



this was identified as its 'non-toxic level\*'.

As for its oral exposure, its mean exposure would be 0.000056 µg/kg/day and its predicted maximum exposure would be 0.00052 µg/kg/day, respectively, if its intakes through freshwater from public water bodies were assumed. The MOE would be 960,000, when calculated from the 'non-toxic level\*' of 5 mg/kg/day and the predicted maximum exposure, and divided by 10 for conversion of the 'non-toxic level\*' from animal experiments to an equivalent dose for humans. Since exposure to this substance in environmental media through intakes of food is considered to be limited, significant changes in the MOE is not likely, even when this exposure is combined. Therefore, further actions would not be required to assess health risk from oral exposure to this substance at present.

As for inhalation exposure, its exposure concentration was not identified, and its health risk could not be assessed. For some location, it was reported that the maximum concentration of the substance in the ambient air was no more than 400 ppt (1.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). For reference, the MOE will be 14,000, if this is combined with the 'non-toxic level\*' of 181 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and divided by 10 for conversion of the 'non-toxic level\*' from animal experiments to an equivalent dose for humans. Therefore, collection of information would not be required to assess health risk from inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air.

Toxicity				Exposure assessment			Result of risk assessment			Judgment		
Exposure Path	Criteria for risk assessment		Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted maximum exposure dose and concentration						
Oral	Non-toxic level * *	5	mg/kg/day	Rats	Salivation, lacrimation	Drinking water	—	µg/kg/day	MOE	—	×	○
						Freshwater	0.00052	µg/kg/day	MOE	960,000	○	
Inhalation	Non-toxic level * *	181	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Mice	No effect observed even at the highest dose	Ambient air	—	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	—	×	(○)
						Indoor air	—	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MOE	—	×	×

Non-toxic level \*

- When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.
- When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.

#### 4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h EC<sub>50</sub> exceeding 3,570 µg/L for growth inhibition in the green algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*; a 48-h EC<sub>50</sub> of 2,100 µg/L for immobilization in the crustacean *Daphnia magna*; and a 96-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 5,800 µg/L for the fish *Oryzias latipes* (medaka). Also obtained was a 48-h EC<sub>50</sub> of 560,000 µg/L for developmental anomaly and mortality in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Accordingly, based on these acute toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 21 µg/L was obtained.

With regard to chronic toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h NOEC of 3,570 µg/L for growth inhibition in the green algae *P. subcapitata*; and a 21-d NOEC of 740 µg/L for reproductive inhibition in the crustacean *D. magna*. Accordingly, based on these chronic toxicity values and an assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 7.4 µg/L was obtained. This 7.4 µg/L obtained from the crustacean chronic toxicity was used as the PNEC for this substance.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was 0.002 for freshwater bodies and 0.00005 for seawater. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

Hazard Assessment (Basis for PNEC)			Assessment factor	Predicted no effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Exposure Assessment		PEC/PNEC ratio	Judgment based on PEC/PNEC ratio	Assessment result
Species	Acute/ chronic	Endpoint			Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)			
Crustacean <i>Daphnia magna</i>	Chronic	NOEC reproductive inhibition	100	7.4	Freshwater	0.013	0.002	○	○
					Seawater	0.00034	0.00005		

-----

## 5. Conclusions

	Conclusions		Judgment
Health risk	Oral exposure	No need for further work.	○
	Inhalation exposure	Though a risk characterization cannot be determined, there would be little necessity of collecting information.	(○)
Ecological risk	No need of further work at present.		○

[Risk judgments] ○: No need for further work      ▲: Requiring information collection  
■: Candidates for further work      ×: Impossibility of risk characterization  
(○) : Though a risk characterization cannot be determined, there would be little necessity of collecting information.  
(▲) : Further information collection would be required for risk characterization.