CAS No.: 119-61-9

7

Substance: Benzophenone

Structural Formula:

Chemical Substances Control Law Reference No.: 3-1258 and 4-125 PRTR Law Cabinet Order No.:

Molecular Formula: C₁₃H₁₀O Molecular Weight: 182.22

1. General Information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 137 mg/L (25° C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol/water) (log Kow) is 3.18. The vapor pressure is 1.93 x 10⁻³ mmHg (= 0.257 Pa) (25° C, extrapolated value). Degradability (aerobic degradation) in terms of BOD-based degradation percentage is estimated to be 0%. This substance is determinated to be non or not highly bioaccumulative. Ketone is generally not highly hydrolyzed, and hydrolysis of the substance in the environment is not expected to be of importance.

This substance is mainly used for materials for pharmaceutical synthesis, aroma retaining agents, and ultraviolet absorbing agents. The production (shipment) and imports in FY2004 was 100 to less than 1,000 tons/yr.

2. Exposure assessment

As this substance is not a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law), release and transfer quantities could not be obtained. When predictions of distribution ratios by medium were made using the Mackay-Type Level III Fugacity Model, in the event of equal release to the atmosphere, water, and soil, the distribution ratio was highest for soil.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC), which indicates exposure to aquatic organisms, was estimated to be approximately 0.31 μ g/L for freshwater and approximately 0.02 μ g/L for seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour median effective concentration (EC₅₀) growth inhibition value of 3,530 µg/L was found for the algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, a 24-hour median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) value of 7,600 µg/L was found for the crustacea *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea), a 96-hour LC₅₀ value exceeding 10,000 µg/L was found for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka), and a 24-hour LC₅₀ value of 56,800 µg/L was found for another organism, the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 35 µg/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour no observed effect concentration (NOEC) growth inhibition value of 1,000 µg/L was found for the algae *P. subcapitata*, a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 200 µg/L was found for the fish *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 10 was used, and a PNEC value of 20 µg/L was obtained based on the crustacea *D. magna* (mater flea), and a 35-38-day NOEC growth inhibition value of 540 µg/L was found for the fish *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 10 was used, and a PNEC value of 20 µg/L was obtained based on the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was 0.02 for freshwater bodies and 0.001 for seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)			Predicted no	Exposure assessment		PEC/		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea (water flea)	Chronic	NOEC reproduction	10	20	Freshwater	0.31	0.02	- 0
					Seawater	0.02	0.001	
4. Conclusio	on			Conc	lusions			Judgment
4. Conclusio	n rick N	o pood for fu	ther work	Conc	lusions			Judgment
4. Conclusion	n risk N	o need for fu	rther work.	Conc	lusions			Judgment
4. Conclusio Ecological Risk judgmo	risk Ne ents]	o need for fu	rther work.	Conc work	lusions Requiring i	nformation collection		Judgment
4. Conclusio Ecological Risk judgmo	risk Notents]	o need for fur ○: No need ■: Candidat	rther work. for further v tes for furthe	Conc work ▲: er work ×:	lusions Requiring i Impossibilit	nformation collection ty of risk characterizati	on	Judgment
4. Conclusio Ecological Risk judgme	n risk N ents]	o need for fur ○: No need ■: Candidat) : Thoug	ther work. for further v tes for furthe gh a risk ch	Conc work ▲: er work ×: aracterization	lusions Requiring i Impossibilit cannot be d	nformation collection ty of risk characterizati letermined, there woul	ion Id be little	Judgment O
4. Conclusio Ecological Risk judgmo	m risk No ents]	o need for fun ○: No need ■: Candidat) : Though collecting in	rther work. for further v tes for furthe gh a risk ch formation.	Conc work ▲: er work ×: aaracterization	lusions Requiring i Impossibilit cannot be d	nformation collection ty of risk characterizati letermined, there woul	ion Id be little	Judgment O