CAS No.: 723-46-6 Substance: Sulfamethoxazole

Chemical Substances Control Law Reference No.:

PRTR Law Cabinet Order No .:

Molecular Formula: C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S Structural Formula:

Molecular Weight: 253.28

3

## 1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is  $3942 \text{ mg/L} (25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{calculated value})$ , the partition coefficient (1-octanol/water) (log  $K_{ow}$ ) is 0.89, and the vapor pressure is  $1.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mmHg} (=1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa})$  (25°C, calculated value). This substance does not biodegrade easily. Further, hydrolysis does not occur under ambient environmental conditions.

The main use of this substance is as a synthetic antibacterial agent for human and veterinary use. Its use in formulations with trimethoprim (CAS No. 738-70-5) is approved.

## 2. Exposure assessment

Because this substance is not classified as a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the PRTR Law, release and transfer quantities could not be obtained. Predictions of proportions distributed to individual media by use of a Mackay-type level III fugacity model indicate that if equal quantities were released to the atmosphere, water bodies, and soil, the proportion distributed to soil would be largest.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC), which indicates exposure to aquatic organisms, was reported to be around 0.19  $\mu$ g/L for public freshwater bodies and roughly around 0.0097  $\mu$ g/L for seawater.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## 3. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 7-d EC<sub>50</sub> of 81  $\mu$ g/L for growth inhibition in the *Lemna gibba* (duckweed), a 48-h EC<sub>50</sub> of 15,510  $\mu$ g/L for swimming inhibition in the crustacean *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea), a 96-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 562,500 for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka), and a 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 26,270  $\mu$ g/L for the planktonic rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. Accordingly, based on these acute toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.81  $\mu$ g/L was obtained.

With regard to chronic toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 7-d NOEC of  $10 \mu g/L$  for growth inhibition in the *L. gibba* and a 7-d NOEC of  $250 \mu g/L$  for reproductive inhibition in the crustacean *C. dubia*. Accordingly, based on these chronic toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a PNEC of  $0.1 \mu g/L$  was obtained.

The value of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L obtained from the chronic toxicity to the *L. gibba* was used as the PNEC for this substance.

The PEC/PNEC ratio is 1.9 for freshwater bodies and 0.097 for seawater; accordingly, this substance is a candidate for detailed assessment of ecological risk, and the overall decision was the same.

Hazard asse	essment (basis	s for PNEC)		Predicted no effect	Exp	osure assessment	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Comprehensive judgment
Species	Acute/ chronic	Endpoint	Assessment coefficient	concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)		
Lemna gibba	Chronic	NOEC	100	0.1	Freshwater	0.19	1.9	
Zemma grood	Cironic	Growth inhibition	100	0.1	Seawater	0.0097	0.097	_

4. Conclusion		
	Conclusion	Judgment
Ecological risk	Candidates for further work.	

[Risk judgments] O: No need for further work

▲: Requiring information collection

■: Candidates for further work

×: Impossibility of risk characterization