14	CAS No.: 88-72-2	Substance: o-Nitrotoluene
Chemic	al Substances Control Law	Reference No.: 3-437 (Nitrotoluene)
PRTR L	aw Cabinet Order No.:	
		Structural Formula: NO ₂
Molecul	lar Formula: C ₇ H ₇ NO ₂	
Molecul	lar Weight: 137.14	CH ₃

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 537 mg/L (20° C, pH = 7) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 2.30. The vapor pressure is 0.188 mmHg (= 25.1 Pa) (25° C, extrapolated value). This substance is determinated to be persistent, also to be non or not highly bioaccumulative. In addition, this substance does not have hydrolyzable groups.

It is mainly used for intermediates for dyes and in organic synthesis. The total of production (shipment) and imports in FY 2001 was 1,000 to less than 10,000 tons/yr.

2. Exposure assessment

As *o*-Nitrotoluene is not a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law), no information on release and transfer quantities could be obtained. When predictions of distribution ratios by medium were made using the Mackay-Type Level III Fugacity Model, in the event of equal release to the atmosphere, water, and soil, the distribution ratio was highest for soil.

Based on data for the ambient air, the previous predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings was $0.12 \ \mu g/m^3$. The highest estimated oral exposure was calculated to be approximately less than $0.008 \ \mu g/kg/day$ based on previous data regarding freshwater bodies. The risk of exposure to this substance through food in environmental media is considered to be low.

The previous predicted environmental concentration (PEC), which indicates exposure to aquatic organisms, was estimated to be less than $0.2 \mu g/L$ for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of health risk

The substance irritates the eyes. The substance may cause effects on the blood, resulting in formation of methemoglobin. Contact with eyes may cause redness and pain. By inhalation and ingestion, it may cause headache, blue lips or finger nails, blue skin, dizziness and laboured breath. Additionally, by ingestion, it may cause abdominal pain. The substance absorbed into the body through the skin may cause the similar symptoms.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

A lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL) of 25 mg/kg/day (depression of body weight gain and degeneration of hepatic cells, etc.) was obtained for oral exposure from the medium- and long-term toxicity testing for rats. As this was a LOAEL, it was divided by 10, and a value of 2.5 mg/kg/day was derived as the 'Non-toxic level^{*}'. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level^{*}' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of intakes of freshwater in the public water bodies, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately less than 0.008 μ g/kg/day. The margin of exposure (MOE) of exceeding 31,000 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level^{*}' of 2.5 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level^{*}' was established by means of animal testing. As the exposure to this substance through

food intakes was estimated minor, even when the exposure through groundwater and food are combined, it would not greatly affect the MOE values. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

Concerning inhalation exposure, because its 'Non-toxic level^{*}' is not determined, its health risk can not be identified. For reference, assuming that the absorption rate is 100%, the 'Non-toxic level^{*}' for the oral exposure is converted to the 'Non-toxic level^{*}' for the inhalation exposure. The resulting value is 8.3 mg/m³. The MOE determined from this figure and the predicted maximum exposure concentration of the ambient air is 6,900. Accordingly, there would be little necessity of collecting information on inhalation exposure to this substance for its health risk assessment.

Information of toxicity						Exposure assessment						
Exposure Path	Criteria for	risk a:	ssessment	Ani mal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	exposur	l maximum e quantity centration	Result	of risk assess	sment	Judg ment
Oral	' Non-toxic level*'	2.5	mg/kg/day	Rats	depression of body weight gain and degeneration of	Drinking water	-	µg/kg/day	MOE	-	×	
	level				hepatic cells, etc.	freshwater	< 0.008	µg/kg/day	MOE	> 31,000		
Inhalation	' Non-toxic		3			Ambient air	0.12	µg/m³	MOE	-	×	()
innalation	level*'	-	mg/m ³	-	-	Indoor air	-	µg/m ³	MOE	-	×	×

Non-toxic level *

• When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.

• When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, a 72-hour median effective concentration (EC₅₀) for growth inhibition of green algae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* was 22,000 µg/L, a 48-hour EC₅₀ for immobilization of crustacea *Daphnia magna* was 5,400 µg/L, a 96-hour median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) for fish (guppy) *Poecilia reticulata* was 29,000 µg/L, and a 96-hour LC₅₀ for mortality and behavior of *Xenopus laevis* was 3,400 µg/L. Based on these reliable acute toxicity data, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) based on acute toxicity was determined to be 54 µg/L with an assessment factor 100. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour no observed effect concentration (NOEC) growth inhibition value of 4,400 µg/L was found for the algae *C. pyrenoidosa*, and a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 500 µg/L was obtained based on the chronic toxicity values. As the PNEC for the substance, a value of 5 µg/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.04 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard a	ssessment (basis	s for PNEC)		Predicted no	Expo	sure assessment		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea	Chronic	NOEC	100	5	Freshwater	<0.2	< 0.04	0
(water flea)	Childhic	reproduction	100	5	Seawater	<0.2	< 0.04	U

	Conclusions				
	Oral exposure	No need for further work.			
Health risk	Inhalation exposure	Risk cannot be determined. However, there would be little necessity of collecting information.	()		
Ecological risk	ogical risk No need for further work.				
[Risk judgments	: Candidates for	1 8	little necess		
	collecting informat				