	Company thinking
Background and purpose for accounting	• At UPS, we have been accounting for Scope 3 emissions (subcontracted logistics services) from railway transportation of packages since 2003, and we began accounting for the business travel category in 2008. We have been using the Scope 3 Standard of the GHG Protocol since 2010, and as of 2013 we report on all applicable Scope 3 accounting categories. The purpose of accounting for supply chain emissions is management of those emissions; but it can also be used to distinguish UPS from competitors by providing information on our supply chain emissions to customers.
Utilization of accounting results	 We disclose the results in a CSR Report, etc., and we also offer a service that provides data to help customers who wish to account for their own Scope 3 emissions.
Advantages of accounting	 It not only helps us optimize our own supply chain and reduce costs, but also lets us support optimization by our customers by providing data to many companies who use our logistics services.
Internal accounting organization	 We use a tool from Enablon for Scope 1 and 2, but our Scope 3 calculations are based on our own database. However, the emissions unit values that we use are basically those of the GHG Protocol. Our accounting results then receive third party verification.

	l A	Accounting methods	,			
☐ To reduce supply chain emissions	 We take a comprehensive, global approach to reducing energy use and greenhouse gas emissions, including all our operations as well as major portions of our value chain (including customers and suppliers). Our strategy includes three components: In our global logistics network, we reduce the miles we travel to accomplish any given level of shipping; we reduce the fuel required to travel those miles; and we reduce the proportion of conventional fuels we use by expanding our fleet of alternative fuel and advanced technology vehicles. In our global facilities network, we reduce energy use and increase our use of renewable energy. In our value chain, we provide customers with services that help them reduce their carbon impact and help suppliers increase their awareness about greenhouse gas emissions and how to reduce them. Count of we account for subcontracted logistics services under Category 4. It could also be handled under Category 1, considering that these are purchased services; but we decided to hand it under Category 4 after consulting with WRI, which developed the Scope 3 Standard. We multiply GHG Protocol data from distance, weight, and means of transportation by emissions unit values in accounting. Our approach to accounting in each category is 				oping; uels we	
☐ Tasks to account for supply chain						
emissions	multiply GHG Protocol data fro	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accou	ans of tra	nspor	tation by	
	multiply GHG Protocol data fro emissions unit values in accou explained in detail in the CSR I	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accou Report. —	ans of tra	inspor each c	tation by	
emissions ☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data fro emissions unit values in accou	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accou Report. —	ans of tra unting in	inspor each c	tation by	
☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accou Report. —	ans of traunting in	inspor each c	tation by ategory is	
	multiply GHG Protocol data fro emissions unit values in accou explained in detail in the CSR I	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Report. Global CO, e Emissions (1000 tonnes) Scope 1 Scope 2	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828	each c	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6%	Base Year 11,713 831
Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to account nting. Our approach nting. Our	ans of traunting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598	2012 11,716 823 12,539	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544
Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to account nting. Our approach nting. Our	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293°	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% -3.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293
Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	om distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to account nting. Our approach	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 °0 24,832	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,54 4 12,293 24,837
Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting ac	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35.9)	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 (9) 24,832 (36.0)	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% -3.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293 24,837 (2.7)
Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to accounting the accounting to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to account to accounting the accounting to accounting the accounting to account the accounting to account the accounting to account the accounting to account the accounting	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35.9) (3.3)	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 (9) 24,832 (36.0) (3.2)	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% -3.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293 24,837 (2.7) (0.2)
☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting ac	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35.9)	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 (9) 24,832 (36.0)	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% -3.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293 24,837 (2.7) (0.2) (0.3)
☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting acco	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35.9) (3.3) (9.3)	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 (9) 24,832 (36.0) (3.2) (4.4)	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% -3.5% -1.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293 24,837 (2.7) (0.2) (0.3)
☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting the accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accountin	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35.9) (3.3) (9.3) 24,408	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 (36.0) (3.2) (4.4) 24,788	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% -3.5% -1.5%	Base Year 11,713 831 12,544 12,293 24,837 (2.7) (0.2) (0.3)
☐ Other items of	multiply GHG Protocol data from emissions unit values in account explained in detail in the CSR In	com distance, weight, and me nting. Our approach to accounting. Our approach to accounting accounting to accounting the accounting accounting to account accounting to account accounting accounting to account accounting to accounting the accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accounting to accounting to account accou	ans of tra unting in HG PERFORMANCE 2013 11,770 828 12,598 11,858 24,456 (35,9) (3,3) (9,3) 24,408	2012 11,716 823 12,539 12,293 °° 24,832 (36.0) (3.2) (4.4) 24,788	tation by ategory is % Change 12/13 0.5% 0.6% -3.5% -1.5%	Base Year 11,713

Catagory	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 1: Purchased goods and services	 i) Scope & Boundary: The upstream extraction, production, and transportation of goods and services purchased by all UPS operations, not otherwise included in Categories 2 – 8. Emissions factors used: Green Design Institute, Economic input-output life cycle assessment (EIO-LCA) model. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = The actual spend of purchased goods and services as reported in UPS annual 10-K filings, are inputted into the Economic Input-Output Life Cycle Assessment model, the model than estimates the GHG emissions 	 Green Design Institute, Economic Input-Output Life Cycle Assessment 	
Category 2: Capital goods	 i) Scope & Boundary: The upstream extraction, production, and transportation of capital expenditures purchased by all UPS operations, includes; buildings, aircraft, vehicles and information technology. Emissions factors used: Green Design Institute, Economic input-output life cycle assessment (EIO-LCA) model. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = The actual spend of capital goods as reported in UPS annual 10-K filings, are inputted into the Economic Input-Output Life Cycle Assessment model, the model than estimates the GHG emissions 	 Green Design Institute, Economic Input-Output Life Cycle Assessment 	

Category	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 3: Fuel and energy related activities not included in Scope 1 or 2	 i) Scope & Boundary: Includes the upstream (well-to-pump) emissions from raw material extraction up to the point of (but excluding) combustion for the following global fuel sources: Jet-A, Diesel, Gasoline, CNG, LPG, LNG, natural gas, heating oil and propane. Includes the upstream emissions for the generation of purchased electricity and the transmission and distribution losses. Emissions factors used are from Network for Transport and Environment (NTM) and are the "well-to-pump" emissions associated with these specific fuel sources. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = Number of gallons consumed multiplied by the LCA Emission factor. The same primary data that is used to calculate the scope 1 emissions for these fuels are used to calculate the upstream emissions, the actual quantity of fuel consumed is multiplied by the appropriate life cycle emission factor. For example the total quantity of diesel fuel used (380,000,000 gallons) is multiplied by the appropriate LCA emission factor from NTM (10.98 kg CO2e/gal) to calculate the total LCA emissions in metric tonnes from "well to wheel". The same methodology is applied to all other fuel/energy sources. 	• CEN/TC 320/WG 10 Methodology and Carbon Trust Footprint Expert, Version 3.3	

Category	Accounting methods		
	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 4: Transportation and delivery (upstream)	 i) Scope & Boundary: The Scope 3 emissions from purchased transportation (air, ground, rail & ocean modes of transportation) for the pick-up, transportation and delivery of packages/freight for our global operations. Emissions factors used: GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools (August 2012). GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. Activity data used for this category is actual shipping data (weight, distance & mode) taken from various internal UPS shipping systems. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = The primary method used to calculate the upstream emissions from purchased transportation is to multiply the actual weight and distance traveled for each shipment by the appropriate emission factor from the GHG Protocol. As an example, for purchased railroad transportation for UPS Small Package operation in the U.S., the actual ton-miles are calculated for each shipment made throughout the year (12,132,334,941 ton-miles). The ton-miles are multiplied by the appropriate emission factor (0.0252 kg CO2/ton-mile) to estimate the total GHG emissions. Emission factors used for other modes of transportation are: Ocean = 0.01825 kg CO2/ton-mile, Road = 0.297, and Air = 0.8953, the same methodology applies to the other transportation modes. 	 GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools Version 1.3 (Aug 2012) EPA SmartWay Carrier Rankings and Emission Rates (railroad only) 	

Category	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 5: Waste generated in operations	 i) Scope & Boundary: Includes the emissions that occur for landfilled, recycled, incinerated and recovered wastes streams in the U.S. only Emissions factors used: 2012 Guidelines to Defra/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. Activity data used for this category is actual tonnage by waste stream as provided by the disposal vendor. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = Number of metric tonnes of waste disposed by waste stream multiplied by the appropriate LCA Emission factor. For example, the method for waste that was landfilled, uses the actual metric tonnes of waste landfilled multiplied by the appropriate life cycle emission factor (199 kg CO2 per metric tonnes of waste disposed of in landfills. The other waste streams follow the same methodology. 	• 2012 Guidelines to DEFRA/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting	

Category	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 6: Business travel	 i) Scope & Boundary: Represents business travel from UPS's global operations (Small Package Domestic, Small Package International and Global Supply Chain & Freight). Business travel CO2e includes travel from the following sources; air travel, rail travel, rental car usage and use of personnel vehicles for work related activities. Emissions factors used: GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools (August 2012). GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/-8%. iii) Methodology for each source: Air/Rail Travel - air/rail travel is managed by American Express Travel Services. Each year they provide a detailed report of UPS's air/rail travel, this report details each trip with the actual distance traveled. Air/Rail travel is calculated by multiplying the distance traveled by the appropriate emission factor. Air travel GHG is based on different values for short, medium and long-haul flights. Protocol for length of trip (short-haul is under 300 miles; medium haul is under 2300 miles and long-haul is over 2300 miles. The GHG emissions factor for each length of trip: short is 0.286 kg CO2 per passenger-mile; medium is 0.168; long is 0.194. Rail travel GHG is based on the emission factor 0.185 kg CO2 per passenger-mile. Rental cars are managed by American Express Travel services, each year they provide a detailed report of UPS's rental car GHG emissions. Rental car GHG emissions are based on actual distance traveled by an appropriate emission factor for each class of vehicle. For example the emission factor for an intermediate class C car is 292.7 grams of CO2 per mile. Each class vehicle has a specific emission factor. Personnel vehicle used for business is managed and calculated by UPS. The distance traveled is captured from UPS's accounting system that is use	GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools Version 1.3 (Aug 2012)	

Category	Accounting methods		
	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 7: Employee commuting	 i) Scope & Boundary: Includes the emissions that occur for the transportation of our employees between their homes and their workplace for our global operations. Emissions factors used: GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools (August 2012). GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology used = Actual number of employees multiplied by average gallons used per employee (UPS calculated this factor) multiplied by the emission factor for gasoline (8.81 kg CO2 per gallon): The actual number of employees were captured from the UPS census for all global business units. The UPS factor for estimated gallons per employee was created by combining information from the following; US Census data (average commute times by state), the 2010 Urban Mobility report from the Texas Transportation Institute (peak and off peak arterial and highway speeds of domestic US cities) and composite fuel efficiency results from the Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. An iterative Monte Carlo simulation was then performed to provide a 95% uncertainty interval. The formula for estimating employee commuting CO2 = 'number of employees' multiplied by 'UPS factor for average gallons per employee' multiplied by emission factor for gasoline (8.81 kg CO2/gallon). 	GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools Version 1.3 (Aug 2012)	

Catogory	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 8: Leased assets (upstream)	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard. All GHG emissions from upstream leased assets are already captured in scope 1 and 2. 	Not applicable	
Category 9: Transportation and delivery (downstream)	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard. UPS does not have any downstream transportation and distribution. All transportation and distribution is purchased by UPS and captured in category 4 (upstream transportation and distribution) 	Not applicable	
Category 10: Processing of sold products	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard, UPS does not have a product. 	Not applicable	
Category 11: Use of sold products	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard, UPS does not have a product. 	Not applicable	

Catagory	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products	 i) Scope & Boundary: Includes the emissions for the end-of-life for UPS branded and supplied packaging materials globally. Emissions factors used: 2012 Guidelines to Defra/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. Activity data used for this category is actual tonnage of purchased packaging by UPS. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = Number of metric tonnes of purchased packaging multiplied by the appropriate LCA Emission factor (landfilled = 553 kg CO2/metric tonne and recycled=21kg CO2/mt). It is assumed that 63% of the packaging is recycled (source www.epa.gov). For example, the method for packaging that was landfilled, uses the actual metric tonnes of purchased packaging multiplied by 36% multiplied by the appropriate life cycle emission factor (553 kg CO2 per metric tonnes) to calculate the total LCA emissions for end-of-life of UPS packaging disposed of in landfills. The packaging recycled follows the same methodology. 	• 2012 Guidelines to DEFRA/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting	
Category 13: Leased assets (downstream)	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard. This category does not meet the criteria for relevance based on absolute quantity of emissions, risk, influence or relevance to UPS's service 	Not applicable	

Category	Accounting methods		
Category	Activity data	Emission factor	
Category 14: Franchises	 i) Scope & Boundary: Estimated electricity and natural gas usage for over 4,700 UPS Stores serving the U.S., Canada and India. Emissions factors used: EPA EnergyStar. GWP used are from SAR 2nd assessment report, CO2=1, CH4=21, N2O=310. See iii below for activity data used. ii) The data quality of the reported emissions is considered 'good'. The uncertainty of the reported scope 3 emissions of all categories combined is +/- 8%. iii) Methodology = Using actual square footage of the UPS Store franchises multiplied by an average energy emission factor of 86,891 BTU's/sf (energy usage per square foot established by the Energy Star Program for a comparable building type and published as a Probability Distribution function), an estimated GHG from the UPS Store franchises can be calculated. 	GHG Protocol Emission Factors from Cross-Sector Tools Version 1.3 (Aug 2012)	
Category 15: Investments	 This category is not relevant as defined by the GHG Corporate Value Chain Scope 3 Accounting and Reporting Standard. This category does not meet the criteria for relevance based on absolute quantity of emissions, risk, influence or relevance to UPS's service. 	Not applicable	
Others	 Not relevant, all scope 3 emission are accounted for in the defined 15 categories 	Not applicable	

