19	CAS No.: 108-44-1	Substance: meta-Toluidine
Chemical	Substances Control Law Refere	nce No.: 3-186(as toluidine)
PRTR La	w Cabinet Order No.:	
		Structural Formula:
Molecula	r Formula: C7H9N	CH <sub>3</sub>
Molecula	r Weight: 107.16	NH <sub>2</sub>

### 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is  $1.50 \times 10^4$  mg/L (20°C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 1.53. The vapor pressure is 0.303 mmHg (= 40.4 Pa) (25°C). Degradability (aerobic degradation) in terms of BOD-based degradation percentage is estimated to be 0%. This substance is determined to be no or little bioaccumulative. The hydrolizability of this substance was stable at 25°C, and pH 7 and 9.

This substance is a Type 2 Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances. It is used primarily as a synthetic raw material of organic chemicals, mainly an intermediate of polyazo dyes. The quantities of export and import in FY2004 were 418 tons and 6,051 tons, respectively (total of toluizine and its derivatives, and their salt forms in each value).

\_\_\_\_\_

#### 2. Exposure assessment

As this substance is not a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law), release and transfer quantities could not be obtained. When predictions of distribution ratios by medium were made using the Mackay-Type Level III Fugacity Model, in the event of equal release to the atmosphere, water and soil, the distribution ratio was highest for soil and water.

No predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings could be established. However, there was a report that when the data for a limited area (Kawasaki City) was used, it was less than 0.00086  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be approximately less than 0.00024  $\mu$ g/kg/day. Because the bioconcentration of this substance is predicted to be low, exposure from environmental media via the food chain is assumed to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be less than  $0.006 \,\mu$ g/L for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

\_\_\_\_\_

## 3. Initial assessment of health risk

This substance causes irritation of the eyes and skin, and may have effects on blood to produce methemoglobin. Exposure at high concentration may result in disorder of kidney and bladder. The inhalation or ingestion may result in blue lips, nails and skin, dizziness, headache, laboured breathing, shortness of breath and weakness. Contact with the skin may be absorbed and cause the similar symptoms. Contact with the eyes causes redness and pains.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' for the oral exposure, the LOAEL of 30 mg/kg/day (deposition of pigment and extramedullary hematopoiesis in the spleen) was obtained from the medium- and long-term toxicity testing for rats. As this was a LOAEL, it was divided by 10, and because of the short experimental period, the value was further divided by 10, and a value of 0.3

mg/kg/day was derived as the 'Non-toxic level'. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of intakes of the groundwater, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately less than 0.00024 µg/kg/day. The MOE of exceeding 130,000 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 0.3 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level' was established by means of animal testing. As the exposure to this substance through food intakes is estimated minor, even when the exposures through groundwater and food are combined, it would not greatly affect the MOE values. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

For the inhalation, because its 'Non-toxic level' was not determined, and the exposure concentrations were not estimated, its health risk could not be identified. The half-life of this substance in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.32-3.2 hrs, and almost all of it is estimated to distribute into the mediums other than the atmosphere. Accordingly, there would be low necessity of collecting information on inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air for its health risk assessment at present.

	Information of toxicity				Exposure assessment								
Exposure path	Criteria for risk assessment			essment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted maximum exposure quantity and concentration		Result of risk assessment			Judgment
	'Non to	toxic	0.3	mg/kg/day	Rats	deposition of pigment and extramedullary hematopoiesis in the spleen	Drinking water	-	µg/kg/day	MOE	-	×	0
Oral	level'						Groundwater	< 0.00024	µg/kg/day	MOE	> 130,000	0	
Inhalation	'Non to	xic	— mg/m <sup>3</sup>		_	_	Ambient air	_	$\mu g/m^3$	MOE	-	×	×
malation	level'			mg/m <sup>*</sup>			Indoor air	_	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	_	×	×

# 4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 48-hour  $LC_{50}$  value of 730 µg/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 60-hour inhibitory growth concentration (IGC<sub>50</sub>) value of 278,000µg/L was found for the other organism *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (*tetrahymena*). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 1,000 was used, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.73 µg/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. As no reliable information regarding chronic toxicity could be obtained, as the PNEC for the substance, a value of 0.73 µg/L obtained from the acute toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.008 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard as	Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)			Predicted no effect	Exposu	re assessment		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	concentrati on PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea (water flea)	Acute	LC <sub>50</sub> Mortality	1,000	0.73	Freshwater Seawater	< 0.006 < 0.006	< 0.008 < 0.008	0

# 5. Conclusions

		Judgment					
	Oral exposure	No need of further work.	0				
Health risk	Inhalation exposure	Impossible of risk characterization. However, there is thought to be comparatively little need to collect information, etc.	×				
Ecological risk	blogical risk No need of further work.						
[Risk judgments] O: No need of further work A: Requiring information collection							
$\blacksquare$ : Candidates for further work $\times$ : Impossible of risk characterization							

Non-toxic level \*

- When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.
- When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.