

### **Background**

### **Requirement of the Minamata Convention on Mercury**

Controlling the emissions of mercury is required by Minamata Convention and local laws in most countries worldwide. Article 8 of the Minamata Convention relates to emissions from the point sources of mercury listed in the Annex D, including coal-fired power plants. Flue gas treatment method for mercury removal is commonly used and can be universally applied to industrial processes. Such a method that does not require treatment of any resulting process effluent streams is more desirable for facility operators.

## Overview of the Technology

#### **Mercury Control Method**

Open channel structure

There are multiple methods to remove mercury from industrial flue gas. Some rely on physical adsorption while others involve chemical reactions. Among these methods, fixed sorbent system utilizes a composite structure containing chemical sorbents and catalysts in a polymeric matrix to chemically capture and bind mercury. The table below outlines key attributes of common mercury removal techniques.

#### Mercury Removal Selection Matrix:

open trainers and com- provides extremely low pressure drop	Hg Reduction Technology	Pros	Cons
Sorbent Polymer Catalyst binds Mercury Source: W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.	Activated Carbon Injection	Low Capital Cost     High removal efficiency with baghouse     Small footprint	Potentially high operating cost depending on injection rate     Additional particulate matter introduced     Contamination of process dust with Hg on carbon
	Oxidizing Agents	Low Capital Cost     Low Operating Cost     Small footprint	Limited Hg removal     Relies on wet scrubber to capture oxidized mercury     Potential for corrosion of process equipment and waste water treatment impacts
	bound.  rerted to dilute Chelating	Low Capital Cost     Controls Re-emissions from wet scrubber     Small footprint	Limited Hg removal     Only effective for binding mercury already in soluble form     Potentially high operating cost depending on injection rate
	Traditional Fixed Carbon Bed	Capable of very high mercury removal     Low process impact     Long history – established technology     Passive Operation	Not designed for saturated gas streams – requires pre- conditioning which adds significant cost     High Pressure Drop     Large footprint
	Fixed Sorbent System	Low Operating Cost Low Pressure Drop Passive Operation Low process impact Small or zero footprint SO <sub>2</sub> removal co-benefit (reported removal up to 75%)	<ul> <li>Initial capital cost</li> <li>Commercial experience limited (2013 to present)</li> <li>Difficult to operate over 1000~</li> </ul>

# **Mercury Technology Bulletin Series:**

### Advantages/Strengths

Fixed sorbent system can be universally applied to the tail end of almost any industrial processes and have no adverse impact on usual/existing the operation of the process. It provides continuous mercury removal from the process without the use of any chemicals or reagents. Mercury is securely bound in a safe, environmentally sound form and treatment of fly ash/effluents for mercury removal is not necessary.



- · Requires No Chemicals or Reagents
- · Extremely Low Operating Cost
- · Simple Passive Operation
- · Low Pressure Drop

- · Almost No Impact on Existing Process
- Substantial SO<sub>2</sub> Removal Co-benefit can eliminate need for scrubber upgrade or enable lower SO<sub>2</sub> limits to be met
- Scalable Design

# Applicability

Fixed sorbent system offers an alternative method to control gas phase mercury emissions from industrial applications while providing additional  $\mathrm{SO}_2$  removal. These systems have been successfully installed globally in coal fired power plants, sewage sludge incinerators, and various metals/ minerals applications for over a decade. This system can be incorporated into existing industrial processes with minimal to no disruption of the existing plant operations. Byproducts such as fly ash or gypsum from coal fired power plants are unaffected enabling their continued beneficial use. Similarly, process dust collected from metals/minerals applications may continue to be recycled within the process without concern over cycling up mercury concentrations.

#### Further Reading

- Mercury removal technology from the flue gas at Sewage Sludge Treatment Facility http://www.jefma.or.jp/jefma/68/pdf/jefma68-10.pdf
- Innovative technology reduces mercury emissions to keep Ohio's Sewage Sludge Incinerators hot and costs cool, WEF Residuals and Biosolids Conference 2017

(https://www.gore.com/sites/g/files/ypyipe116/files/2017-08/WEF-Residuals-Conference2017-Incinerator-Emission-Control-for-SSI\_Footer\_8\_07\_17.pdf)

Published in: Edited and published by:



March, 2021
Office of Mercury Management
Environmental Health Department
Ministry of the Environment, Japan
1-2-2 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku Tokyo, 100-8975, Japan
Tel: +81-{0}-3-5521-8260, E-Mail: suigin@env.go.jp
http://www.env.go.jp/en/chemi/mercury/mcm.html