

# Introduction to the review of the EU Emissions Trading Scheme

Brussels, 24 April 2007 Delegation from Japan

Johannes ENZMANN
Administrator
DG Environment
European Commission





## Stages of development of EU ETS: Start-up period 2005-07

- ★Allowances mostly allocated for free (auctioning limited to 5%)
- ★Robust emissions monitoring and verification
- **★**Efficient electronic registry system
- **★**Sound market development
- **★**However, insufficiently ambitious levels for emission reductions



## Evolution/regulatory changes in 2008-12

- ★ 2008-12: First commitment period of Kyoto Protocol
  - Commission approval given to 10 plans in November 2006 followed by another seven (eight) from January to April 2007
  - ♦ Fair and equal treatment being given to all MSs
- ★ Fine-tuning and improvement of the infrastructure
  - Revised monitoring and reporting rules
  - Revised registries regulation
- **★** Opt-in of the first non-CO₂ emissions
  - Netherlands and France have requested the inclusion of installations in the fertiliser industry emitting N<sub>2</sub>O
- ★ Gradual integration of carbon capture and storage (CCS)
- ★ Increased harmonisation of the coverage of combustion installations (e.g. chemical crackers)
- ★ Aviation will be integrated into the EU ETS as of 2011 Commission proposal of December 2006



#### The EU ETS Review

- ★ Commission Communication COM(2006)676:
  Building a global carbon market
- Identified four areas for review:
  - **♦** Scope of the Directive
  - Further harmonisation and increased predictability
  - Robust compliance and enforcement
  - Linking with emission trading schemes in third countries
- ★ In addition, consideration being given to:
  - Institutional and procedural aspects
  - Relationship between EU ETS and other market based regulatory instruments



#### What the review is about

- ★ Improve the functioning of the scheme based on practical implementation and experience
- ★ Relevant for periods from 2013 onwards, as markets need regulatory stability
- ★ Expand coverage further sectors and gases, beyond aviation
  - ♦ N20, CH4, carbon capture and storage
- **★** Streamline design of the EU ETS
  - ♦ More harmonised approach to cap-setting and allocation
  - More predictability and certainty
  - **♦ More harmonised approach to new entrants and closures**
  - Harmonisation of accreditation and verification



#### Implementation of the Review

- ★ European Climate Change Programme (ECCP)
  - Multi-stakeholder consultative process
  - Consultation on review to take place within ECCP group on emission trading
- ★ Interested parties are invited to submit their views and share their practical experience with the Commission
  - env-ets-review@ec.europa.eu
- Member States' Report of on implementation of the EU ETS ('Article 21 Reports')
- ★ LIFE project 'LETS Update'
- ★ Reports on various aspects to be discussed in the review available from
  - http://ec.europa.eu/environment/climat/emission/review\_en.htm



#### **Issue 1: Scope of the Directive**

- More consistent application of current scope:
  - Clarity on specific types of combustion installations including more specific technical description with a view to facilitating harmonised application in Member States
  - **♦** cost-effectiveness of covering *small installations*
- **★** Expansion of the EU ETS:

  - Harmonised inclusion of additional activities
- **★** Opt-in provisions of the Directive
- ★ Carbon dioxide capture and geological storage
- **★** Emission reduction projects within the Community



### Issue 2: Robust compliance and enforcement

#### Monitoring and reporting:

- ♥ Guidelines to be laid down in a Regulation
- Possible revision and extension of Annex IV of the Directive
- Means to ensure EU-wide minimum standards of application in practice of monitoring and reporting

#### ★ Verification

- Ensure improved stringency and oversight of verification and accreditation process in Member States including possible Community level accreditation
- EU-wide Regulation for verification and accreditation

#### **★** Compliance provisions

- Enforcement of verification process
- Harmonisation of existing compliance provisions



## Issue 3: Further harmonisation and increased predictability (1)

#### ★Setting of a cap

- EU wide cap or more harmonised national cap setting
- Various options to each possibility
- Extension of allocation certainty to increase predictability

#### ★ Predictability

- Review intervals
- Cap setting complemented by equal time horizons for allocation



## Issue 3: Further harmonisation and increased predictability (2)

#### Allocation of allowances to sectors and installations

- Harmonised allocation methodologies and rules to increase objectiveness and transparency
- Auctioning, benchmarking?
- Sector specific allocation?
- Allocations based on projections, emissions data, efficiency parameters?
- Matter of pass-through of allowance prices
- Auctioning and specific issues related to it
  - Share, nationally or EU-wide, schedules, design, market impact
  - Auctions under national or EU-wide caps
- ★ Benchmarking
  - Applicability, EU-wide or national, number of factors
  - Based on input, output, data availability, transparency issues etc



## Issue 3: Further harmonisation and increased predictability (3)

#### ★ New entrants

- Harmonised approach to new entrants
- Reserves or not
- ♦ Harmonised allocation rules from any reserve
- Definition of new entrants

#### ★ Closure of installations

Harmonised approach

#### ★ Monitoring and reporting

Cost-effective solutions for providing information to the market on actual emissions so as to ensure optimal market transparency



#### **Issue 4: Linking provisions**

- ★ Relationship of EU ETS to third country schemes
  - Possibility of linking EU ETS with third country schemes
  - Extension to arrangements within third countries ratified or not ratified the Kyoto Protocol
- ★ Involvement of developing countries and countries in economic transition in emissions abatement efforts through JI and CDM
  - How to strengthen these countries participation in abatement activities
  - **♥ Community-level arrangements for authorisation of projects**
  - Possibility of further harmonising KP project credits accepted by MS
  - **♦ Harmonising the percentages of KP project credits**



#### **Concluding thoughts**

- Europe leads the way in turning the concept of marketbased climate policy into reality and a continent-wide carbon price signal has emerged.
- The EU ETS in its current shape is the first step in an evolution to a global carbon market.
- The review process is the opportunity to decide on the future strategic direction for the EU ETS
- Review process faces a trade-off between quality and quantity and needs to build on experience.
- A simple scheme will be more likely to fulfil its promise and provide blueprint for other schemes.



## YOU CONTROL CLIMATE CHANGE.



TURN DOWN. SWITCH OFF. RECYCLE. WALK. CHANGE

More information on EU climate policy: http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/climat/home\_en.htm