# IR-3.2.1 Study on Forest Resource Accounting

Contact Person Seigo Sakaguchi

**Section Director** 

Forest Management Division, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute.

P.O.Box 16, Tsukuba Norin Kenkyu Danchi-nai, Ibaraki, 305 Japan

Phone +81-298-73-3211(Ext.637) Fax +81-298-73-3799

E-mail seigosan@ffpri.affrc.go.jp

**Total Budget for FY1992 - FY1994** 20,836,000 Yen (FY1994; 6,466,000 Yen)

Abstract

In line with the research plan which is based on review of environmental and natural resource accounts in Norway, France, and other international organisations, research work has been devoted to methodologies for developing forest accounting system of Japan. According to the framework of French Natural Parimony Accounts, (1)French satellite accounts on forest management for recreational use, (2)close examination and evaluation on statistics on forest sector/ resources/ products, and (3)experimental work for methodologies for handling and processing statistical data through GIS, were made.

Key Words Forest Statistics, Natural Patrimony Accounts, Satellite Accounts,
Geographic Information System

## 1.Introduction

For last few decades, emphasis has been placed on the need for integration of statistical data on environment and natural resources into economic accounting. Such an interest prompted international organisations investigating the methodologies to set up an environmental accounts which is linked with national accounts. One of the most important contributions to this interest of research is French Natural Patrimony Accounts system<sup>4)11)18)</sup>, while "SEEA" by United Nations<sup>16)</sup> has attracted international attention as well.

An effort to develop statistical system which demonstrate the state of natural resources and the environment known as natural resource accounting had begun in Japan at Forest Policy Research Institute in 1986. Further effort of research on forest resource accounting has been made for 4

years including a feasibility study in FY 1991.

### 2. Research Method and Result

To identify the relevant approach for developing forest accounts, review had been made on development of environmental and natural resource accounts in Norway, France, and Canada in 1992. The French natural patrimony accounts system(NPA) which comprises with central, peripheral, and agent accounts was considered to be most instructive and useful for development of forest accounts in Japan. Based on the review of the French system, developing both framework on recreation accounts as one of the main components of forest accounts and methodologies for handling and processing statistical data through geographic information system(GIS) was considered to be the most adequate approach.

In line with the research plan which was based on review of NPA, research work of 1993 was devoted to (1) further examination of French system (including both NPA and French Satellite Account(FSA)<sup>1) 3) 7)10)12)14)</sup>, (2) experimental work for methodologies for handling and processing statistical data through GIS. As the sequel to examination on French NPA, insight into "forest balance" of France which put focus on forest resources in detail - such as crossing physical data on species by ecological zones - was obtained. For NPA, it was also implied that agent accounts and territory accounts were important; the former was represented by FSA and the latter with GIS. In order to investigate methodologies on FSA, an attempt was made to establish satellite account on forest management for recreational use. As for GIS, methodology was examined to establish relational database which consists of cadastral identifier and attributes on forest resources.

Research work of 1994 was concentrated on the methodology for developing forest accounting system. Related information was obtained from specialists at UNSTAT, OECD, EUROSTAT, Finland, and France, and a couple of implications were gained; i.e.(1)the importance of both statistical survey system on economic agents concerning forestry and forest products and inventory system on forest resources, and (2)the importance of theway to breakdown forest sector/resources/products, that is 'nomenclature' of sectors/ commodities, which should be aimed at the most suitable representation of forest sector/resources/products in each country.

Based on these implications, (1)FSA on forest management for recreational use, and (2)close examination and evaluation on data on forest sector/resources/products in Japan were made. For FSA on forest management for recreational use, the same accounting system was adopted in both Hokkaido region and Tohoku region, which is similar to French satellite accounting system, with

physical balance sheets being added to standard system of French satellite accounts (table 1). Examination and evaluation of data in Japan is shown in table 2, which follows the example of UN(1991)<sup>15)</sup> and Scherp(1994)<sup>13)</sup>.

### 3. Discussion

In conclusion, it is recognized that "stock" is the most important component for representation of forest sector, forest resources, and forest products, because information on stocks (the state of forest resources) is essential for decision-makers toattain sustainable management and/or sustainable utilization of forest. Regarding this conclusion, suggestions were derived for implementation of forest resource accounting system in Japan as follows;

Firstly, theoretically, it is concluded that disaggregated data on stocks in physical term themselves are more important for evaluation of sustainability than aggregated data in monetary term, with all refined valuation methodologies and plausible hypothesis on market structure, because monetary evaluation of stock of forest resources could not avoid some criteria and may tend to lose self-consistency. It is considered to be rather important how to disaggregate and classify relevant physical data, in order to give some informations on sustainability without losing self-consistency of accounts. From this viewpoint, Xu & Bradley's conceptual classification of forest resources<sup>19)</sup> may be helpful.

Secondly, technically, it should be emphasized that forest resource inventory system like that of France<sup>8)9)</sup> and/or Nordic countries<sup>5)6)</sup> is important for representing the physical stock of forest resources. GIS and/or remote sensing technology is also important which has been developed in these countries in order to compile the inventoried resource data. Such technology may enable forest resource data to be combined with related economic data, such as incomes from sales of timber and/or labor inputs on forest management of forest owners.

Thirdly, at the stage of implementation of accounts, the way to breakdown data on forest sectors/resources/products<sup>2)17)</sup> was considered to be most important. French forest balance in the 4th chapter of "NPA"<sup>4)</sup> was considered to be the best example of such breakdown of forest resources. As noted above, physical balance sheet (such as managed area) and data on physical flow (such as the number of users) were added in the attempt to develop satellite accounts on forest management for recreational use. The efficiency and advantage of such system were found to be able to show difference in structures of finance, management, and utilization between different recreational sites.

Fourthly, an attention should be paid to the fact that the flow of timber consumption in Japan significantly affects the balance sheets of forest resource in exporting countries, especially those of south-east Asian countries. It might mean that Japanese timber flow accounts should be connected with forest resource stock accounts of south-east Asian countries. International input-output tables might be helpful for suchlinkage.

### 4. Reference

- 1)Braibant, Michel: Satellite Accounts, INSEE: No13/D220, 29pp, Jul. 1994
- 2)EUROSTAT: SERIEE 1994 version. European System for the collection of economic data on the environment, EUROSTAT statistical document, 195pp, Sep.1994
- 3)INSEE(France): Les comptes satellites de l'environnement, methodes et resultats,Les Coll.de l'INSEE, INSEE, C130, 146pp, May.1986
- 4)INSEE(France): Les comptes du patrimoine naturel: Commission interministerielle des comptes du patrimoine naturel, INSEE, , 551pp., Dec.1986
- 5)Kolttola,Leo/Muukkonen,Jukka: Natural Resource Accounting in Finland, Paperi ja Puu (Paper and Timber), 73-2, pp99-101, 1991
- 6)Kuusela, Kullervo/Salminen, Sakari: Forest resources of Finland in 1977-1984 and their development in 1952-1980, Acta Forestalia Fennica, 220, 84pp, 1991
- 7)Lemaire, Maryvonne: Satellite Accounts: A Relevant Framework for Analysis in Social Fields, Rev. Income Wealth, 33-3, pp305-25, Sep. 1987
- 8)Ministere de l'Agriculture et de la Foret: Statistiques forestieres. RESULTATS 1990, 77pp, 1992
- 9)Ministere de l'Environment: Les comptes de la foret des landes de Gascogne Premiere partie: Comptes physiques(Comptes annuels 1962-1984 et projections), Ministere de l'Environment, 24pp., Apr.1987
- 10)MINISTERE DU TOURISME(France): Les Resultats du Compte du Tourisme 1991, MINISTERE DU TOURISME, 23pp, Apr.1993
- 11)OECD: Information and natural resources. Mimeographed., OECD, Paris, 95pp, 1986
- 12)Pommier, Philippe: Social Expenditure: Socialization of Expenditure? The French Experiment with Satellite Accounts, Rev. Income Wealth, 27(4), pp373-86, Dec. 1981
- 13)Scherp,Jan: What does an economist need to know about the environment? approaches to accounting for the environment in statistical informations..., EC:Economic Papers:

- II/586/93-EN, 107, 48pp, May.1994
- 14) Teillet, P.: A Concept of Satellite Account in the Revised SNA, Rev. Income Wealth, 34, pp411-39, 1988
- 15)United Nations: Concepts and Methods of Environment Statistics: Statistics of the Natural Environment, A Technical Report, UN:ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F/57, 148pp, 1991
  16)United Nations: Integrated Environmental and Economic Accounting. Interim version, UN:ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F/61, 182pp, 1993
- 17)United Nations, Economic and Social Council: Single European Standard Statistical
  Classification of Environmental Protection Activities and Facilities, UN:CES/822, 20pp,
  Apr.1994
- 18) Weber, J.L.: The French Natural Patrimony Accounts, Statistical J.UN ECE, 1,pp419-444, 1983
- 19)Xu,Zhi/Bradley,Dennis P./Jakes,P.: Natural Resource Accounting For the National Forests: A Conceptual Framework, USDA/General Technical Report, NC-171, 13pp, Jun.1994

Table 1 Satellite accounts on forest management for recreational use in a test site at Appi district in Tohoku region, Japan (FY 1992, Unit:thousand yen if not specified)

Table 1-1 Balance Sheet (31st Mar 1991)

Uses		Resources	
Fascilities	17.896,280+X	Subsidies received Direct finance Borrowing Public debt balance Other resources	117, 280 17, 779, 000 0 0 X
Total of Uses	17,896,280+X	Total of Resources	17,896,280+X
Contents of "Fascil	ties"	Contents of "Other resourses	
Skiing ground Horse riding field Conservation forest Forest*	356ha 1ha 20ha 914ha	Forest land	914ha

<sup>\*</sup>Forest; accumulated cost of which is unknown, while operational cost of which is X.

Table 1-2 Production Account (a) current transactions

Uses		Resources	
Remuneration of		Sales of marketed goods as	nd services
wage-earners	514,691+Y*	Utilization fee	5,742,511
Intermediate consump	otion	Operating subsidies	0
Consumption		Operating transfers	
goods	1,978,865	Direct finance	1,261+Y
Energy(electricity	<b>7.</b>		
gas, etc.) cost	11,472		
Rent	72,771		
Surplus of current			
transactions accoun	t 3, 165, 973		
Total of Uses	5,743,772+Y	Total of Resources	5,743,772+Y

<sup>\*</sup>Y shows operational cost of forest management.

Table 1-3 Production Account (b)capital-financial transactions

Uses		Resources	
Capital formation	514,000+Z*	Surplus of current transactions account	3, 165, 973
Surplus of capital-			
financial transactions account	2, 351, 972	Other resources	0
Total of Uses	3, 165, 973+Z	Total of Resources	3, 165, 973+2

\*Z corresponds to net increase of forest stock.

Table 1-4 Balance Sheet (31st Mar 1992)

Uses		Resources	
Fascilities	18, 410, 280+X+Z	Subsidies received Direct finance Borrowing Public debt balance Other resources	117, 280 18, 293, 000 0 0 X+Z
Total of Uses	18, 410, 280+X+Z	Total of Resources	18, 410, 280+X+Z
Contents of "Fascili	ties"	Contents of Other resourses	
Skiing ground Horse riding field Conservation forest Forest*	356ha 1ha 20ha 914ha	Forest land	914ha

<sup>\*</sup>Forest; accumulated cost of which is unknown, while operational cost of which is X.

Table 1-5 Financing transfers

Manage Initial Financer	Nation	Pref.	Town	Enterprises	Total
Nation Prefecture Town Enterprises Households	Y+Z		1, 261	3, 165, 972 5, 742, 511	Y+Z 0 1, 261 3, 165, 972 5, 742, 511
Total	Y+Z	0	1,261	8, 908, 483	8, 909, 744+Y+Z

Table 1-6 Land owners/managers (Unit:hectares)

Manager Owner	Nation	Pref.	Town	Enterprises	Total	
Nation Prefecture Town	914			251	1, 165 0 0	
Enterprises Households			20	106	126 0	
Total	914	0	20	357	1, 291	

Source:Oishi, et al:mimeo. (1995)

Table 2 Data coverage, quality, comparability, and frequency for forest accounts in Japan (continuing)

Field/Problem	Main data source	Evaluation of	f data	Notes
Forest resources	Forest register	Cover:	good	*Inventory has
		Quality:	poor*	not been exe-
		Compar:		cuted since
		Freq: every 5	years	1961
Forested land area	Cadastral map	Cover:	good	*Dizitisation
		Quality:	n.g.*	has been
		Compar:	good	needed
		Freq: every	time	
	Statistics of	Cover:	n.g.*	*Small-scale
	permission on			conversion
	land conversion	Compar:		
		Freq: every ;	/ear	
Forestry management (Fore	est management for tim	ber production)		
Output	Mokuzai Jukyu	Cover:		*Not equal to
	Hokokusho	Quality:	n.g.*	
		Compar:	good	harvesting
		Freq: every ;	/ear	
Input	Rinka Keizai	Cover:	good	*Short of
	Chosa Hokoku	Quality:		
		Compar:	poor*	
		Freq: every y	ear	
	Forestry Census	Cover:	poor*	*Lack of data
		Quality:	good	on capital
		Compar:	poor	goods
		Freq: every 1	10 years	
Wood processing	Mokuzai Jukyu		n.g.*	*Lack of stock
	Hokokusho			data in the
		Compar:	good	factories nor
		Freq: every y	ear	energy data
Intermediate inputs to		Cover:		
other industries	Hokokusho	Quality:	_	
		Compar:		
		Freq: every y	/ear	
Household consumption	Household survey	Cover:	n.g.*	*Confined to
	(Kakei Chosa)	Quality:		
				wood charcoal
		Freq: every y		

Table 2 Data coverage, quality, comparability, and frequency for forest accounts in Japan(continued)

Field/Problem	Main data source	Evaluation o	of data	Notes
Forest management for no	n-timber use			
Recreational use	Special survey	Cover:	n.g. *	*Lack of data
	(by Oishi &	Quality:	<b>-</b> ·	on supply side
	Tsuchiya)	Compar:	-	in private
		Freq:	-	sector
Watershed management	-	Cover:	poor*	*Available in
_		Quality:	-	some forested
		Compar:	-	watersheds
		Freq:	-	only
Relation between	Experimental	Cover:	_	*ID of owners
	study using	Quality:	-	can link
& forestry management	GIS(by Yamamoto)*	Compar:	_	different
		Freq:	_	attributes
Relation between forest	resources and environm	ent		
Relation between	-	Cover:	-	'Tank model'
forest resources		Quality:	-	might be
& water resources		Compar:	-	applicable
		Freq:	-	

Cover: How complete is the description of the field/problem? Quality: How accurate is the description statistically? Compar: How would the data be compared adequately with the data on developed contries? (How general method is adopted to attain the data?)

Freq: How often are statistical surveys carried out?

Scale: good > n.g. (not good) > poor

Source: Furuido, mimeo. (1995)

Table 3 Forest Balance in Japan (1985)

(Unit:million CUM)

	Total	Softwood	Hardwood
Opening Stock	2791.2	1726.9	1064.4
Natural growth	113.9	85.5	28.4
Natural depletion	0.7	0.5	0.3
Removals	42.1	26.1	16.0
Closing Stock	2862.3	1785.8	1076.5

Source: Koike, mimeo(1992)