7 CAS No.: 108-39-4 Substance: *m*-Cresol

Chemical Substances Control Law Reference No.: 3-499 (As cresol) and 4-57(poly(1 - 3)alkyl(C=1 - 3)poly(1 -

3)hydroxyl-poly(1 - 5)phenyl)

PRTR Law Cabinet Order No.: 1-67 (as cresol)

Molecular Formula: C₇H₈O Structural Formula:

Molecular Weight: 108.14

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 2.27×10^4 mg/L (25°C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 2.02. The vapor pressure is 0.138 mmHg (= 18.4Pa) (25°C, extrapolated value). Degradability (aerobic degradation) is considered to be sufficient (as cresol). This substance does not have hydrolyzable groups in the environment.

Cresol is a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). It is used primarily as raw materials of synthetic resin, paint and agricultural chemical, an antiseptic and sterilizer. The quantities of production (shipment) and import of this substance in FY2001 were 1,000 - below 10,000 tons, and the quantities of export and import in FY2004 were 31,573 tons and 2,883 tons, respectively (the total of cresol and its salt forms in both cases).

2. Exposure assessment

Total release of Cresol to the environment in FY2004 under the PRTR Law came to approximately 130 tons. Of this quantity, the amount reported came to 110 tons (81% of the total). Release to the atmosphere accounted for a large part of the reported release. Nonferrous metals accounted for high levels of release to the atmosphere. Chemical Industry reported high levels of release to the public water bodies. When estimated releases outside notification are included, release to the atmosphere accounted for the greatest quantity of release to the environment.

The distribution into each environment medium predicted by means of a multimedia model was 58.1% for soil, 23.7% for water bodies and 17.7% for the atmosphere in the case of the region where the estimated release quantity to the environment and atmosphere was considered to be the maximum. In the case of the region where the estimated release quantity to the public water bodies was considered to be the maximum, the distribution was 99.3% for water bodies.

No predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings could be established. However, there was a report that when the data for a limited area (Kawasaki City) was used, the concentration was approximately 0.015 μ g/m³. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be less than 0.0012 μ g/kg/day. Because the 1-octanol/water partition coefficient (log Kow) is 1.96- 2.02, and the bioconcentration is also predicted to be low, exposure from environmental media via the food chain is assumed to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be less than $0.03~\mu g/L$ for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of health risk

This substance causes irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract, and has corrosivity by ingestion. On inhalation, it may cause coughing, headache, laboured breathing, nausea, sore throat, unconsciousness and vomiting. By ingestion, it may cause abdominal pains, burning sensation, dizziness, dullness, headache, shock/collapse and unconsciousness. Contact to the skin or

eyes may cause redness, pain and burn. It may effect on CNS.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' for oral exposure, the NOAEL of 30 mg/kg/day (effects on CNS) was obtained from the mediumand long-term toxicity testing for rats. The NOAEL is adjusted to 21 mg/kg/day taking into account the exposure situation. The value was divided by 10, because of the experimental period being short, and a value of 2.1 mg/kg/day was derived as the 'Non-toxic level'. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of ground water intakes, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately less than 0.0012 µg/kg/day. The MOE of exceeding 180,000 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 2.1 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level' was established by means of animal testing. As exposure to this substance through food intakes is estimated minor, even when the exposure through groundwater and food are combined, it would not greatly affect the MOE values. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

For the inhalation, because its 'Non-toxic level' was not determined, and the exposure concentrations were not estimated, its health risk cannot be identified. Of the total amount of cresol released to the environment, 67% was released to the atmosphere, and some reports indicated that this substance evaporates from water bodies to the atmosphere. Accordingly, it would be required to collect information on inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air for its health risk assessment.

	Information of toxicity				Exposure assessment							
	Exposure path	Criteria for ri	sk assessment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted maximum exposure quantity and concentration		Result of risk assessment			Judgment
	Oral	'Non toxic	2.1 mg/kg/day	Rats	Effect on CNS	Drinking water	_	μg/kg/day	МОЕ	_	×	0
						Groundwater	< 0.0012	μg/kg/day	MOE	> 180,000	0	
	Inhalation	'Non toxic	- mg/m ³	_	-	Ambient air	_	μg/m³	MOE	_	×	×
		level'				Indoor air	_	μg/m³	MOE	_	×	×

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 48-hour LC₅₀ value exceeding 99,500 μ g/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia pulicaria* (*daphnia*), a 96-hour LC₅₀ value of 8,900 μ g/L was found for the fish *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout), and a 48-hour inhibitory growth concentration (IGC₅₀) value of 121,050 μ g/L was found for the *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. Accordingly, an assessment factor of 1,000 was used, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 8.9 μ g/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. As no information regarding chronic toxicity could be obtained, as the PNEC for the substance, a value of 8.9 μ g/L was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.003 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard ass	Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)			Predicted no	Exposu	re assessment		
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor	effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Fish (rainbow trout)	Acute	LC ₅₀ Mortality	1,000	8.9	Freshwater Seawater	< 0.03 < 0.03	< 0.003 < 0.003	0

5. Conclusions								
		Conclusions	Judgment					
	Health risk	Oral exposure	No need of further work.					
		Inhalation exposure	Impossible of risk characterization. There is thought to be need to collect	×				
			information, etc.					
	Ecological risk	No need of further work.						
	[Risk judgments] O: No need of further work							
	■: Candidates for further work ×: Impossible of risk characterization							

Non-toxic level *

- When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.
- When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.