20	CAS No.: 106-49-0	Substance: para-Toluidine
Chemical	Substances Control Law Referen	ice No.: 3-186 (as toluidine)
PRTR La	w Cabinet Order No.: 1-226	
		Structural Formula:
	r Formula: C7H9N r Weight: 107.16	CH ₃ NH ₂

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 7.35×10^4 mg/L (20° C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 1.39. The vapor pressure is 0.286 mmHg (= 38.1Pa) (25° C, extrapolated value). Degradability (aerobic degradation) in terms of BOD-based (NH₃) degradation percentage is estimated to be 32% (in average). The bioconcentration of this substance is determined not to be high.

This substance is a Type 2 and Type 3 Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances and a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). It is used primarily as a raw material of organic synthesis, and a special solvent of the production of dyes. The quantities of production (shipment) and import in FY2001 were 1,000 - below 10,000 tons, and the quantities of export and import in FY2004 were 418 tons and 6,051 tons, respectively (total of toluizine and its derivatives, and their salt forms in each value).

2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in FY2004 under the PRTR Law came to 0.85 tons, all of which was reported. Release to the atmosphere accounted for a large part of the reported release. The source of the reported release was only Chemical Industry.

The distribution into each environment medium predicted by means of a multimedia model was 89.8% for water bodies and 9.3% for bottom in the case of the region where the release quantity to the environment and atmosphere was considered to be the maximum. In the case of the region where the release quantity to the public water bodies was considered to be the maximum, the distribution was 90.7% for water bodies and 8.5% for bottom.

No predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings could be established. However, there was a report that when the data for a limited area (Kawasaki City) was used, it was less than 0.00091 μ g/m³. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be approximately less than 0.00024 μ g/kg/day. Because the bioconcentration of this substance is predicted to be low, exposure from environmental media via the food chain is assumed to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be less than $0.006 \mu g/L$ for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of health risk

This substance causes irritation of the eyes and skin, and may have effects on blood to produce methemoglobin. Exposure at high concentration may result in disorder of kidney and bladder. The inhalation or ingestion may result in blue lips, nails and skin, confusion, dizziness, headache, laboured breathing, nausea, shortness of breath, unconsciousness, and weakness. Contact

with skin may be absorbed and cause the similar symptoms. Contact with eyes causes redness, pains and severe chemical burn.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' for oral exposure, the LOAEL of 40 mg/kg/day (methemoglobinemia) was obtained from mediumand long-term toxicity testing for rat. As this was a LOAEL, it is divided by 10, and because of the short experimental period, the value was further divided by 10, and a value of 0.4 mg/kg/day was derived as the 'Non-toxic level'. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of intakes of the groundwater, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately less than 0.00024 µg/kg/day. The MOE of exceeding 170,000 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 0.4 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level' was established by means of animal testing. As the exposure to this substance through food intakes is estimated minor, even when the exposures through groundwater and food are combined, it would not greatly affect the MOE values. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

For the inhalation, because its 'Non-toxic level' is not determined, and the exposure concentrations were not estimated, its health risk could not be identified. The total release of this substance to the environment (reported quantity of release) was 0.85 tons, and 62% of it was released to the atmosphere. The half-life of this substance in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.49-4.9 hrs, and almost all of it is estimated to distribute into the mediums other than the atmosphere. Accordingly, there would be low necessity of collecting information on inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air for its health risk assessment.

	Information of to:	xicity		Expo	sure assessmer	nt				
Exposure path	Criteria for risk assessment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted exposure q concer	uantity and	Result	of risk asses	sment	Judgment
Oral	'Non toxic 0.4 mg/kg/day	Rats	Methemoglobinemia	Drinking water	_	µg/kg/day	MOE	_	×	0
Olai	level'	Nais	Methemoglobilienna	Groundwater	< 0.00024	µg/kg/day	MOE	> 170,000	0	0
lah alati sa	'Non toxic			Ambient air	_	$\mu g/m^3$	MOE	-	×	×
Inhalation	level' – mg/m ³	_	-	Indoor air	_	µg/m³	MOE	_	×	×

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour EC₅₀ growth inhibition value of 23,900 µg/L was found for the algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, a 48-hour EC₅₀ immobilization value of 1,260 µg/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC₅₀ value of 118,000 µg/L was found for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka), and a 48-hour EC₅₀ form change value of 99,700 µg/L was found for the protozoa *Spirostomum ambiguum*. Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 13 µg/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour no observed effect concentration (NOEC) growth inhibition value of 3,120 µg/L was found for the algae *P. subcapitata*, and a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 11.1 µg/L was obtained based on the chronic toxicity values. As the PNEC for the substance, a value of 0.11 µg/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.05 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)				Predicted no	Exposu	re assessment		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea	Chronic	NOEC reproduction	100	0.11	Freshwater	< 0.006	< 0.05	0
(water flea)	Chiloline			0.11	Seawater	< 0.006	< 0.05	0
Conclusions				Conclusions				Judgmer
Conclusions	Oral avroaut	na No no	ad of further i					Judgmer
Conclusions	Oral exposur	re No ne	ed of further v					Judgmen
	Oral exposur Inhalation			work.	. However, t	here is thought	to be	0
	1	Impos	sible of risk c	work.		e	to be	Judgmer O ×
Health risk	Inhalation	Impos compa	sible of risk c	vork. haracterization.		e	to be	0
Health risk Ecological risk [Risk judgments]	Inhalation exposure No need of f	Impos compa urther work.	sible of risk c aratively little	vork. haracterization.	informatior	n, etc.	to be	

Non-toxic level *

• When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.

• When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.