
port quantities, and releases to the environment for this substance should be considered here necessary.	
O: No need for further work ▲: Requiring information collection	
<ul> <li>Candidates for further work ×: Impossibility of risk characterization</li> <li>(○) : Though a risk characterization cannot be determined, there would be little collecting information.</li> </ul>	le necessity
	<ul> <li>(○) : Though a risk characterization cannot be determined, there would be little collecting information.</li> <li>(▲) : Further information collection would be required for risk characterization</li> </ul>