CAS No.: 119-12-0 Substance: Pyridaphenthion

Chemical Substances Control Law Reference No.: 5-5598

PRTR Law Cabinet Order No.\*: 2-58

Molecular Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>PS

Molecular Weight: 340.33

Structural formula

\*Note: No. in Revised Cabinet Order enacted on October 1, 2009

## 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 100 mg/L ( $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), the partition coefficient (1-octanol/water) ( $\log K_{ow}$ ) is 3.2, and the vapor pressure is  $1.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mmHg}$  (=1.47×10<sup>-6</sup> Pa) (25°C). Biodegradability (aerobic degradation) is limited, and bioaccumulation is not considered to be high. Its half-life for hydrolysis is 72 days (pH=5, 25°C), 46 days (pH=7, 25°C), 27 days (pH=9, 25°C), 19 days (distilled water, 25°C), and 7 days (natural water, 25°C).

This substance is designated as a Type III Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances and a Class 2 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). The main use was as a pesticide. Registration as an agricultural chemical expired on February 28, 2007. The production and import category under the PRTR Law is less than 1 t.

## 2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in fiscal 2007 under the PRTR Law was 0.002 t, and all releases were unreported. Distribution in the environment by medium predicted by a multi-media model indicated a 92% distribution to water bodies when predictions were made for the areas where releases to the environment and soil were largest.

Registration of this substance as an agricultural chemical expired in February 2007 and the production quantity in 2007 was 0 t.

Total releases under the PRTR Law in fiscal 2007 were 0.002 t/y. Accordingly, the predicted environmental concentration (PEC) could not be set at present.

------

## 3. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h median effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) of more than 8,530  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the green algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*; a 48-h EC<sub>50</sub> of 0.51  $\mu$ g/L for swimming inhibition in the crustacean *Daphnia magna*; and a 96-h median lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) of 7,500  $\mu$ g/L for the fish species *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout). Accordingly, based on these acute toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.0051  $\mu$ g/L was obtained.

With regard to chronic toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h no observed effect concentration (NOEC) of 3,650  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the green algae *P. subcapitata*; and a 21-d NOEC of 0.46  $\mu$ g/L for reproductive inhibition in the crustacean *D. magna*. Accordingly, based on these chronic toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.0046  $\mu$ g/L was obtained. The value of 0.0046  $\mu$ g/L obtained from the chronic toxicity to the crustacean was used as the PNEC for this substance.

A judgment on the ecological risk of this substance could not be made because the predicted environmental concentration (PEC) could not be set at present. An understanding of use trends, as well as trends in manufacturing and import quantities is required, as is collection of environmental concentration data.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)				Predicted no	Exposure assessment			
Species	Acute/ chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/PNEC ratio	Assessment result
Crustacean  Daphnia magna	Chronic	NOEC Reproductive inhibition	100	0.0046	Freshwater Seawater			× ( <b>▲</b> )

## 4. Conclusions

	Conclusions					
Ecological risk	Judgment cannot be made at present regarding ecological risk. An understanding of use trends, as well as trends in manufacturing and import quantities is required, as is collection of environmental concentration data.					

[Risk judgments] O: No need for further work

▲: Requiring information collection

■: Candidates for further work

×: Impossibility of risk characterization

(O): Though a risk characterization cannot be determined, there would be little necessity of collecting information.

(**A**) : Further information collection would be required for risk characterization.