6	CAS No.: 110-85-0	Substance: Piperadine						
Chemical S	Substances Control Law Refere	tances Control Law Reference No.: 5-953						
PRTR Law	Cabinet Order No.: 1-258							
Molecular	Formula: $C_4H_{10}N_2$ Str	ructural Formula:						
Molecular	Weight: 86.14							

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is freely miscible, and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is -1.50. The vapor pressure is 0.160 mmHg (= 21.3 Pa) (20°C). Degradability is 1.4% by BOD degradation rate, and accumulation is judged to be zero or very low.

This substance is a Type 2 Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances and a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). Its primary uses and release sources are as a catalyst (for urethane), as a synthetic raw material, and as a reagent (used in the detection of antimony, bismuth and gold). Salts of organic and inorganic acids are used in anthelmintic preparations. Domestic production in 2003 was 200 tons (estimated).

2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in FY2003 under the PRTR Law came to 20 tons, of which 17 tons (83% of the total) was reported. Release to public water bodies accounted for a large part of the reported release. In addition, 93 tons was transferred as waste. Chemical Industry accounted for high levels of release to the atmosphere. Electric machinery and equipment and Chemical Industry accounted for high levels of reported release to public water bodies.

When estimated releases outside notification are included, release to water bodies accounted for the greatest quantity of release to the environment. The distribution into the different media in the environment predicted by means of a multimedia model was 99.2 % for water bodies.

It was not possible to establish a predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms, as environmental concentrations sufficient for assessment have not been obtained.

3. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour EC_{50} growth inhibition value of 132,000 µg/L was found for the algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, a 48-hour EC_{50} immobilization value of 106,000 µg/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC_{50} value of more than 100,000 µg/L was found for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 1,100 µg/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 21-day no observed effect concentration (NOEC) reproduction value of 32,700 µg/L was found for the crustacea *D. magna*. Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a PNEC of 330 µg/L was obtained based on the chronic toxicity values. As the PNEC for the substance, a value of 330 µg/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the crustacea was used.

As sufficient data for assessment have not been obtained at present, it was not possible to assess the ecological risk. Trends in production quantities and environmental release quantities should be monitored, and then a

		Predicted no effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Exposure assessment			
ute / Endpoint onic	Assessment factor		Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
	100	330	Freshwater	-	_	×
	100		Seawater	_	_	^
	(Conclusions				
Impossible of risk characterization. Trends in production quantities and environmental release quantities should be monitored, and then a study should						
environmental releas	se quantities sh	nould be mon	itored, and	then a study	should	
environmental release be conducted to as concentration	se quantities sh ssess the nee	nould be mon d for determ	itored, and nination of	then a study the environ	should imental	×
r r	ronic NOEC reproduction S Impossible of risk	ronic Endpoint factor ronic NOEC reproduction 100 S Impossible of risk characterizat	Endpoint factor concentration PNEC (µg/L) ronic NOEC reproduction 100 330 S Conclusions Impossible of risk characterization	Index Endpoint factor concentration PNEC (µg/L) Index ronic NOEC reproduction 100 330 Freshwater S Conclusions Impossible of risk characterization Trends in production	Index Endpoint factor concentration PNEC (µg/L) Index ontoinion body ronic NOEC reproduction 100 330 Freshwater - S Conclusions	Index Endpoint factor concentration PNEC (μg/L) Index Index Index ronic NOEC reproduction 100 330 Freshwater – – S