

Introduction

- UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP)
 - Regional Arm of UN Secretariat one of the five Regional Commissions under ECOSOC, like ECE, ECLAC, ESCWA, ECA
 - Organize regular "Commission" and other important development fora in the region (multidisciplinary socio-economic topics and multiministerial outreach), e.g.
 - Five-yearly Ministerial Conference on Environment and Development in Asia and the Pacific (MCED)

 - Regional Process for CSD
 Initiated AP Seminar on Climate Change in cooperation with Ministry of Environment GOJ
 - Altogether, promotes sustainable economic and social development in the region





UNESCAP promotes **Synergy**

- Attempt to respond to mandates given by UN and **UNESCAP** member countries
- Environment and Sustainable Development Division (ESDD) to integrating Environment into Socioeconomic Development
- Millennium Development Goals agreed upon by the World Leaders
 - Mainly, MDG1 (Poverty) and MDG7 (Environment)
 - Other concerns includes Health, Gender, Primary education, Human Rights, etc.
- Win-win Approach "Green Growth" <Environmentally-sustainable Economic Growth> highlighted in MCED 2005



Green Growth - a Key Approach

- SOE Assessment on AP Region's Development

 - Development = Growth stays as primary concern for AP to overcome persistent poverty challenge

 Current development pattern is no longer sustainable visà-vis consideration of environmental carrying capacity (climate change is one but not a sole factor.)

 Efforts needed to achieve development paradigm shift (Environmentally Sustainable Economic Growth = Green Growth)
- Two Tracks of Action for promoting Green Growth
 - Intervention at Policy Level

 - Green tax and budget reform;
 Development of sustainable infrastructure;
 Promotion of sustainable consumption and production;
 Greening of markets and business, and
 Development of Ecological Efficiency Indicators
 Promotion of Local Initiatives





United Nations

Kitakyushu Initiative for a Clean **Environment (KI)**

- UNESCAP's primary instrument to promote local environmental Initiatives
 - Adopted at the 4th Ministerial Conference on Environment and Development in Asia and the Pacific (MCED2000) held in Kitakyushu, Japan.
 - Attempts to drew lessons from the practices and experiences of Kitakyushu City and other cities in Asia Pacific
 - Mandate to achieve measurable progress in improvement of the urban environment in Asia and the Pacific
 - Promotes local initiatives targeting control of air and water pollution, minimization of all kinds of wastes, taking selected technical, institutional, regulatory and participatory measures





KI Second Cycle 2006 - 2010

- Built on Positive Evaluation of 1st Cycle (2000 -2005) at MCED2005 in Seoul, ROK
 - **Green Growth Focus Strengthened**
 - Increasingly highlighting Integrated Approach to Co-Benefits

 - Local EnvironmentGlobal Environment
 - Local Economic Livelihood

 - Poverty Alleviation, Health, Gender Equity
 Governance / Stakeholder Partnership, Human Rights
 Self Sustainability (Financial, Socio-Economic), etc.
 - Climate Change components being strengthened

 - More efficient energy use in municipal facilities
 CDM as additional financial possibility (MSW, ESCO, etc.)





Environment and Socio-Economic Co-Benefits

- An emerging concept based on...
 Environmental Co-benefit (Climate Change Action and Local Environmental Management,
 - Socio-economic Co-benefits (Poverty Alleviation, Health, Gender and Human Rights, etc.)
 - Synergy amongst MDGs
- Addressing to overcome technical and financial as well as political barriers to undertaking environmental protection measures at different levels





Challenges of Local Environmental Actions

- Challenges are often cited in terms of...
 Local Capacity (technical / instrumental)
 Lack of legal /regulatory instruments
 Financial resources
 Awareness and support
- Background problems
 - Policy Priority amongst mounting multiple challenges (poverty, slums, housing, infrastructure, health, social welfare, economic livelihood) > More competition than synergy
 Perception Environmental protection measures are financial burden (Prevailing both in city managers, donors and investors)
 Environmental Departments relatively weak





How Co-benefit Approach works?

- Environmental Protection Measures often have the socio-economic co-benefits
- · Such co-benefits, if explicitly demonstrated, will contribute to
 - Enhancing the priority in local policy making
 - Creating more synergy amongst different department
 - Attracting more financial opportunities (grants) from broad range
 - · Strengthening public support with enhanced awareness
- Economic return will help
 - Revolving investment for project expansion / replication
 - Attract more financial opportunities (loans and investments)
 - Engage expertise commercially available





Exemplar Cases of Co-benefits (1)

- Typical Municipal Solid Waste Management can simultaneously address:
 - Environmental benefits:
 - Improvement in MSW Management / health implications
 - · Reduction of GHG gas emission
 - Socio-economic co-benefits:
 - Engagement of Informal Sector
 - · Generating incomes for the poor and marginalized
 - New business opportunities
 - Partnership amongst NGO, Private Sector and City Hall
 - Urban Governance / Transparency

 - Social equity and gender perspectiveNew Finance through Landfill Gas CDM project





Exemplar Cases of Co-benefits (2)

- Promoting Public Transportation / Demand Side Management for Urban Transport will generate:
 - Environmental benefits:
 - Improvement in local air quality
 - · Reduction of GHG gas emission
 - Socio-economic co-benefits:
 - · Direct return from energy saving

 - Stimulate economic livelihood / competitiveness
 - · Equitable mobility and safety
 - New business opportunities
 - New Finance through Urban Transport CDM project





KI Support for Co-Benefit Approach

- Identifying emerging innovative approach + dissemination:
 - Tokyo Workshop (June 2006) focusing on Lifestyle Changes
 - Yogyakarta BAQ Pre-Workshop (Dec 2006) focusing on Environment and Socio-Economic Benefits in urban AQM programmes
 - Fourth Meeting of KI Network (June 2007) with special session on innovative financial mechanisms for urban SD projects
- Guidebook
 - Energy Efficiency Improvement in Public Buildings
 - Municipal Solid Waste-related CDM Projects
- Promoting replication of successful practices:
 - Series of Study Tours for replication of successful practices Distant Learning Events

 - Incubation of more city-to-city cooperation
- Demonstration/ Pilot Projects with Seed Finance





Expected Impacts (Sus. Development)

- Implementation of <Local Sustainable
 Development Urban Environment
 Management> project facilitated, through inter
 alia:
 - · Enhancing the priority in local policy making
 - Attracting more financial opportunities from broad range of sources, including CDM
 - Strengthening public support with enhanced awareness
 - Engaging expertise commercially available
- Achievement of environmental and other MDG goals accelerated





Expected Impacts (Climate Change)

- More CER generated through unilateral CDM
- Net Global Reduction through Innovative Institutional Arrangement, e.g. CER Discounting Scheme
- De-facto participation of non-Annex I countries in Global Climate Action
- Contribution to Post-Kyoto Dialogue





- Consultation ongoing with potential donors and partners
- Seek for inter-agency / inter-programme collaborations
- Activities on co-benefits in adaptation mainstreaming in preparation
- Any comments, suggestions, proposal welcome

Contact:

Environment and Sustainable
Development Division (ESDD)
UN Economic and Social Commission
for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP)
UN Building, Rajdamnern Nok Avenue,
10200 Bangkok, Thailand
(escap-esdd-evs@un.org)





