5	CAS No.: 95-49-8	Substance: <i>o</i> - chlorotoluene
Chemie	cal Substances Control Law Re	eference No.: 3-39 (as chlorotoluene)
PRTR	Law Cabinet Order No.: 1-89	
Molecu	ılar Formula: C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> Cl	Structural Formula:
Molecu	ılar Weight: 126.58	CH <sub>3</sub>

## 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 374 mg/L ( $25^{\circ}$ C), and the partition coefficient (1-octonal / water) (log Kow) is 3.42. The vapor pressure is 3.43 mmHg (= 457 Pa) ( $25^{\circ}$ C). The biodegradability of the substance is 0% by BOD degradation rate, and the accumulation factor is thought to be zero or very low. The substance is thought to not be hydrolyzable in the environment.

This substance is a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). Its primary usage and release sources are as a synthetic raw material (dyes, agricultural chemicals and pharmaceuticals). Production and import quantities under the PRTR Law came to 100 tons.

\_\_\_\_\_

## 2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in FY2003 under the PRTR Law came to 13 tons, all of which was reported. All of the reported quantity came from Chemical Industry.

Release to the atmosphere accounted for a large part of the release to the environment. The distribution into each environmental medium as determined by means of a multimedia model was 75.4% for atmosphere and 21.7% for water bodies.

The predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings was estimated at less than 0.01  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The predicted maximum oral exposure was assessed at less than 0.012  $\mu$ g/kg/day. Moreover, this substance has high aqueous solubility and is judged to have little or no bioaccumulation, so exposure from environmental media through the intake of food is thought to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be less than  $0.3 \mu g/L$  for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

\_\_\_\_\_

## 3. Initial assessment of health risk

Even brief exposure to this substance may result in irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract. If inhaled, it may cause coughing, shortness of breath and dizziness. If it comes in contact with the skin and the eyes, it may cause redness and pain. Repeated exposure to the substance in liquid may cause defatting of the skin.

There is insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance, and it is not possible to make a judgment as to whether it causes cancer in human beings. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' was observed, used to estimate the margin of exposure (MOE), a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) value of 20 mg/kg/day (prevention of weight increase), obtained from rat medium- and long-term toxicity testings, was obtained for oral exposure. As the test period was short, the value was divided by 10 to establish a value of 2 mg/kg/day. For inhalation exposure, a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) value of 1,000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (fetal brachymelia and brachydactylia), obtained from rat reproductive and developmental toxicity

testings, was corrected to match the exposure circumstances, resulting in a value of to  $250 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . As this was a LOAEL value, it was further divided by 10 to establish a value of  $25 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

With regard to oral exposure, the predicted maximum exposure when postulating intake of freshwater from public water bodies was estimated at less than  $0.012 \ \mu g/kg/day$ . As the 'Non-toxic level' of 2 mg/kg/day and the predicted maximum exposure were established by means of animal testing, the value was divided by 10 to derive an MOE that exceeded 17,000. Moreover, exposure originating in the environment due to the intake of food was estimated to be minor, and it is thought that adding this exposure would not greatly affect the MOE. Accordingly, assessment of the health risk from oral exposure to this substance is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

With regard to inhalation exposure, the predicted maximum exposure concentration in ambient air was estimated at less than 0.01  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The MOE derived in the same manner from the 'Non-toxic level' of 25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and the predicted maximum exposure concentration exceeded 250,000. Accordingly, there is thought to be no need at this time for assessment of the health risk with regard to inhalation exposure to the substance in the ambient air.

Knowledge of toxicity				Exposure assessment							
Exposure	e Guidelir	nes for risk	Animal	Impact	Exposure	Predicted	maximum				
path	asse	ssment		assessment	medium	exposure o	luantity and	F	Result of risk assessmen	t	Judgment
				guideline (endpoint)		concer	ntration				
Oral	No observed	2 mg/kg/day	Rat	Prevention of weight	Drinking water	_	μ g/kg/day	MOE	—	×	0
Ulai	adverse effect level	adverse	Rat	increase	Fresh water	< 0.012	$\mu$ g/kg/day	MOE	> 17,000	0	Ŭ
la hala tina	No observed	25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Rat	Fetal brachymelia	Ambient air	< 0.01	$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	> 250,000	0	0
Inhalatio	adverse effect level	25 mg/m	Rál	and brachydactylia	Indoor air	_	$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	-	×	×

## 4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour EC<sub>50</sub> growth inhibition value of 7,840  $\mu$ g/L was found for the algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, a 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> immobilization value of 700  $\mu$ g/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> value of 7,670  $\mu$ g/L was found for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) exceeding 7  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour no observed effect concentration (NOEC) growth inhibition value of 2,610  $\mu$ g/L was found for the algae *P. subcapitata* and a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 140  $\mu$ g/L was found for the crustacea *D. magna*. Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a PNEC value of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the curve of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the curve of 2.610  $\mu$ g/L was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.2 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, ecological risk cannot be determined at this time. It is necessary to determine trends in production and release quantities and study the need for a determination of environmental concentrations.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)				Predicted no	Exposure	assessment		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea	Chronic	NOEC reproduction	100	1.4	Freshwater Seawater	< 0.3 < 0.3	< 0.2 < 0.2	×

5. Conclusions	1		1			
	Conclusions					
	Oral exposure Assessment is thought to be unnecessary at this time.		0			
Health risk	Inhalation exposure	Assessment with regard to the ambient air is thought to	0			
		be unnecessary at this time.				
	Impossible of risk characterization. It is necessary to determine trends in					
Ecological risk	production and release quantities and study the need for a determination of					
_	environmental concentrations.					
[Risk judgments]	Risk judgments] ○: No need of further work ▲: Requiring information collection					
■: Candidates for further work ×: Impossible of risk characterization						