Session2

Create a Recycling Society



REN Yong

Policy Research Center, State Environmental Protection Administration



- 1. Circular economy: international perspective
- 2. Circular economy: Chinese perspective
- 3. Current practice and prospect
- 4. Challenges to development of circular economy





penerated raised to 50% in 2000, from 15% in 1990			
Domestic garbage	Percentage collected (%)	Percentage reused (%)	
Organic garbage	50	96	
Waste paper and cardboard	87	100	
Glass	78	100	
Metal in wrappage	65	100	
Plastic and paper wrappage	75	97	
Cell	35	100	
Automobile cell	95	100	
Tire	94	98	
Textile	70-80	70-80	



1. international perspective: others

- No terminology of circular economy in other developed countries, but 3R of wastes have been a focus in their agendas of EP and SD activities
- Cleaner production and ecoindustrial park are significant initiatives in promotion of sustainable industries.



1. international perspective: general

In a word, initiatives of circular economy in developed countries focus on waste issue caused by postindustrialized society, after most of industrial pollution and urbanization-oriented pollution have been resolved. Then the initiatives extend to industrial sector and ultimately aim to changing traditional patterns of production and consumption.





2 Chinese perspective

<u>A. Compressed/shortened industrialization/urbanizations and</u> <u>compound environmental problems</u>

Industrialization:

- European and American developed countries—150-200 yeas;
- Japan—around 100 years;
- new industrializing economies—50 years around;
- China—will be less than 50 years

2. Urbanization:

- 20 years from 1978 to 1999, urbanization level raised by 12%;
- European industrialized countries took 50 years in late 19th century, with same growth.
- Urbanization level: 37% in 2003, 46% in 2010; 55% in 2020.















2 Chinese perspective

3. 1990s

- (1) Take SD as a national strategy;
- (2) Change the traditional patterns of economic growth;
- (3) strategic restructure of economic development;
- (4) Win-win principle of conserving environment while economic

Booming

- promote co-benefits between economic restructure and pollution control;
 - set up successful cases in coordinated development between environment and economy;

(5) Very positively political position on environmental protection

(6) Environmental protection as a core target in the strategy of building all-round well-off society in new century





	Population	GDP/per
2000	1.2 billion	US\$800
2020	1.6 billion	US\$3000
	ell-off society will product e current situation.	e 4-5 times of impact
onment, against the solution is to rais		nomic activities by 4-5 t









Current practice and prospect 3 2. Six eco-industrial park/industrial symbiosis **Guigang Sugar Manufacture Park;** Sugar cane land---sugar processing---gooey wastes---alcohol plant---wastes liquid---fertilizer plant---compound fertilizer--land Sugar process---waste cane---paper plant---wastes----cement plant Nanhai Eco-industrial Park environmental industry and waste reuse industry-oriented **Baotou Eco-industrial park** Coal—power generation—electrolytic aluminum—aluminum product processing—reuse of aluminum reuse and recycle; coal---power generation---waste---construction materials Coal—power generation---heat supply;



	3 Current practice and prospect				
	<u>3. Regional levels</u>				
	 Liaoning Provincial Plan and initiatives in circular economy Enterprise level: 				
	 Enterprise level: by 2007, 600 enterprises meet the standards of cleaner production; 				
	 A number of enterprises achieve targets of zero emissions; several big industrial groups build up inter close-material- 				
	flow Building up several eco-industrial parks				
	Develop waste reuse industrycreate a resource-recycling society				
and the	 by 2010, reused water accounts for 30% of wastewater; Collected garbage ratio by different categories60%; 				
	Collected ratio80%in waste battery, household electronic appliance, waste computers, paper, metal, plastic, etc.				



4 Challenges

- 1. Low awareness and lack of relevant knowledge and knowhow.
- 2. Not all local governments and ministries responsible for economic development pay much attention to promoting circular economy.
- 3. relevant legislation and policies are not in place.
- 4. Technology is always an obstacle hindering the development of cleaner production, eco-industry and waste reuse.

























What we plan to implement in the second term project

- •Waste material energy center project
- -Bio mass recycling project
- -Foam Polystyrene recycling project
- ·Waste cooking oil recycling project
- -Waste tire recycling project



PROFILE of West-Japan Auto Recycle Co. Ltd. (WARC)

1. Company Profile

LOCATION: Kitakyushu Ecotown, Fukuoka, JAPAN CAPITAL: 100 Million Yen (0.8 Million US\$) SHAREHOLDERS: Yoshikawa, Mitui & Co., Nippon Steel Corp, etc. AREA: Total 20,000 m² Plant 4,500 m² CAPCITY: 1,000 Cars/Month on the basis of 8 hours/day

- 2. Dismantling Process
- 2-1. Concept

"SHREDDER-LESS" dismantling process i.e. To complete dismantling without shredding operation by 4 parts-collecting sections and 1 car-body pressing section into the shape of 50x60x70cm set on a 62m long line

No1 Section: Suction of Fuel, LLC and Oils plus Removal of Bonnnet (Main Equipments) Flaco' s Liquid Suction Systems x 2 No2 Section: Removal of Tires, Battery, Fleon gas and Collection of Front/Doors Glass (Main Equipment) Fleon Gas Collector x 2, Glass Collecting tools x 1 set No3 Section: Removal of Engine/Mission, Suspensions,Exhaust pipe, and Catalyst plus Collection of Rear Glass (Main Equipments) Turnover x 1, Hydraulic Cutter x 1, Electric Cutter x 1, Cantilever Crane x 1 No4 Section: Removal of Nonferrous Parts such as wiring harness, Various Motors, Heater Core, Evaporator, Radiator and Computer Board (Main Equipment) Hydraulic Body Grips x 1, Cantilever Crane x 2 No5 Section: Tri-axially pressing a body-shell into about 50x60x70cm (Main Equipment) 600 ton Press Machine x 1

In addition, one Al- remelting furnace from engines and one Cu-reproducing machine from wire harness are being operated inside the company.

2 - 3. Main Features

- (1) No ASR(Automobile Shredder Residues) because of "shredderless"
- (2) Reproduction of High quality Fe scraps usable at LD converters
- (3) Execution of High Recycling Ratio---90% excluding thermal recycle
- (4) Presentation of Technical Information regarding Dismantlability and Recylclability toward Car Manufactures

3. Present Performance

Since started operations in February 2001, the number of ELVs dismantled has steadily increased as follows. Note that the above-mentioned capacity of 1000 cars/month can be achieved by 6 operators in the dismantling line, that is 45 cars per 8 hours per day, and presently 1500 cars/month by the addition of 3 more operators for the extra 8 hours per day.



Fig.1 Change of Cars Dismantled by Time



Fig.2 ELV Dismantling Flow at WARC

Features of WARC Process

- 1. On-line Part-by-Part Collecting System to Obtain High Recycle Ratio
- 2. High Efficient Dismantling Process at Speed of 8.5 Minutes / Car



Fig.3 ELV Recycling Flow -General Process vs. WARC Process

Features of WARC Process

- 1. Shredder-less \rightarrow ASR free \rightarrow No Need to Landfill
- 2. Press-Scrap Return to BF Steel Maker \rightarrow Horizontal Recycle



Fig.4 Recycle Rate of ELV - Country Target vs. WARC

Korea Report for Korea & Japan Society of Waste Management

Key Factors & Future Tasks of the Extended Producer Responsibility System in Korea

November 2003

Prepared by Young-Seok Lee, Deputy Derector, Resource Recycling Division, Waste Management & Recycling Bureau, Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea





- In order to establish the Resource-Recycling Society substantial reduction in waste generation is required, during the process of manufacture, distribution and consumption as much as possible, however,
 - inevitably generated waste should be reused by repairing and partially replacing components, and,
 - for unreusable waste the adoption of recycling system is encouraged to save resources and protect from environmental pollution.

Minimizing the use of disposable products -
Disposable Products containers plastic spoons, plastic bags, shopping bags, etc.
Restriction on over-packaging - food/beverage, cosmetics, quasi drug, clothing, etc.
Waste Disposal Charges System - plastic goods, insecticide, chewing gum, tobacco, antifreeze, etc.



Recycling	
	EPR System - aluminum cans, glass bottles, plastics packaging materials, home appliances, etc.
	Separate Discharge Mark System - packaging materials subject to producer's mandatory recycling items
	Seller's free of charge collection - home appliances including television sets, refrigerators, washing machines etc.
	Supporting recycling businesses - financing for recycling facilities funds









Items subjects to	o the produc	cer's mandatory recycling
Existing deposit- refund Items	Products	home appliances including TV sets, refrigerators, air-conditioner, washing machine computers, tires, lubricants, fluorescent lamp, batteries, etc.
	Packaging materials	paper packs, aluminium cans, glass bottles, and PET bottles (foodstuffs, liquors, cosmetics detergents, some portion of pharmaceutical products)
Newly introduced Items	Products	mobile phone devices, mobile phones, and audio sets
	Packaging materials	plastic packaging materials (foodstuffs, liquors, cosmetics, detergents, pharmaceutical products) EPS buffer (home appliances)





D	all the		ecycling s	
Background				
drastically, the c comparing to oth - a comprehensi	urrent recy ner materia ve framewo der to imple Recycling	ls, hence, ord shoud be pro ement Socio-Ec System .	%) are consid omoted for pla onomic Struc	erably low stic s ture based
Items	Papers	Aluminium cans	Glass bottles	Plastics
	59.8%	63.1%	67.4%	15%
Recycling Rates	00.070			



Consumer	 o Strict compliance with Separate Discharge System for recyclable articles. - package materials with the Separate Discharge Mark, must be discharged separately. - Separate Discharge should be performed according to the each municipal, county, and district's Collection System.
Producer	 o Assume the recycling liability strictly. o In case of a-fulfillment failure, the producer should pay the Recycling Charges. o Performs the Separate Discharge Mark. o Free of charge collection for the newly sold home appliances
Local Government (municipal, county, district)	 o Manages Separate Discharge system strictly for EPR subjected packaging materials o Implements an appropriate system under each local governments in conformance with the Separate Collection guidelines.
Korea Resources Recovery & Reutilization Corporation	 Manages overall duties on institutional execution including accept and control, the production quantity record per producer, compliance action plan and fact sheet reports, as well as monitoring the recycling liability performance, and imposing charges.
Ministry of Environment	 Supports and manages overall institutional conduction such as enacting and amending the laws and ordinances, imposing Mandatory Recycling Quantity, inspection of mutual aid associations and public corporations.





12th NEAC Session 2. Create a Recycling Society

The governmental policy to enhance capacity of regional authorities to transfer to "Environmentally efficient society".

Case of Russia

T.Petrova, Ministry of Natural Resources RF

The Russian Federation similar to the other countries of the former USSR has inherited from the previous regime the environmentally unsound structure of economy characterised with the low efficiency of use of natural resources and high level of the environmental pollution.

Compared to the developed countries, the national indicator of energy consumption per unit of GDP is 2-3 timers greater and that of the greenhouse gases emission -3-4 times. The consumption of the forest resources for production of 1 ton of paper is comparatively 6-7 times high. For the recent 10 years the non-efficiency of national economy has even grown by 30-60%.

The annual volume of wastes produced by all sectors of the economy is estimated as 2 bln tons, 60% of that is being disposed. For the period of 1995-2002 the volume of toxic wastes increased from 90 to 139 mln.t.

The GDP volume in the country has dropped by 50% since 90s but for the recent 4 years it has indicated the rising trend. This growth is mostly accounted for the sectors connected with use of natural resources. In case of retaining this pattern of the economic growth the fuel and energy sector, oil and gas, metal production and forestry might be the dominating sectors of the Russian economy by 2010. It might aggravate the existing environmental situation in the country.

However on the other side the gradual reconstruction and liquidation of unefficient enterprises is under way. And the situation might improve in our country. But it is a long-term process, the notable changes can be expected only by 2010.

There are good prerequisites created by the activity of the Ministry of Natural Resources RF to counterbalance the situation: the approval of the Ecological Doctrine of Russian Federation (2002), the development of the legislative base providing efficient use of natural resources (Federal Law on Environmental Protection, Federal Law on Industrial and Municipal Wastes, the Governmental Decree on the Routine of the State Cadaster of Wastes and Toxic Wastes Registration, etc.), the Federal target program "Ecology and Natural Resources of Russia" (2002-2010), the intensifying international cooperation in the environmental sphere and a growing participation in the international agreements connected with taking the national obligations in this sphere.

For the last years some regions of Russia have succeeded in the introduction of advanced and environmentally sound technologies basing on

international cooperation with neighbouring countries and accumulated a positive experience in this sphere.

It is the most rapidly developing regions – the Russian north-west territory– Leningradskaya, Murmanskaya, Arkhangelskaya, Kaliningradskaya oblasts, etc.

Cleaner Production Programme,

The case is the Cleaner Production Programme, implemented within the framework of the Russian - Norwegian co-operation based on the appropriate intergovernmental agreement of 1992. It has been supported since 1994 by the Ministry of Natural Resources of the RF and local authorities. The Russian-Norwegian Cleaner Production Centre (RNC) has been set up to arrange, carry out and disseminate the CP Programme.

Its objectives – the most efficient use of resources and prevention of climate change, mitigation of the negative impact of the process of production at all stages of the life-cycle (production, use and disposal).

The program consists of 3 components:

- 1. Capacity building in the Clean Production training of the high managers and engineers, post- courses, project proposals and recommendations for modernization of the industrial process at their enterprise - inventory and assessment of proposals
- 2. Capacity building in the Financial Engineering training in the business-plan development for the selected projects and in the concordance procedure with an investor.
- 3. Reforming the existing enterprises management system.

Over 1600 specialists from more than 500 enterprises have been trained since then, mainly representatives of Russia's north-west territory, that is the regions of Arkhangelsk, Vologda, Kaliningrad, Kirov, Leningrad, Lipetsk, Murmansk, Novgorod; the Republics of Karelia and Komi; and the City of Saint-Petersburg.

The programme is addressed to the major brunches of the industry. Now it has been extended to the Asian part of Russia. Under support of the Arctic Council now the program is being implemented at the Norilsk ore mining and processing enterprise ("Norilskiy Nikel"), the territory of Krasnoyarsk. There have been done highly efficient proposals on utilisation of sulfur dioxide extracted from the emissions for industrial production of sulfur as the main world producer.

As the practice shows, each dollar invested into the Programme yields 2 to 5 dollars of profit, due to substantial cut in consumption of electric power, raw material and water and increase in waste recovery. A finance and credit line has been established in co-operation with the NEFCO (Nordic Environment Finance Corporation). Based on the Finance Engineering Programme, it will help choose the projects most attractive in respect of rational us of resources and reduction of effluents, to be then implemented at concrete enterprises.

At present a number of such projects are being prepared, and credit agreements have been signed and executed with many companies.

"Policy of Clean Production"

As result of 10-years activity of the Cleaner Production Centre the Document "Policy of Clean Production" has been proposed in order to increase the priority of the clean technologies in the environmental cooperation activity of the major European countries and international organisations (European Union, etc.). This strategic document consists of the following activities to be implemented in the coming decade:

1. Capacity building (Rising awareness on the negative impact of production on health and environment, development of educational programs)

2. Integration of efforts on the "life-cycle principle" of the governmental, regional and local bodies basing on new instruments (environmental management, environmental marking, etc.)

3. Communication – wide participation and sharing of responsibilities mainly through the involvement into joint projects and partnership with western neighbouring countries

- 4. Implementation development of economic instruments
- 5. Research support of the innovative preventive technologies
- 6. Plan of Actions and special sectoral plans
- 7. Financing

This year the document has been included into the major European strategies ("Northern Dimension" of the European Union, the Barents Euro-Arctic region program). The Russian territory has been selected as the focal area of the implementation of this Strategy

International "Round Table" – December 2004

The regional policy of the Clean Production based on Russian-Norwegian Center has proved its efficiency and vital significance to be extended over the entire country.

In connection with the 10th anniversary of the Cleaner Production Programme in Russia the Center in collaboration with the Russian Regional Environmental Centre makes a proposal, approved and supported by the Ministry of Natural Resources of the RF, aimed at holding in Moscow, probably in December 2004, the first Russian Cleaner Production Round Table, with the participation of many foreign specialists.

It is expected that the Round Table will contribute to the extension of the focal area of the Program "Clean Development" over the entire territory of Russia.

It will be discussed the feasibility to perform the environmental reconstruction of the economy and its sectors (industry, energy, transport, municipal services and agricultural production) for the period of 7 years – by 2010.