1 1	CAS No.: 75-15-0	Substance: Carbon disulfide
Chemical	Substances Control Law Refer	ence No.:1-172
PRTR La	w Cabinet Order No.: 1-241	
Molecula	r Formula: CS ₂	Structural Formula:
Molecula	r Weight: 76.14	s=c=s

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is $1.19 \times 10^3 \text{ mg/L} (25^{\circ}\text{C})$, and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 2.14. The vapor pressure is 358 mmHg (= 4.77 x 10^4 Pa) (25°C). Degradability is 2% by GC degradation rate.

This substance is a Type 2 Monitoring Chemical Substance under the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances and a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). It is used primarily as a solvent in the manufacture of cellophane and rayon, and as a raw material for agricultural chemicals and pharmaceuticals. It is also used to increase the elasticity of the rubber in automobile tires. Domestic production in 2003 was 26,040 tons. Export and import quantities are 1,255 tons and 1,938 tons, respectively.

2. Exposure assessment

Total release to the environment in FY2003 under the PRTR Law came to approximately 5,100 tons. Of this quantity, the amount reported came to approximately 5,100 tons. Release to the atmosphere accounted for a large part of the reported release. Pulp, paper and paper products and textile mill products accounted for high levels of release to the atmosphere. Textile mill products reported high levels of release to public water bodies.

When estimated releases outside notification are included, release to the atmosphere accounted for the greatest quantity of release to the environment. When the target region for prediction was the region with the greatest reported release, the distribution into the different media in the environment predicted by means of a multimedia model was 100.0 % for atmosphere. When the target region was the region with the greatest release to public water bodies, the distribution was 80.8% for atmosphere and 18.7% for water bodies.

The predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings was approximately 1.3 $\mu g/m^3$. When data for a limited region (Tokyo) were used, the predicted maximum value was approximately 3.8 $\mu g/m^3$. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be approximately 0.026 $\mu g/kg/day$. As most of the substance is expected to be distributed in the atmosphere and bioaccumulation is judged to be zero or very low, exposure from environmental media via the food chain is assumed to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be approximately $1.1 \,\mu$ g/L for freshwater and approximately $1.2 \,\mu$ g/L for seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of health risk

Even brief exposure to this substance may result in irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract. If inhaled, it may cause dizziness, headache, nausea, shortness of breath, vomiting, weakness, high stimulus-sensitivity and hallucinations. If taken orally, in addition to the aforementioned symptoms it may cause chemical pneumonia due to vaporization of the substance. A dose of 30 - 60 mL is fatal to humans, and death may also result from a dose of 15 mL. Exposure to 6,400 - 10,000 mg/m³ for 30 - 60 minutes may cause symptoms of mild poisoning such as sensory abnormalities and irregular breathing. A dose of 15,000 mg/m³ for 30 minutes leads to death. In higher concentrations, the subject may lose consciousness after taking a few breaths.

There is insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance, and it is not possible to make a judgment as to whether it causes cancer in humans. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' was observed, used to estimate the margin of exposure (MOE), a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 25 mg/kg/day (increase in fetal resorption rate), obtained from rabbit reproductive and developmental toxicity testings, was obtained for oral exposure. As this value was a LOAEL value, it was divided by 10 to establish a value of 2.5 mg/kg/day. For inhalation exposure, a value of 3.2 mg/m³ was established by correcting the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 16 mg/m³ (decrease in motor nerve conduction velocity, etc.), derived from the effect on human beings, to match the exposure circumstances.

With regard to oral exposure, when intake through groundwater was postulated, the maximum predicted exposure was estimated to be approximately 0.026 μ g/kg/day. As the 'Non-toxic level' of 2.5 mg/kg/day and the maximum predicted exposure were established by animal testing, the value was divided by 10 to derive an MOE of 9,600. The food-borne exposure originating in the environment was estimated to be minor, and it is thought that adding this exposure would not greatly affect the MOE. Accordingly, assessment of the health risk from oral exposure to this substance is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

With regard to inhalation exposure, the predicted maximum exposure concentration in ambient air was estimated at less than 1.3 μ g/m³. The MOE derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 3.2 mg/m³ and the predicted maximum exposure concentration was 2,500. Moreover, when ambient air data that have been reported for local areas were used to make estimates for reference purposes, the predicted maximum value was estimated at approximately 3.8 μ g/m³, and the MOE was 840. Accordingly, there is thought to be no need at this time for assessment of the health risk with regard to inhalation exposure to the substance in the ambient air.

	Kn	owledge of toxici	ty		Exp	osure assessme	ent				
Exposure	Guideline	es for risk	Animal	Impact	Exposure	Predicted	maximum				
path	asses	sment		assessment	medium	exposure o	luantity and	F	Result of risk assessmen	t	Judgment
				guideline		concer	ntration				
				(endpoint)							
	No			Increase in	Drinking	_	µg/kg/day	MOE	_	×	
Oral	observed	2.5	Rabbit	fetal	water		µg/kg/uay	NOL		^	0
Orai	adverse	mg/kg/day	Rabbit	resorption	Groundwater	0.026	µg/kg/day	MOE	9,600	0	Ŭ
	effect level			rate	Ciounawater	0.020	pg/ng/day	MOL	0,000	Ŭ	
	No			Decrease in	Ambient air	1.3	µg/m³	MOE	2,500	0	0
Inhalation	observed	3.2 mg/m ³	Human	motor nerve		1.0	µg/11	MOL	2,000	<u> </u>	
malation	adverse	5.2 mg/m	riuillall	conduction	Indoor air	_	µg/m ³	MOE	_	×	×
	effect level			velocity, etc.	indoor an		µg/11	WOL		Â	[^]

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 96-hour EC_{50} growth inhibition value of 10,600 µg/L was found for the algae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*, a 48-hour LC_{50} immobilization value of 2,100 µg/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC_{50} value of 4,000 µg/L was found for the fish *Poecilia reticulata* (guppy). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 21 µg/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, no reliable information could be obtained. Accordingly, as the PNEC for the substance, a value of 21 µg/L obtained from the acute toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was less than 0.05 for freshwater bodies and 0.06 for seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard as	ssessment	(basis for PNEC)	Assessment factor	Predicted no effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Exposure	assessment		Result of assessment
Species	Acute / chronic	Endpoint			Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)	PEC/PNEC ratio	
Crustacea	Acute	LC ₅₀ Mortality	100	21	Freshwater	1.1	0.05	0
Ciusiacea	Acute				Seawater	1.2	0.06	U
Conclusi	ons							
Conclusi				Conclusion				Judgmen
Conclusi		al exposure	Assessm	Conclusion tent is thought		ecessary at thi	is time.	Judgmen
Conclusi Health risk	Ora	al exposure alation exposure	Assessm		t to be unne rd to the an	•		0
	Ora		Assessm	ent is thought ant with regar	t to be unne rd to the an	•		0
Health risk Ecological ri	Ora Inh Isk No	alation exposure	Assessm unnecess work.	ent is thought ent with regar sary at this tin	t to be unne rd to the an ne.	nbient air is th		0