

# Towards an Effective Paris Agreement

Manish Kumar Shrivastava  
Earth Sciences and Climate Change Division, TERI

India-Japan Climate Policy Workshop  
New Delhi, 29-30 September 2015



# What is Effective?

- Delivers ultimate objective of the Convention
  - 2 Degree goal
  - Four pillars (or more)
- According to the Principles of Convention
  - Equity and CBDR&RC
- Countries agree to even if it is sub-optimal
  - Weak deal is better than no deal argument

# What gets agreed?

(from the country submissions to the INC, 1991-92)

Value/Criteria invoked	Industrialized Countries	Developing countries	SIDS
Precaution	Moderate	Moderate	Strong
Historical responsibility/ Polluter Pays Principle	Moderate	Strong	Moderate
Accountability/Trust among parties/Compliance	Strong	Moderate	Weak
Economic instruments/Use of market/Impact on trade	Strong	Moderate	Weak
Capability/Best available technology	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Differentiation	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Common concern/Global implementation	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Equity	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Centricity/ Based on scientific knowledge	Strong	Strong	strong
Circumstances/Level of development	Strong	Strong	Strong
Sustainable Development	Strong	Strong	Strong
Sovereignty	Strong	Strong	Weak
Cooperation/Capacity building/ Harmonization of policies and measures	Strong	Strong	Weak
Cost-efficiency	Strong	Weak	Weak
Conservation	Strong	Weak	Weak
Duty to cooperate and assist	Weak	Weak	Strong

# Status: Key elements for Paris deal (excluding Legal Form)

Issue	Developed Countries	Developing Countries	SIDS & LDCs	Key concern
<b>INDCs and Review of ambition</b>	Accept as they are	Live with as they are	Unhappy.	<b>Equity and CBDR; Fairness</b>
<b>Finance (GCF, LDCF, AF)</b>	Would like to bypass	Unhappy with pledges	Unhappy with GCF procedure	<b>Respective Capabilities</b>
<b>Technology (CTCN)</b>	No forward looking proposal	Unfinished agenda	Struggling with CTCN	<b>FAST Diffusion</b>
<b>Adaptation Goals</b>	??	Qualitative (India)	Some mention in INDCs	<b>Technical challenges</b>
<b>Loss and Damage</b>	US is opposed to it	For it	Increasing emphasis	<b>Political and Technical</b>
<b>Market mechanisms</b>	Expect linking of domestic markets at global scale	-Dwindling trust due to CDM experience but expectations are alive	For it, more concerned about assistance/ Grants	<b>Without legally binding targets difficult</b>
<b>MRV</b>				<b>CBDR</b>

# Possible way outs

- Equity
  - Carbon budget allocations
  - INDC as they are and CBDR in MRV and Review procedure
- INDC ambition and Loss & Damage Mechanism
  - Key hurdle: US
- INDC ambition and technology
  - Energy intensity of GDP and CO2 intensity of electricity
  - RE Targets: EU, China, India
    - » **G77+China proposal on collaborative R&D Plus business model for diffusion/market creation**
    - » **Private sector and market forces ??**
- More pledges to GCF can save the day

Thank you  
mshrivas@teri.res.in



Lighting a Billion Lives