12	CAS No.: 121-14-2	Substance: 2,4-Dinitrotoluene
Chemical	Substances Control Law Refere	nce No.: 3-446 (as dinitrotoluene)
PRTR La	w Cabinet Order No.: 1-157 (as	dinitrotoluene)
		Structural Formula:
	r Formula: C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> r Weight: 182.14	CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>

## 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 270 mg/L (22°C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 1.98. The vapor pressure is  $1.32 \times 10^{-4}$  mmHg (= 1.76 x  $10^{-2}$  Pa) (20°C). Degradability (aerobic degradation) in terms of BOD-based degradation percentage is estimated to be 0%. The bioconcentration of this substance is thought to be zero or very low. In addition, this substance does not have hydrolyzable groups.

Dinitrotoluene is a Type 2 and Type 3 Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances and a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). It is used primarily as organic syntheses, a raw material of toluizine, dyes, and an intermediate compound of explosive. The quantity of production and import in FY2004 was 195 tons. The contents of Dinitrotoluene isomers in the general chemical products were approximately 75% for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene and approximately 20% for 2,6-Dinitrotoluene.

\_\_\_\_\_

## 2. Exposure assessment

Total release of Dinitrotoluene to the environment in FY2004 under the PRTR Law came to approximately 0.68 tons, all of which was reported. Release to the public water bodies accounted for a large part of the reported release. Chemical Industry accounted for all of the reported release.

The distribution into each environment medium predicted by means of a multimedia model was 87.0% for water bodies and 10.3% for bottom in the case of the region where the release quantity to the environment and public water bodies was considered to be the maximum. In the case of the region where the release quantity to the atmosphere was considered to be the maximum, the distribution was 86.5% for water bodies and 10.2% for bottom.

The predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings was approximately 0.0011  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be less than 0.0204  $\mu$ g/kg/day.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be less than 0.01  $\mu$ g/L for freshwater and less than 0.01  $\mu$ g/L for seawater public water bodies.

\_\_\_\_\_

## 3. Initial assessment of health risk

This substance causes irritation of the eyes and skin, and may have effects on CNS, cardiovascular system and blood, and may produce methemoglobin. The inhalation or ingestion may result in blue lips, nails and skin, headache, dizziness, nausea, confusion, convulsion, and unconsciousness. Contact to the skin may be absorbed and cause the similar symptoms. Contact to the eyes may result in redness.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' for the oral exposure, the NOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg/day (neural toxicity, Heinz body red blood cells, biliary epithelial hyperplasia, etc.) was obtained from the medium- and long-term toxicity testing for dogs. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of intakes of the groundwater and food, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately less than  $0.02 \mu g/kg/day$ . The MOE of exceeding 200 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 0.2 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level' was established by means of animal testing, and considering the carcinogenesis, it was further divided by 5. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

For the inhalation, because its 'Non-toxic level' was not determined, its health risk could not be identified. Release to the atmosphere of this substance accounted for only 7% in the total release of Dinitrotoluene (reported quantity of release: 0.68 tons) and it is estimated that almost all of it is distributed into the media other than the atmosphere. As a reference, assuming that the absorption rate is 100 %, and the 'Non-toxic level' for the oral exposure is converted to the 'Non-toxic level' for the inhalation, the value is 0.67 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The MOE determined from this figure and the predicted maximum exposure concentration is 12,000. Accordingly, it would be low necessity of collecting information on inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air for its health risk assessment.

	Information of toxicity				Exposure assessment						
Exposure path	Criteria for	risk assessment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted maximum exposure quantity and concentration		Result of risk assessment			Judgment
Oral	'Non toxic	0.2 mg/kg/day	Dogs	neural toxicity, Heinz body red blood cells,	Drinking water, food	—	µg/kg/day	MOE	-	×	0
	level'		9-	biliary epithelial hyperplasia, etc	Groundwate,food	< 0.02	µg/kg/day	MOE	> 200	0	Ū
Inhalation	'Non toxic				Ambient air	0.0011	µg/m³	MOE	_	×	×
Innalation	level'	— mg/m <sup>3</sup>	_	-	Indoor air	-	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	_	×	×

## 4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> growth inhibition value of 6,300  $\mu$ g/L was found for the algae *Desmodesmus subspicatus*, a 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> immobilization value of 26,200  $\mu$ g/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> value of 6,300  $\mu$ g/L was found for the fish *Gasterosteus aculeatus* (threespine stickleback). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 63  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 20  $\mu$ g/L was found for the fish *G aculeatus* (threespine stickleback). So an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a PNEC value of 0.20  $\mu$ g/L was obtained based on the chronic toxicity values. As the PNEC for the substance, a value of 0.20  $\mu$ g/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.05 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard ass	essment (basis for P	NEC)		Predicted no	Exposure assessment			
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Crustacea (water flea)	Chronic	NOEC reproduction	100	0.20	Freshwater Seawater	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.05 < 0.05	0

		Conclusions	Judgment			
	Oral exposure	sure No need of further work.				
Health risk	Inhalation	Impossible of risk characterization. However, there is thought to be				
	exposure	comparatively little need to collect information, etc.	×			
Ecological risk	cological risk No need of further work.					
[Risk judgments]	] O: No need	of further work A: Requiring information collection	•			
	■: Candidate	es for further work $\times$ : Impossible of risk characterization				

Non-toxic level \*

- When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.
- When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.