# Report on Japan's Supplementary Information on LULUCF activities under Article 3, Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Kyoto Protocol

The Government of Japan

May 2007

# CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	GENERAL INFORMATION 1
1.1. DEFINIT	TION OF FOREST AND ANY OTHER CRITERIA 1
1.1.1. DEF	INITIONS OF FOREST FOR ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3, PARAGRAPH 3 AND 4 1
1.1.2. CON	ISISTENCY OF THE DEFINITIONS 1
1.2. ELECTE	D ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3.4
1.2.1. ELE	CTION OF ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3, PARAGRAPH 4 OF THE KYOTO
PRC	TOCOL
1.2.2. LAN	IDS IDENTIFICATION METHOD UNDER THE JAPAN'S NATIONAL SYSTEM IN
ACC	CORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 5, PARAGRAPH 1 OF KYOTO PROTOCOL2
1.2.3. INT	ERPRETATION OF ELECTED ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3, PARAGRAPH 4 OF THE
KYC	)TO PROTOCOL
1.3. DESCRI	PTION OF HOW THE DEFINITIONS OF EACH ACTIVITY UNDER ARTICLE 3.3 AND
EACH H	ELECTED ACTIVITY UNDER ARTICLE 3.4 HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED AND APPLIED
CONSIS	STENTLY OVER TIME
1.3.1. AFF	ORESTATION/REFORESTATION, DEFORESTATION
1.3.2. FOR	EST MANAGEMENT4
1.3.3. REV	'EGETATION4
1.4. DESCRI	PTION OF PRECEDENCE CONDITION AND/OR HIERARCHY AMONG ARTICLE 3.4
ACTIVII	IES, AND HOW THEY HAVE BEEN CONSISTENTLY APPLIED IN DETERMINING HOW
LAND W	AS CLASSIFIED
CHAPTER 2	LAND-RELATED INFORMATION
2.1. SPACIA	LASSESSMENT UNIT USED FOR DETERMINING THE AREA OF THE UNITS OF LAND
UNDER A	ARTICLE 3.3
2.2. METHO	DOLOGY USED TO DEVELOP THE LAND TRANSITION MATRIX IN TABLE NIR26
2.2.1. AFF	ORESTATION/REFORESTATION AND DEFORESTATION
2.2.2. FOR	EST MANAGEMENT
2.2.3. REV	EGETATION
2.3. MAPS A	ND/OR DATABASE TO IDENTIFY THE GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATIONS, AND THE
SYSTEM	OF IDENTIFICATION CODES FOR THE GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATIONS, ALL OF WHICH
CAN BE	PROVIDED ELECTONICALLY
CHAPTER 3	ACTIVITY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION
3.1. METHO	D FOR ESTIMATING CARBON STOCK CHANGE AND GHG EMISSIONS/REMOVALS.15
3.1.1. MET	THOD15
3.1.2. JUS	FIFICATION WHEN OMITTING ANY CARBON POOL OR GHG EMISSIONS/REMOVALS
FRC	M ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3.3 AND ELECTED ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3.4
3.1.3. INFO	DRMATION ON WHETHER OR NOT INDIRECT AND NATURAL GHG EMISSIONS AND
REN	10VALS HAVE BEEN FACTORED OUT
3.1.4. UNC	CERTAINTY ESTIMATES
3.1.5. INF	JRMATION ON OTHER METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES (METHOD DEALING WITH
EFF.	EUIS OF NATURAL DISTURBANCE)
3.1.6. FOR	THE PURPOSE OF ACCOUNTING AS REQIRED IN PARAGRAPH 18 OF THE ANNEX
TOI	JRAFT DECISION -/CMP.1 (LULUCF) ATTACHED TO DECISION 11/CP.7, AN

INDICATION OF THE YEAR OF THE ONSET OF AN ACTIVITY, IF AFTER 20084
3.2. ARTICLE 3.3
3.2.1. INFORMATION THAT DEMONSTRATES THAT ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3.3 BEGAN
ON OR AFTER 1 JANUARY 1990 AND BEFORE 31 DECEMBER 2012 AND ARE DIRECT
HUMAN-INDUCED42
3.2.2. INFORMATION ON HOW HARVESTING OR FOREST DISTURBANCE THAT IS FOLLOWED
BY THE RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF FOREST IS DISTINGUISHED FROM DEFORESTATION.42
3.2.3. INFORMATION ON THE SIZE AND GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION OF FOREST AREAS THAT
HAVE LOST FOREST COVER BUT WHICH ARE NOT YET CLASSIFIED AS DEFORESTED.43
3.3. ARTICLE 3.4
3.3.1. INFORMATION THAT DEMONSTRATES THAT ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLE 3.4 HAVE
OCCURRED SINCE 1 JANUARY 1990 AND ARE HUMAN-INDUCED43
3.3.2. INFORMATION RELATING TO REVEGETATION FOR THE BASE YEAR45
3.3.3. INFORMATION RELATING TO FOREST MANAGEMENT
CHAPTER 4 OTHER INFORMATION40
4.1. KEY CATEGORY ANALYSIS
4.2. FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS
4.2.1. AFFORESTATION/REFORESTATION AND DEFORESTATION47
4.2.2. FOREST MANAGEMENT47
4.2.3. REVEGETATION
CHAPTER 5 INFORMATION RELATING TO ARTICLE 6

## **Chapter 1 General information**

1.1. Definition of forest and any other criteria

1.1.1. Definitions of forest for activities under Article 3, paragraph 3 and 4

The Japan's definitions of forest are identified as the following, in accordance with decision 16/CMP.1 adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol.

Minimum value for forest area:	0.3 [ha]
Minimum value for tree crown cover:	30 [%]
Minimum value for tree height:	5 [m]
Minimum value for forest width:	20 [m]

#### 1.1.2. Consistency of the definitions

Minimum values for forest area, tree crown cover and forest width (mentioned above) are consistent with forests under the exsisting forest planning system in Japan. Minimum value for tree height is not defined under the exsisting system. However, it could be assumed that these forests usually reach tree height 5m at maturity in situ under the tree species and climate condition in Japan. Each prefecture has surveyed and compiled information on resources of forests under forest planning in Forest Register primary intended to establish forest planning. Therefore, Japan considered that forests under forest planning meet Kyoto Protocol requirements and Forest register is available as fundamental data for reporting.

Definitions of forest mentioned above are consistent with definitions of forest reported in the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005 (FRA2005) coordinated by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (FAO) in 2005 (Table 1-1).

Category	Definition
Forest	Land on which trees and/or bamboo grow collectively, together with those trees and bamboo, or any other land that is provided for collective growth of trees and/or bamboo which are 0.3 hectares or more. Lands that are utilized mainly for agriculture, residential use or other similar purposes, and trees and bamboo on these lands are not included.
Forest with standing trees	Forest that have tree crown cover of 30 percent or higher (including young stands).
Forest without standing trees	Forest that does not fall under "forest with standing trees" or "bamboo forest".
Bamboo forest	Forest that does not fall under "forest with standing trees" and is dominated by bamboo (excluding bamboo grass).

Table 1-1 Japan's forest category and definition used in reporting to FAO

Before 1996, Japan classified forests (with standing trees) into two sub-categories, "Intensively managed forest" and "Semi-natural forest" in Forestry Status Survey based on Forest Register. In 2002 or later, Japan introduced new sub-categories which are "Ikusei-rin forest" and "Tennensei-rin forest". In these new sub-categories, degree of human-inductivity in forest management and layer structure have been taken in account. In ikusei-rin forests, intensively managed forests regenerated mainly by planting after felling and some tennensei-rin forests regenerated by supplementary works

such as site preparation are included. Definitions of intensively managed forest, semi-natural forest, ikusei-rin forest and tennensei-rin forest are shown below.

Sub-categories by regeneration method		Sub-cate	gories by management types
Intensively	Forest regenered by planting	Ikusei-rin forest	Forest where practices for
managed forest	and so on.		establishment and maintenance of
-			single-storied forests
			("Ikusei-tansou-rin" practices)
			have been carried out after clear
Semi-natural	Forest which is not classified		cutting ,or where forest practices
forest	as intensively managed forest.		for establishment and maintenance
			of multi-storied forests
			("Ikusei-fukusou-rin" practices)
			have been carried out after
			selection cutting(including
			temporally single-storied forest in
			practice).
		Tennensei-rin	Forest where practices which
		forest	establishment and maintenance of
			forests mainly depending on
			natural power are carried out.
			These practices include logging
			prohibition for land and natural
			environment conservation and
			preservation of the species.

Table 1-2	Definitions of intensively managed forest, semi-natural forest,
	ikusei-rin forest and tennensei-rin forest

#### 1.2. Elected activities under Article 3.4

#### 1.2.1. Election of activities under Article 3, paragraph 4 of the Kyoto Protocol

Japan elects Forest Management and Revegetation defined by decision 16/CMP.1 annex paragpaph 6, as "additional human activities related to changes in greenhouse gas by source and removals by sinks in the agricultural soils and the land-use change and forestry categories" (hereafter "additional removal activities") defined by Article 3, paragraph 4 of the Kyoto Protocol.

# 1.2.2. Lands identification method under the Japan's national system in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1 of Kyoto Protocol

LULUCF-GPG, page 4.24, Section 4.2.2.2 shows the two methods for identifying and reporting lands subject to Article 3.4 activities. Reporting Method 1 entails delineating areas that include multiple land units subject to Article 3.4 activities by using legal, administrative, or ecosystem boundaries. Reporting Method 2 is based on the spatially explicit and complete geographical identification of all lands subject to Article 3.4 activities.

Japan elects Reporting Method 1 in accordance with the decision tree indicated in Figure 4.2.4 in chapter 4 of LULUCF-GPG, which means that the entire national land is stratified by using the geographic boundary between prefectures, and total area of each "lands" subject to properly each Article 3.4 activity will be reported within each boundary.

### 1.2.3. Interpretation of elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4 of the Kyoto Protocol

#### 1.2.3.1. Forest Management

Forest Management is defined by decision 16/CMP.1 ANNEX paragraph 1(f) as "a system of practices for stewardship and use of forest land aimed at fulfilling relevant ecological (including biological diversity), economic and social functions of the forest in a sustainable manner". Japan interprets the definition of "Forest Management" as the following with recalling the LULUCF-GPG that is requested to use for the party in accordance with the decision 16/CMP.1 paragraph 2

- In "Ikusei-rin forest", activities for "Forest Management" are appropriate forest practices including regeneration (land preparation, soil scarification, planting and etc.), tending (weeding, pre-commercial cutting and etc.), thinning and harvesting which have been carried out since 1990.
- In "Tennensei-rin forest" activities for "Forest Management" are practices for protection or conservation of forests including controlling logging activities and land-use change which have been carried out by laws.

#### 1.2.3.2. Revegetation

Revegetation is defined by decision 16/CMP.1 ANNEX paragraph 1(e) as "a direct human-induced activity to increase carbon stocks on sites through the establishment of vegetation that covers a minimum area of 0.05 hectares and does not meet the definitions of afforestation and reforestation".

Japan interprets the definition of "Revegetation" as the following with recalling the LULUCF-GPG.

• Practices for creation of "park and green space", "public green space", and "private green space guaranteed by administration" have been carried out in settlements since 1990<sup>1</sup>.

Japan identifies and reports areas which meet the definition for each sub-division ("Urban parks", "Green area on road", "Green area on port", "Green area around sewage treatment facility" and "Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space"). All areas excluding urban parks are located in Settlements, while some urban parks are located in Wetlands (they occupy the river section).

1.3. Description of how the definitions of each activity under Article 3.3 and each elected activity under article 3.4 have been implemented and applied consistently over time

#### 1.3.1. Afforestation/Reforestation, Deforestation

Afforestation/Reforestation and Deforestation (ARD) land area is calculated based on sampling surveys with orthophotos at the end of 1989 and satellite images in the most recent year which cover the entire country. Japan updates satellite data every two years and monitors continuously.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Land which area is less than 0.05 ha or meets the definition of Afforestation/Reforestation is not qualified as Revegetation.

#### 1.3.2. Forest Management

Forest management (FM) land area is calculated by subtracting ARD land area from forest land area stored in the National Forest Resources Database and multiplying by ratio of forest maintained and managed appropriately (FM ratio) for each region and tree type. The change of FM land during the first commitment period will be surveyed every year in sample survey of FM ratio.

#### 1.3.3. Revegetation

Information on developing a consistent time series of definition for each sub-division qualified as revegetation is shown below.

Sub-division	Consistent time series for definition of Revegetation
Urban parks	Japan has collected urban parks data continuously from "Urban Parks Status Survey" based on "Urban parks register" which has been required to compile under the Urban Park Act and the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Urban Park Act. However, area of land which subjected to land-use conversion is calculated based on the ratio of land-use conversion for whole country because it is difficult to collect converted land data individually
Green area on road	RV land area for green area on road is calculated by multiplying land area per tall tree (ha/tree) by the number of tall trees. The number of tall trees is collected continuously based on "Road Tree Planting Status Survey" implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport every 5 years (extrapolation and interpolation are applied for data blank years). However, area of land which subjected to land-use conversion is calculated based on the ratio of land-use conversion for whole country because it is difficult to collect converted land data individually.
Green area on port	Establishment year and service area for green area on port which has been established since 1990 are collected based on complete census implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport in January 2007. Japan will implement the same census continuously in 2008 or later and update the area data every year. However, area of land which subjected to land-use conversion is calculated based on the ratio of land-use conversion for whole country because it is difficult to collect converted land data individually.
Green area around sewage treatment facility	For green area around sewage treatment facility which has been established since 1990, establishment year, greening area, the number of tall trees (for some green area around sewage treatment facility) have been collected from "Sewage treatment Facility Status Survey". Japan will implement the same survey continuously in 2008 or later and update the area data every year. However, area of land which subjected to land-use conversion is calculated based on the ratio of land-use conversion for whole country because it is difficult to collect converted land data individually.
Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space	When green area by greenery promoting system for private green space is established or modified, the notification is required because it is managed under recognition system by local authority mayor based on Urban Park Act (Article 60). RV land area has been collected from "Urban Green Space Status Survey" implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport every year. All green area by greenery promoting system for private green space to be reported was not qualified as Forest land on 31st December 1989 and located in "Settlements remaining settlements".

 Table 1-3
 Consistent time series for definition of Revegetation (for each sub-division)

1.4. Description of precedence condition and/or hierarchy among Article 3.4 activities, and how they have been consistently applied in determining how land was classified

Japan interprets that forest management activities are occurred in only forest land and revegetation activities are occurred in only settlements. Therefore, overlapping is nonexistent between forest management and revegetation.

# **Chapter 2 Land-related information**

2.1. Spacial assessment unit used for determining the area of the units of land under Article3.3

In accordance with the definition of forest indicated in Chapter 1, 1,1,1,, Japan determines spacial assessment unit used for determining the area of the units of land under Article 3.3 as 0.3 [ha].

#### 2.2. Methodology used to develop the land transition matrix in table NIR2

#### 2.2.1. Afforestation/Reforestation and Deforestation

#### 2.2.1.1. Procedure

Japan calculates the ARD land area according to the following procedure.

- 1. Locate sample plots in a reticular pattern with 500 meters distance between the plots for the entire country (approximately 1,400 thousand plots setting).
- 2. Identify change of the forest cover in each sample plot and calculate AR rate<sup>2</sup> and D rate<sup>3</sup> for the entire country by using orthophotos at the end of 1989 and satellite images in 2005 (taking into account spatial assessment unit [0.3 ha]).
- 3. Calculate AR land area for each prefecture during 1990-2005 by multiplying land area for each prefecture by AR rate. In the same way, calculate D land area for each prefecture during 1990-2005 by multiplying land area for each prefecture by D rate.
- 4. Calculate annual AR land area for each tree species and age by interpolation based on ratio of private forest component for each prefecture stored in the National Forest Resources Database. Calculate annual D land area for each prefecture by interpolation based on ratio of annual forest conversion area to total forest conversion area during 1990-2005 (which data is available in statistics).





 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  AR rate = the number of plots in which AR activity occurred / the number of detectable plots

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> D rate = the number of plots in which D activity occurred / the number of detectable plots

#### 2.2.1.2. Data

Japan calculated the ARD land area by using the following data.

Table 2-1 Data used in AKD faild detection				
	Resolution	Data format		
Ortho air-photo (at the end of 1989)	1 [m]	raster		
SPOT-5/HRV-P(2005)	2.5 [m]	raster		

Table 2-1 Data used in ARD land detection

#### 2.2.2. Forest Management

#### 2.2.2.1. Procedure

Japan estimated FM land area for ikusei-rin forests and tennensei-rin forests according to the following procedures.

#### a) Ikusei-rin forests

1. Survey ikusei-rin forests at 76,000 sample plots located around the country during 2003-2005 to determine area of lands which have been subject to practices which fit the interpretation of definition of Forest Management (indicated in Chapter 1, 1.2.3.1) since 1990.

Survey item: current status of forests (tree types, stand age, the number of trees, etc), status and contents of practices since 1990, etc.

2. Extract adequately managed forest stands for each tree type, age and region which can demonstrate that practices have been implemented since 1990 and "Ry" value is less than 0.85 that shows appropriate stand density, and estimate ratio of these forest stands (FM ratio).

"Ry" is the yield index that indicates relative measure of stand density. "Ry" is shown between 0 (minimum yield) and 1 (maximum yield). "Ry" is generally used as index for managed forests in Japan.

Management type	Sub-category /	Tree species	Region	FM ratio
	Intensively managed forest	Japanese cedar	Tohoku, Kita-kanto, Hokuriku, Tosan	0.48
			Minami-kanto, Tokai	0.36
			Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, Kyusyu	0.31
Driveta Forest		Hinoki	Tohoku, Kanto, Cyubu	0.53
Private Forest		cypress	Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, Kyusyu	0.48
		Japanese larch	All	0.37
		Other	All	0.52
	Semi-natural forest / All		All	0.38
National Forest	All cateories / Al	l speceis	All	0.66

 Table 2-2
 FM ratio for Ikusei-rin forests (private forests / national forests)

3. Subtract AR land area for each prefecture from total forest area and estimate FM land area by using remained forest area for each prefecture and FM ratio for each tree species and region.

#### b) Tennensei-rin forests

For Tennensei-rin forests, estimate area of forest lands subject to practices for protection or conservation of forests including controlling logging activities and land-use change which have been carried out by laws by using the National Forest Resources Database.

			[Unit: 1000 ha]
Protected/Conserved forest type	Private forest	National forest	Total
Protection Forest	2,392	3,705	6,096
Area for Conservation facility installation project	1	0	1
Protected Forest	0	43	43
Special Protected Zones in National Parks	11	5	15
Class I Special Zones in National Parks	11	1	12
Class II Special Zones in National Parks	64	6	70
Special Protected Zones in Quasi-National Parks	2	2	4
Class I Special Zones in Quasi-National Parks	9	2	11
Class II Special Zones in Quasi-National Parks	51	4	56
Special Zone in Natural Environment Conservation Area	0	0	0
Special Seed Forest	0	0	0
Total	2,541	3,768	6,309

Table 2-3 Area of protected/conserved tennensei-rin forests

\*1 National Forest Resouce Database (1st April 2006)

\*2 Including forest without standing trees

\*3 Excluding designated important forests

#### 2.2.2.2. Data

a) Yield tables developed by prefectures or Regional Forest Offices, and Forest register

When regional forest planning is established for each prefecture or Regional Forest Offices of National forests, these forests are surveyed to develop Forest Register which include area, age and volume by tree species and so on.

Volume data for each sub-compartment in the Forest Register is estimated from area by using yield tables (provide relationship between stand age or age class and volume per area) which provide stand growth when typical practices are implemented for each regions, tree species and site classes.

Forest Register is updated every fifth year (for each 158 forest planning areas: private forests by prefectures, national forests by Regional Forest Office) and reflects change in volume due to cutting and disturbances (age and related data is updated).



Figure 2-2 Procedures of Forest register development (in the case of private forests)

b) Development of the National Forest Resources Database

To estimate emissions/removals from forest, Forestry Agency has developed National Forest Resources Database (NFRDB). In the NFRDB, Forest Registers which are the base data for estimating and reporting, administrative information including Forest Planning Map, Forest Resource Monitoring survey as forest stand information and geographical location information including ortho-photo and satellite image from Landsat-TM and SPOT are archived.



Figure 2-3 Summary of the National Forest Resources Database

- 2.2.3. Revegetation
- 2.2.3.1. Procedure
- a) Urban parks
- Rearrange the information on the notification date and the establishment area as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2006 for all urban parks which are installed in our country.
- 2. Extract urban parks which have been notified since 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 and its establishment area is 500 m<sup>2</sup> or more.
- 3. Rearrange urban parks extracted in Step 2 depending upon the address and count the establishment area depending upon geographical boundary (prefecture).
- 4. Separate establishment area into settlements and wetlands by using area ratio of urban parks occupied in rivers area [wetlands] (9.15%).
- 5. Calculate area of land which was qualified as forest land on 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989 by multiplying establishment area estimated in Step 4 by "area ratio of land has been converted from forest land to settlements or wetlands for the past 20 years". This area is excluded from establishment area because it qualified as deforestation. Remaining area is considered as RV land area (Accurately, it means that RV land area in 1985 is estimated (not 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989) because calculation is based on 2005 data. However, it is considered that there is no problem because it does not lead over-estimation of RV land area).

- 6. Calculate area of "Remaining land (Settlements remaining Settlements, Wetlands remaining Wetlands)" and "Land converted to other land-use category (Cropland / Grassland / Wetlands / Other land converted to Settlements, Cropland / Grassland / Settlements / Other land converted to Wetlands) by multiplying land area estimated in Step 5 by "area ratio of land converted to Settlements or Wetlands in the single year (2004-2005)".
- b) Green area on road
- Calculate the number of tall trees on roads across the country on 31<sup>st</sup> March 1990 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2006 by using 5-year cycle measured data during 1986-2001.
- 2. Calculate the number of tall trees which have been planted since 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 by subtracting the number for 1990 from one for 2006 (Revegetation is a activity which takes place after 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990. However, Japan considers it a activity after 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 because it is impossible to estimate the number of tall trees which have been planted between 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 1990).
- 3. Establish the ratio of the number of tall trees planted on the road which planted area is less than  $500 \text{ m}^2$  by using sampling data (significant level: 95%).
- 4. Establish land area per tall tree based on sampling data (significant level: 95%) (Extract RV land randomly and calculate by dividing this land area by the number of tall trees planted on the land).
- Calculate the number of tall trees for each geographical boundary (prefecture) estimated in Step 2 by multiplying the number of tall trees on roads across the country on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2006 by ratio of the number for each prefecture observed in 2001.
- 6. Calculate area of tall tree planted land which is 500  $\text{m}^2$  or more by multiplying values established in Step 3 & 4 by the number of tall trees for each geographical boundary (prefecture) estimated in Step 5.

Area of land which have been planted since 1st April 1990 and its area is  $500 \text{ m}^2$  or more (ha)

- =  $\Sigma$  (the number of tall trees which have been planted since 1st April 1990 (tree)
- \* Ratio of the number of tall trees planted on the land which is  $500 \text{ m}^2$  or more (%)
- \* Land area per tall tree (ha/tree)
- 7. Calculate area of land which was qualified as forest land on 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989 by multiplying area estimated in Step 6 by "area ratio of land has been converted from Forest land to Settlements or Wetlands for the past 20 years". This area is excluded because it qualified as deforestation. Remaining area is considered as RV land area (Accurately, it means that RV land area in 1985 is estimated (not 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989) because calculation is based on 2005 data. However, it is considered that there is no problem because it does not lead over-estimation of RV land area).
- 8. Calculate area of "Remaining land (Settlements remaining Settlements)" and "Land converted to other land-use category (Cropland / Grassland / Wetlands / Other land converted to Settlements) by multiplying land area estimated in Step 7 by "area ratio of land converted to Settlements in the single year (2004-2005)".

#### c) Green area on port

- 1. Extract green area on port which have been established since  $1^{st}$  January 1990 and its service area is 500 m<sup>2</sup> or more. Then, rearrange its area depending on geographic boundaries (All green area on port could be reported because it is considered not to be qualified as forest land on  $31^{st}$  December 1989).
- 2. Calculate area of "Remaining land (Settlements remaining Settlements)" and "Land converted to other land-use category (Cropland / Grassland / Wetlands / Other land converted to Settlements) by multiplying land area estimated in Step 1 by "area ratio of land converted to Settlements in the single year (2004-2005)".
- d) Green area around sewage treatment facility
- 1. Extract green area around sewage treatment facility which have been established since 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 and its greening area is 500 m<sup>2</sup> or more. Then, rearrange its area depending on geographic boundaries.
- 2. Calculate area of land which was qualified as forest land on 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989 by multiplying greening area estimated in Step 1 by "area ratio of land has been converted from Forest land to Settlements for the past 20 years". This area is excluded because it qualified as deforestation. Remaining area is considered as RV land area (Accurately, it means that RV land area in 1985 is estimated (not 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989) because calculation is based on 2005 data. However, it is considered that there is no problem because it does not lead over-estimation of RV land area).
- 3. Calculate area of "Remaining land (Settlements remaining Settlements)" and "Land converted to other land-use category (Cropland / Grassland / Wetlands / Other land converted to Settlements) by multiplying land area estimated in Step 2 by "area ratio of land converted to Settlements in the single year (2004-2005)".
- e) Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space
- 1. Extract green area by greenery promoting system for private green space which greening area is 500 m<sup>2</sup> or more and rearrange their area depending on geographic boundaries. All of them are activities which takes place after 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 because greenery promoting system has implemented since May 2001.
- 2. All green areas by greenery promoting system for private green space to be reported are "Remaining land" because they were not qualified as Forest land on 31<sup>st</sup> December 1989 and qualified as Settlements in recent year.

#### 2.2.3.2. Data

Data applied in estimating RV land area is shown below.

Tuble 2 1 Dura appred in estimating RV haid area				
Sub-division	Data type	Method for data collection		
Urban parks	Area for each urban park	Urban Parks Status Survey (2005)		
Croop area on road	Number of tall trees	Road Tree Planting Status Survey (1987, 1992, 1997, 2002)		
Green area on road	Land area per tall tree	Basic Data Collection Survey on Tall Tree Planting on the Road		
Green area on port	Service area for each green area around sewage treatment facility	Complete census implemented in January 2007		
Green area around sewage treatment facility	Green area for each sewage treatment facility	Sewage treatment Facility Status Survey (January 2007)		
Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space	<ul><li>Greening area</li><li>Wall greening area</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Application form for greenery promoting system for private green space</li> <li>Urban Green Space Status Survey (2005)</li> </ul>		

Table 2-4 Data applied in estimating RV land area

2.3. Maps and/or database to identify the geographical locations, and the system of identification codes for the geographical locations

Japan decides to elect Reporting Method 1 and report for each prefecture. Therefore, identification codes are determined for each prefecture in accordance with the following map.



Figure 2-4 Japan's determination of identification codes

ID code	Prefecture	ID code	Prefecture	ID code	Prefecture
01	Hokkaido	17	Ishikawa	33	Okayama
02	Aomori	18	Fukui	34	Hiroshima
03	Iwate	19	Yamanashi	35	Yamaguchi
04	Miyagi	20	Nagano	36	Tokushima
05	Akita	21	Gifu	37	Kagawa
06	Yamagata	22	Shizuoka	38	Ehime
07	Fukushima	23	Aichi	39	Kochi
08	Ibaraki	24	Mie	40	Fukuoka
09	Tochigi	25	Shiga	41	Saga
10	Gunma	26	Kyoto	42	Nagasaki
11	Saitama	27	Osaka	43	Kumamoto
12	Chiba	28	Hyogo	44	Oita
13	Tokyo	29	Nara	45	Miyazaki
14	Kanagawa	30	Wakayama	46	Kagoshima
15	Niigata	31	Tottori	47	Okinawa
16	Toyama	32	Shimane		

 Table 2-5
 Relation between identification codes detemined and prefectures

### **Chapter 3 Activity-specific information**

3.1. Method for estimating carbon stock change and GHG emissions/removals

3.1.1. Method

3.1.1.1. Afforestation/Reforestation

a) Above-ground biomass, Below-ground biomass

#### Methodology

Carbon stock change in living biomass in ARD land is calculated, using Tier 3 stock change method in accordance with the LULUCF-GPG. In this method, biomass stock change is estimated by the difference between the absolute amount biomass at two times, additionally subtracted biomass stock change due to land conversion.

$$\Delta C_{LB} = \Delta C_{SC} - \Delta C_L$$

 $\Delta C_{LB}$  : Carbon stock changes in living biomass [t-C/yr]

- $\Delta C_{SC}$  : Carbon stock changes due to biomass growth, fellings, fuelwood gathering, disturbance after land conversion [t-C/yr]
- $\Delta C_L$  : Carbon stock changes due to land conversion [t-C/yr]

<u>Carbon stock change due to biomass growth, fellings, fuelwood gathering and disturbance after</u> <u>land conversion</u>

$$\Delta C_{SC} = \sum_{k} \left\{ (C_{t2} - C_{t1}) / (t_2 - t_1) \right\}_{k}$$

 $\Delta C_{SC}$  : Annual change in carbon stocks in living biomass [t-C/yr]

 $t_1, t_2$  : Time point of carbon stock measurement

 $C_{tl}$  : Total carbon in biomass calculated at time t<sub>1</sub> [t-C]

- $C_{t2}$ : Total carbon in biomass calculated at time t<sub>2</sub> [t-C]
  - *k* : Type of forest management

The carbon stocks in living biomass is calculated from the volume for tree species multiplied by wood density, biomass expansion factor, root-to-shoot ratio and carbon fraction.

$$C = \sum_{j} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} V_{j} \cdot D_{j} \cdot BEF_{j} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1 + R_{j}) \cdot CF \right\}$$
  

$$C : \text{Carbon stock in living biomass [t-C]}$$
  

$$V : \text{Volume [m^{3}]}$$
  

$$D : \text{Wood density [t-dm/m^{3}]}$$

*BEF* : Biomass expansion factor [dimensionless]

- *R* : Root-to-shoot ratio [dimensionless]
- CF : Carbon fraction (= 0.5[t-C/t-dm])
  - j : Tree species

Carbon stock change due to land conversion

Carbon stock change due to land conversion has been calculated as below, using method in accordance with the LULUCF-GPG.

$$\Delta C_L = \sum_i \left\{ A_i \times (B_a - B_{b,i}) \times CF \right\}$$

- $\Delta C_L$  : annual biomass carbon stock change in land that has been converted from land use type *i* to forest [t-C/yr]
  - $A_i$  : annual land area that has been converted from land use type *i* to forest [ha/yr]
- Ba : dry matter weight immediately following conversion to forest [t-dm/ha]
- Bb,i : dry matter weight before land converted from land use type *i* to forest [t-dm/ha]
- *CF* : carbon fraction of dry matter [t-C/t-dm]

#### Parameters

○ Volume

To estimate GHG emissions/removals from forest, Forest Agency has developed National Forest Resources Database (NFRDB) that makes a database of "Forest registers" information (area, tree species, age, etc.).

Volume of Japanese cedar, Hinoki cypress and Japanese larch which are major tree species of intensively managed forests (private forest) is calculated by applying new yield tables by region and tree type to forest area stored in this database or the Forest Status Survey.

$$V = \sum_{m,j} (A_{m,j} \cdot v)$$

V : Volume [m<sup>3</sup>]

A : Area [ha]

v : Volume per area [m<sup>3</sup>/ha]

*m* : Age class

j : Tree species

Trae species			Yield tables			
Tree species			Private Forest	National Forest		
		Japanese cedar Hinoki cypress Japanese larch	New Yield Tables			
Intensively managed forests	Conifer	Japanese pine Sakhalin fir Yezo spruce other conifer	Yield tables developed by	Yield tables developed by Regional Forest Offices		
	Broad lea Japanese	f (Quercus acutissima, oak, other broad leaf)	prefectures			
Semi-natural	forests					

 Table 3-1
 Yield tables used to estimate merchantable volume

 $\bigcirc$  Biomass expansion factor and Root-to-shoot ratio

Biomass expansion factor (BEF) and root-to-shoot ratio data are updated based on the results from biomass survey on dominant tree species and existing research reports which were implemented by the Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute.

BEFs are calculated for two age classes (20 years and below / 21 years and above), because it was identified that BEFs differ between young forests and mature forests.

		BEF		р	D	Carbon	Note
		≦20	>20	ĸ	D	fraction	Note
	Japanese cedar	1.57	1.23	0.25	0.314		
	Hinoki cypress	1.55	1.24	0.26	0.407		
	Sawara cypress	1.55	1.24	0.26	0.287		
	Japanese red pine	1.63	1.23	0.27	0.416		
	Japanese black pine	1.39	1.36	0.34	0.464		
	Hiba arborvitae	2.43	1.38	0.18	0.429		
	Japanese larch	1.50	1.15	0.29	0.404		
Conifer	Momi fir	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.423		
	Sakhalin fir	1.88	1.38	0.21	0.319		
	Japanese hemlock	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.464		
Conner	Yezo spruce	1.92	1.46	0.22	0.348		
trees	Sakhalin spruce	2.15	1.67	0.21	0.364		
	Japanese umbrella pine	1.39	1.23	0.18	0.455		
	Japanese yew	1.39	1.23	0.18	0.454		
	Ginkgo	1.51	1.15	0.18	0.451		
	Exotic conifer trees	1.41	1.41	0.17	0.320		
	Other conifer trees	2.55	1.32	0.34	0.352		Hokkaido, Tohoku, Tochigi, Gunma, Saitama, Niigata, Toyama, Yamanashi, Nagano, Gifu, Shizuoka
		1.39	1.36	0.34	0.464		Okinawa
		1.40	1.40	0.40	0.423		Other prefectures
	Japanese beech	1.58	1.32	0.25	0.573	0.5	
	Oak (evergreen tree)	1.52	1.33	0.25	0.629	0.5	
	Japanese chestnut	1.50	1.17	0.25	0.426		
	Japanese chestnut oak	1.36	1.33	0.25	0.668		
	Oak (deciduous tree )	1.40	1.26	0.25	0.619		
	Japanese popular	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.291		
	Alder	1.33	1.19	0.25	0.382		
	Japanese elm	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.494		
	Japanese zelkova	1.58	1.28	0.25	0.611		
	Cercidiphyllum	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.446		
Broad	Japanese big-leaf	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.386		
leaf trees	Maple tree	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.519		
icui uces	Amur cork	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.344		
	Linden	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.369		
	Kalopanax	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.398		
	Paulownia	1.33	1.17	0.25	0.234		
	Exotic broad leaf trees	1.41	1.41	0.25	0.660		
	Japanese birch	1.31	1.20	0.25	0.619		
		1.37	1.37	0.25	0.473		Chiba, Tokyo, Kochi, Fukuoka, Nagasaki, Kagoshima, Okinawa
	Other broad leaf trees	1.52	1.33	0.25	0.629		Mie, Wakayama, Oita, Kumamoto, Miyazaki, Saga
		1.40	1.26	0.25	0.619		Othe prefectures

Table 3-2 BEF, Root-Shoot ratio, wood density for tree species provided in Forest register

BEF: Biomass expansion factor

R: Root-to-shoot ratio

D: Wood density

#### $\bigcirc$ Biomass stock data for each land use category

				÷ •
Land use category		Biomass stocks [t-dm/ha]	Note	
		Rice field	6.31	Use annual growth rate value given in Naoto Owa "Nutrient Balance in Japan's Crops".
	Cropland	Crop field	3.30	Use annual growth rate value given in Naoto Owa "Nutrient Balance in Japan's Crops".
Before conversion		Orchard	30.63	Calculate by multiplying average age and growth rate which are given in Daiyu Ito <i>et al</i> <i>"Estimating the Annual Carbon Balance in</i> <i>Warm-Temperature Deciduous Orchards in</i> <i>Japan</i> "
	Grassland		2.7	LULUCF-GPG Table 3.4.2 warm temperate wet
	Wetlands, Settlements and Other land		0.0	Assume that biomass stocks are "0".
After immediately conversion	Forest		0.00	Assume that biomass stocks immediately after conversion are "0".

 Table 3-3
 Biomass stock data for each land use category



#### Activity data

AR land area by tree species and age were calculated by procedure 2.2.1.1.

#### b) Dead wood, Litter and Soils

#### Methodology

Carbon stock change in dead wood and litter in AR land was calculated under the assumption that initial value (= 0 [t-C/ha]) have changed linearly to average carbon stocks in dead wood and litter for each prefecture during 20 years.

$$\Delta C_{DOM} = A \cdot (C_{LT} 20 + C_{DW} 20) / 20$$

 $\Delta C_{DOM}$  : Annual change in carbon stocks in dead wood and litter [t-C/yr]

A : Area [ha]

CLT20 : Average carbon stocks in litter within 20-year-old forests [t-C/ha]

 $C_{DW^{20}}$ : Average carbon stocks in dead wood within 20-year-old forests [t-C/ha]

Carbon stock change in soils was calculated under the assumption that forest soil carbon stocks have changed linearly to soil carbon stocks for non-forest land during 20 years.

$$\Delta C_{SOIL} = A \cdot (C_{Forest} - C_{non-Forest}) / 20$$

 $\Delta C_{SOIL} : Annual change in carbon stocks in soils [t-C/yr]$ A : Area [ha] $C_{Forest} : Carbon stocks in forests [t-C/ha]$  $C_{non-Forest} : Carbon stocks in non-forest areas [t-C/ha]$ 

#### Parameters

Parameters were determined based on CENTURY-jfos (see 3.1.1.3.b.) model and relevant literature.

#### Activity data

AR land area by tree species was calculated by using procedure 2.2.1.1.

c) Other gases: Biomass burning

Methodology

For CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to biomass burning, Tier 1 method is used.

 $bbGHG_f = L_{forestfires} \times ER$  (CH<sub>4</sub>)

 $bbGHG_f = L_{forestfires} \times ER \times 1/N$ : Cratio (N<sub>2</sub>O)

 $\begin{array}{ll} bbGHG_f &: \text{GHG emissions due to biomass burning by forest} \\ L_{forest fires} &: \text{Carbon released due to forest fires [t-C/yr]} \\ ER &: \text{Emission ratio} \\ N:Cratio &: \text{Nitrogen / Carbon ratio (1/CN ratio)} \end{array}$ 

#### Parameters

 $\bigcirc$  Emission ratio

The following values are applied to emission ratios for open burning of cleared forests. CH<sub>4</sub>: 0.012, N<sub>2</sub>O: 0.007 (default value stated in LULUCF-GPG, Table 3A.1.15)

 $\bigcirc$  N:C ratio

The following values are applied to N/C ratio. N:C ratio: 0.01 (default value stated in LULUCF-GPG, Page 3.50)

#### Activity data

Activity data is calculated by dividing proportionally carbon released by fire for all forest land by AR land area. Carbon released by fire for all forest land (national forest and private forest) is estimated by the Tier 3 method in LULUCF-GPG (multiplying the fire damaged timber volume by wood density, biomass expansion factor and carbon fraction of dry matter).

With regard to national forests, figures for area damaged annually due to fires and standing timbers

damaged due to fires in national forests (area and timber volume) in Handbook of Forestry Statistics are used.

With regard to private forests, the activity data is damaged timber volume due to fires which is estimated by using from actual damaged area and damaged timber volume by age class (inquiry survey for prefectural government by Forestry Agency). Damaged timber volume for age class equal to or less than 4 is estimated by multiplying the cumulative volume of age class equal to or under 4 per area estimated by the Survey on Current Status of Forest Resources by loss ratio of age class equal to or over 5 in private forests (ratio of damaged timber volume to cumulative volume). The loss ratio is assumed to be constant regardless of age classes.

 $L_{\rm forestfires} = \Delta C_{\rm fn} + \Delta C_{\rm fp}$ 

 $L_{forest fires}$  : Carbon released due to fires [t-C/yr]

 $\Delta C_{fn}$  : Carbon released due to national forest fires [t-C/yr]

 $\Delta C_{fP}$  : Carbon released due to private forest fires [t-C/yr]

- $\bigcirc$  National forest
  - $\Delta C_{fn} = V f_n \times D_n \times B E F_n \times C F$
  - $\Delta C_{fn}$  : Carbon released due to national forest fires [t-C/yr]

 $V f_{fn}$  : Damaged timber volume due to fire in national forest [m<sup>3</sup>]

 $D_n$ : Wood density for national forest [t-dm/m<sup>3</sup>]

 $BEF_n$  : Biomass expansion factor for national forest

*CF* : Carbon fraction of dry matter [t-C/t-dm]

○ Private forest

 $\Delta C_{fP} = V f_p \times D_P \times B E F_P \times C F$ 

 $\Delta C_{fp}$  : Carbon released due to private forest fires [t-C/yr]

 $Vf_p$  : Damaged timber volume due to fire in private forest [m<sup>3</sup>]

 $D_p$  : Wood density for private forest [t-dm/m<sup>3</sup>]

 $BEF_p$ : Biomass expansion factor for private forest

*CF* : Carbon fraction for dry matter [tC/t-dm]

The values for wood density and biomass expansion factors on national and private forest land are determined as weighted averages using the ratios of intensively managed forest and semi-natural forests.

Туре	Wood density [t-dm/m <sup>3</sup> ]	BEF
National forest	0.49	1.61
Private forest	0.47	1.61

Table 3-4 Wood density and BEF for national forest and private forest

Source: Based on Forestry Agency data

#### d) Results

		[Gg-CO2]	[Gg-C]
AI	R	-340.62	92.90
	Above-ground buiomass	-201.71	55.01
	Below-ground biomass	-52.30	14.26
	Dead wood	-41.73	11.38
	Litter	-17.69	4.83
	Soils	-27.21	7.42
	Other gases	0.01	0.00

\* CO2)+: Emission, -: Removal C...+: Removal, -: Emission

#### 3.1.1.2. Deforestation

a) Above-ground biomass, Below-ground biomass

#### Methodology

Methology for estimating carbon stock change due to D activity is similar to AR activity. In accordance with the LULUCF-GPG, methodology for estimating carbon stock change due to growth of living biomass is as follow.

$$\Delta C = A \times CR \times CF$$

- $\Delta C$  : annual carbon stock change due to living biomass growth after D activity [t-C/yr]
- A : D land area [ha/yr]
- CR : dry matter biomass weight accumulated after D activity [t-dm/ha]
- CF : carbon fraction of dry matter (= 0.5) [t-C/t-dm]

#### Parameters

The following parameters are used for estimating carbon stock change due to living biomass growth after D activity. The Other parameters are similar to AR activity.

Land use category		Biomass stocks [t-dm/ha]	Note			
	Rice field	6.31	Use annual growth rate value given in Naoto Owa "Nutrient Balance in Japan's Crops".			
Cropland field 3.30		3.30	Use annual growth rate value given in Naoto Owa "Nutrient Balance in Japan's Crops".			
	Orchard	30.63	Calculate by multiplying average age and growth rate which are given in Daiyu Ito <i>et al</i> " <i>Estimating the Annual Carbon Balance</i> <i>in Warm-Temperature Deciduous Orchards in Japan</i> "			
Grass	Grassland 2.7		LULUCF-GPG Table 3.4.2 warm temperate wet			
Wetlands Settlements Other land		0.0	Assume that biomass stocks are "0".			

Table 3-5	Biomass	stock	data	for	each	land	use	cateor	nv
Table $5^{-5}$	Diomass	STOCK	uata	101	cach	ianu	use	calego	лу



Land area on which D activity was occurred in 2005 was calculated by the method described in 2.2.1.

b) Dead wood, Litter and Soils

#### Methodology

Japan assumed that all carbon stocks in dead wood, litter and soils were emitted when deforestation activities were occurred. Carbon stock change in soils was calculated under the assumption that soil carbon stocks have changed linearly to carbon stocks for non-forest land during 20 years.

c) Other gases

> N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from disturbance associated with land-use conversion to cropland

#### Methodology

According to LULUCF-GPG, Tier 1 method is used.

$$\begin{split} N_2 O - N_{conv} &= N_2 O_{net-\min} - N = EF \times N_{net-\min} \\ N_{net-\min} &= C_{released} \times 1/C : N_{ratio} \end{split}$$

$N_2O-N\_conv$	: N <sub>2</sub> O emission due to land-use conversion to cropland (kgN <sub>2</sub> O-N)
N <sub>2</sub> O_net-min-N	: N <sub>2</sub> O emission due to land-use conversion to cropland (kgN <sub>2</sub> O-N/ha/yr)
N_net-min	: annual N emission from soil disturbance associated with mineralization of soil organic matter (kgN/ha/yr)
EF	: emission factor
C:Nratio	: Nitrogen / Carbon ratio (1/CN ratio)
C_released	: soil carbon stock that has been mineralized within 20 years

#### Parameters

- C:N ratio for soils: 11.3 (Country specific data [Undisclosed])
- N-N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor for soils: 0.0125 [kg-N<sub>2</sub>O-N/kg-N] (default value stated in LULUCF-GPG, Page 3.94)

#### Activity Data

Area of land converted to Cropland and carbon emissions from soils due to this conversion are used.

#### Biomass burning

Japan reported this category as "NO".

#### d) Results

		[t-CO2]	[t-C]
D		2,412.60	-657.98
	Above-ground buiomass	1,142.52	-311.60
	Below-ground biomass	352.10	-96.03
	Dead wood	437.19	-119.23
	Litter	189.38	-51.65
	Soils	286.21	-78.06
	Other gases	5.21	-1.42

\* CO2)+: Emission, -: Removal C...+: Removal, -: Emission

3.1.1.3. Fortest Management

a) Above-ground biomass, Below-ground biomass

Methodology

It is similar to used in AR.

Parameters

It is similar to used in AR.

#### Activity data

- 1. Estimate emissions/removals in all forest lands by using biomass stock data stored in the National Forest Resources Database (based on stock change method).
- 2. Subtract emissions/removals relating to ARD activities from emissions/removals in all forest lands. For Ikusei-rin forest, estimate emissions/removals in FM land by applying FM ratio for each tree type and region. For Tennensei-rin forest, estimate area of forest land subject to practices for protection or conservation of forests including controlling logging activities and land-use change which have been carried out by laws by using the National Forest Resources Database and estimate emissions/removals by applying area ratio of its forest land.

#### b) Dead wood, Litter and Soils

#### Methodology

In accordance with a decision tree provided in the LULUCF-GPG, Carbon stock change in each pool is estimated by Tier 3 model method.

Carbon emissions/removals for each pool and forest management type are estimated by using CENTURY-jfos model and are multiplied by land area for forest management type.

$$\Delta C_{dls} = \sum_{k} (A_k \bullet (d_k + l_k + s_k))$$

 $\triangle C_{dls}$  : Change in carbon stocks in dead wood, litter and soil [t-C/yr]

- A : Area [ha]
- D : Average carbon stock change in dead wood per area [t-C/yr]
- l : Average carbon stock change in litter per area [t-C/yr]
- s : Average carbon stock change in soil per area [t-C/yr]
- *k* : Type of forest management

#### Parameters

Average carbon stock changes per unit area for dead wood, litter and soils are calculated by CENTURY-jfos model, which was modified from the CENTURY model (Colorado State University) to follow Japanese climate, soil, and vegetation conditions.

Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute adjusted CENTURY model to Japanese forest environment. That is, the forest was classified by the predominant tree species (classification before 2004 in Table 7-5) and the distribution of the tree and soil types underneath was identified. Climate conditions to run the model were collected from the mesh climate data provided by the Meteorological Agency. Tuning of parameters in CENTURY model was evaluated by the condition that result of tree growth pattern in CENTURY was comparable to the result obtained by the accounting method for carbon stock in living biomass using yield table (5.A.1.-) and also by the condition that soil and litter carbon stocks in the steady state in CENTURY was comparable to the actual carbon stock estimates based on field observation. After these modifications, the CENTURY was renamed to CENTURY-jfos. Then, carbon stocks in dead wood, litter and soil, and their stock changes were calculated by CENTURY-jfos for different forest management types such as management with thinning and without thinning.

In each forest management type total carbon stock changes in deed wood, litter, and soil during 0 - 19 age classes (for 100 years), calculated by CENTURY-jfos, were averaged, which allow us to use the same activity data for living biomass accounting.



Figure 3-1 Estimation of removals in dead wood, litter and soils

c) Othe gases: Biomass burning

It is similar to AR activity.

#### d) Results

		[t-CO2]	[t-C]
FM		-37,508.43	10,229.57
	Above-ground buioma	-29,391.05	8,015.74
	Below-ground biomass	-7,346.01	2,003.46
	Dead wood	389.88	-106.33
	Litter	-401.80	109.58
	Soils	-764.95	208.62
	Other gases	5.50	-1.50

CO2)+: Emission, -: Removal

C...+: Removal, -: Emission

#### 3.1.1.4. Revegetation

Remaining land: Above-ground biomass, Below-ground biomass a)

#### Methodology

 $\varDelta C_{RVLB} = \Sigma \left( \varDelta C_{LBGi} - \varDelta C_{LBLi} \right)$  $\varDelta C_{LBGi} = \varDelta B_{LBGi}$  $\Delta B_{LBGi} = \Sigma NT_{i,j} * C_{Ratei,j}$ 

- $\Delta C_{RVLB}$ : Annual change in carbon stocks in living biomass in remaining revegetation land [t-C/yr]
- $\Delta C_{LBG}$  : Annual change in carbon stocks due to growth in living biomass in remaining revegetation land [t-C/yr]
- $\Delta C_{LBL}$ : Annual change in carbon stocks due to loss of living biomass in remaining revegetation land [t-C/yr]

 $\Delta B_{LBG}$  : Annual biomass growth in revegetation land [t-C/yr]

 $C_{Rate}$  : Annual biomass growth per tree [t-C/tree/yr] NT : number of trees

- - i : Land use type (urban parks, green area on road, green area on port, green area around sewage treatment facility and green area by greenery promoting system for private green space)
  - j : Tree species

Parameters

#### $\bigcirc$ Urban parks

As a result of tree survey for sample urban parks<sup>4</sup>, it could be assumed that the average age of

<sup>4</sup> Kanagawa Prefecture is located in Japan's typical climate zone and has many types of urban parks. Japan determined randomly 129 sample urban parks in Kanagawa which have been notified since 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990. In addition, Japan

tree population is less than or equal to 20 years and carbon stock change due to living biomass loss in urban parks is determined to be zero.

Annual biomass growth in urban parks is calculated by using default values (0.0084-0.0142[t-C/tree/yr]) provide in the LULUCF-GPG (Page 3.297, Table 3A.4.1) and distribution ratio of tree types in sample urban parks.

For ratio of above-ground biomass/below-ground biomass, default value provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (root-to-shoot ratio: 0.26) is applied (see Page 8.9).

#### $\bigcirc$ Green area on road

Japan calculated the average age of tree population by using data on the age of planted trees in sample roads which had been extracted randomly. As a result of its calculation, it could be assumed that the average age of tree population is less than or equal to 20 years and carbon stock change due to living biomass loss in green area on road is determined to be zero.

Annual biomass growth and ratio of above-ground biomass/below-ground biomass are calculated by using the same parameters as urban parks.

 $\bigcirc$  Green area on port, Green area around sewage treatment facility

As in the case of urban parks, it could be assumed that carbon stock change due to living biomass loss in green area on port and green area around sewage treatment facility is zero because standard of planted trees, tree types and their distribution are usually selected in the same manner as urban parks.

Annual biomass growth and ratio of above-ground biomass/below-ground biomass are calculated by using the same parameters as urban parks.

 $\bigcirc$  Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space

It could be assumed that the average age of tree population is less than or equal to 20 years and carbon stock change due to living biomass loss in green area by greenery promoting system for private green space is determined to be zero because standard of planted trees is selected in the same manner as urban parks and all facilities has been certified since 2002.

Annual biomass growth and ratio of above-ground biomass/below-ground biomass are calculated by using the same parameters as urban parks.

#### Activity data

 $\bigcirc$  Urban parks

Area of land remaining urban parks is calculated by multiplying area of urban parks by area ratio of land conversion for the whole country. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in urban parks is the number of tall trees planted in urban parks which is calculated by multiplying area of urban parks obtained from "Urban Parks Status Survey" by the number of tall trees per area (Hokkaido: 340.1[tree/ha], the other prefectures: 203.3[tree/ha]).

In addition, the number of tall trees per area is calculated by using the number of tall trees and land area in sampling urban parks which significant level is 95%.<sup>5</sup>

implemented same survey in 3 urban parks in Chiba Prefecture which park type is not exsited in Kanagawa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For Hokkaido, distribution ratio of tree types is calculated by using tree registers and plantation maps for all urban parks in Kushiro city and Yubari city. For other prefectures, distribution ratio of tree types is calculated by using tree registers and

#### Table 3-6 Area of urban parks for eachland use

		Percentage <sup>6</sup>	Area (ha)
Urba and i	n parks which have been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 ts establishment area is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more	100.00%	45,511.68
	Urban parks located in Settlements	90.85%	41,347.36
	Urban parks located in Wetlands (they occupy the river section)	9.15%	4,164.32

Table 3-7 Area of land which was not qualified as forest land on 31st December 1989

	Land-use category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Area (ha)	RV Qualification
Urban parks which have been notified	Forest	9.07%	4,130.03	No
since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 and its establishment area is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more	Non-forest	90.93%	41,381.64	Yes
Urban parks located in Sattlements	Forest	9.92%	4,103.32	No
orbail parks located in Settlements	Non-forest	90.08%	37,244.04	Yes
Urban parks located in Wetlands	Forest	0.64%	26.72	No
(they occupy the river section)	Non-forest	99.36%	4,137.60	Yes

Table 3-8	Area of urban	parks (ren	haining land /	converted land)
-----------	---------------	------------	----------------	-----------------

		Land-use category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the current year	Area (ha)	Activity data (tree) [the number of tall trees]
Urban parks which have been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 and its establishment area is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more		Converted (except land converted from forest land)	0.33%	125.45	27,511
		Remaining	90.70%	37,535.01	8,231,728
	Urban parks located in Settlements	Converted (except land converted from forest land)	0.37%	125.15	27,446
		Remaining	89.84%	33,459.78	7,337,999
	Urban parks located in Wetlands (they occupy the river section)	Converted (except land converted from forest land)	0.01%	0.30	65
		Remaining	98.49%	4,075.22	893,729

#### $\bigcirc\,$ Green area on road

Activity data (the number of tall trees) in "Remaining green area on road" is calculated by the following procedures.

1. Calculate the number of tall trees in all green area on road in 31 March 1990 and 31 March 2006 by using data from "Road Tree Planting Status Survey" which had been implemented 4 times (1987, 1992,1997 and 2002).

plantation maps for 321 urban parks extracted randomly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Measured value from "Urban Parks Status Survey"(2005)

- 2. Calculate the number of tall trees which have been planted since 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 by subtracting the number for 1990 from one for 2006 (Revegetation is a activity which takes place after 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990. However, Japan considers it a activity after 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 because it is impossible to estimate the number of tall trees which have been planted between 1<sup>st</sup> April 1990 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 1990).
- 3. Multiply the number of tall trees calculated in Step 2 by the ratio of the number of tall trees planted on the road which planted area is less than  $500 \text{ m}^2$ .
- 4. Multiply the number of tall trees calculated in Step 3 by the area ratio of green area on road which was qualified as Forest land in 31th December 1989.
- 5. Multiply the number of tall trees calculated in Step 4 by the area ratio of land remaining Settlements.

		The number of planted tall tree [tree]				Area ratio of land	
	Area of green area on road per tall tree [ha/tree]	31th March 1990	31th March 2006	1990 - 2005	Area ratio of planted land which is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more [%]	which was qualified as forest land on 31 <sup>st</sup> December 1989 <sup>7</sup> [%]	Area of green area on road which was qualified as RV [ha]
	a	b	с	c-b	d	e	a*(c-b)*d/ 100*(1-e)/ 100
General road (managed by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Prefectures, local authority, public corporation)	0.006237	7,020,709	12,767,290	5,746,581	99.00%	9.92%	31,961
Highway (managed by now-defunct public corporation)	0.000830	650,476	7,735,093	8,385,569	100.00%	9.92%	6,269

Table 3-9 Area of green area on road which has been qualified as RV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Apply area ratio of land has been converted from Forest land to Settlements for the past 20 years.

	The number of tall trees which have been planted since 1990 [tree]	Area ratio of planted land which is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more [%]	Area ratio of land has been converted from Forest land for the past 20 years [%]	Activity data (The number of tall trees) [tree]
	c-b	d	e	(c-b)*d/100* (1-e)/100
General road (managed by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Prefectures, local authority, public corporation)	5,746,581	99.00%	9.92%	5,124,353
Highway (managed by now-defunct public corporation)	8,385,569	100.00%	9.92%	7,553,384

 Table 3-10
 The number of tall trees qualifies as RV (Activity data)

Table 3-11	Area of green area on road and activity data [the number of tall trees]
	(remaining land / converted land)

		(8			
		Land-use category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Activity data (the number of tall trees)	Area (ha)
Gre	enarea on road which have	Converted	10.16%	1,288,147	3,884.35
been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 and its establishment area is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more		Remaining	89.84%	11,389,590	34,344.81
	General road	Converted	10.16%	520,671	3,247.42
General toad	Remaining	89.84%	4,603,684	28,713.18	
Highway	Highway	Converted	10.16%	767,477	636.93
Highway		Remaining	89.84%	6,785,906	5,631.62

#### ○ Green area on port

Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in green area on port is the number of tall trees planted in green area on port, which is calculated by multiplying service area obtained from complete census by the number of tall trees per urban parks (Hokkaido: 340.1[tree/ha], the other prefectures: 203.3[tree/ha], these values are applied because of the similarities between urban parks and green area on port as mentioned above).

In addition, it has been assumed that all green area on port has been located in Settlements and not qualified as Forest land in 31 December 1989.

 Table 3-12
 Area of green area on port and activity data (remaining land / converted land)

Land-use Category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the current year	Area (ha)	Activity data (the number of tall trees)
Converted	0.34%	3.69	782
Remaining	99.66%	1,094.90	231,845

 $\bigcirc$  Green area around sewage treatment facility

Area of land remaining green area around sewage treatment facility is calculated in the same manner as urban parks. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in green area around sewage treatment facility is obtained from "Sewage treatment Facility Status Survey" implemented in January 2007. The number of tall trees planted in green area around sewage treatment facility is calculated by multiplying greening area by the number of tall trees per greening area (Hokkaido: 129.8[tree/ha], the other prefectures: 429.1[tree/ha]). The number of tall trees per greening area is determined from the number of tall trees and greening area for 59 facilities.

In addition, all green area around sewage treatment facility has been located in Settlements.

Table 3-13Green area around sewage treatment facility which was not qualified as Forestland in 31th December 1989

Land-use category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Area (ha) (green area)	RV Qualification
Forest	9.92%	199.70	No
Non-forest	90.08%	864.03	Yes

 Table 3-14
 Area and activity data [the number of tall trees] (remaining land / converted land)

Land-use category	Area ratio of land has been converted for the current year	Area (ha) (green area)	Activity data (the numbet of tall trees)
Converted	0.34%	3.22	1,295
remaining	89.84%	860.81	346,180

 $\bigcirc$  Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space

Activity data (the number of tall trees) is available for each facility. Therefore, total number of tall trees is used as activity data.

Table 3-15Activity data and area of green area by greenery promoting system for privategreen space

			0	r			
			Break	down of are	$a(m^2)$	Area	Activity data
Certificati on Year	Location	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Ground	Roof	Wall	Wall green area by greenery promoting system for private green space (m <sup>2</sup> )	The number of tall trees (tree)
2002	Minato-ku Tokyo	17,244	1,314	2,042	106	3,356	335
2002	Minato-ku Tokyo	19,708	3,285	736		4,021	147
2002	Minato-ku Tokyo	52,766	10,679			10,679	672
2002	Minato-ku Tokyo	84,780	8,846	7,493		16,339	813
2003	Minato-ku Tokyo	5,519	1,654			1,654	167
2003	Osaka City	37,179	2,552	4,951	110	7,503	500
2005	Kawaguchi City	1,995	586	164	18	750	92
Т	otal	219,192	28,917	15,385	234	44,302	2,726

#### b) Remaining land: Dead wood

#### ○ Urban parks

The number of tall trees per land area used in estimation of activity data for living biomass

includes trees which have been died and planted since park establishment, thus carbon stock change in dead wood is included in carbon stock change in living biomass. Therefore, this category is reported as "IE".

#### $\bigcirc$ Green area on road

The number of tall trees used in estimation of activity data for living biomass is surveyed every 5 years. This data includes effects of dead wood and planting, thus carbon stock change in dead wood is included in carbon stock change in living biomass. Therefore, this category is reported as "IE".

- Green area on port, Green area around sewage treatment facility and Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space
   This category is reported as "IE" based on the same assumption as urban parks.
- c) Remaining land: Litter

For litter, Japan estimates carbon stock change for urban parks, green area on port, green area around sewage treatment facility and green area by greenery promoting system for private green space. On the other hand, green area on road is reported as "NE" because of insufficiency of scientific knowledge.



 $\varDelta C_{RVLit} = \Sigma (A_i * L_{iti})$ 

- $\Delta C_{RVLit}$  : Annual change in carbon stocks in litter in remaining revegetation land [t-C/yr]
  - *A* : Area of remaing revegetation land [ha]
  - *L<sub>it</sub>* : Annual change in carbon stocks in litter per revegetation land [t-C/ha/yr]
  - *I* : Land use type (urban parks, green area on port, green area around sewage treatment facility and green area by greenery promoting system for private green space)

#### Parameters

#### $\bigcirc$ Urban parks

For litter, Japan estimates carbon stock change only in branches and leaves dropped naturally from tall trees. Carbon stock change in litter per urban park area is calculated by using annual accumulation of litter per a tall tree (Hokkaido: 0.0006 [t-C/tree/yr], other prefectures: 0.0009 [t-C/tree/yr]) based on results of field survey in urban parks<sup>8</sup>, the number of tall trees per area and ratio of litter moved to off-site due to management including cleaning (92.39%). As a result of calculation, carbon stock change in litter per urban park area is 0.0078 [t-C/ha/yr] for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Annual accumulation of litter dropped naturally was measured for some tree types by using litter traps installed in Takino Suzuran Kyuryo National Government Park (Hokkaido) and Showa Kinen National Government Park (Tokyo). Litter is defined as branches and leaves dropped on the surface. In selection of surveyed parks, large-sized and intensively managed national government parks in which continuous monitoring is available and different types trees have been planted are considered to be satisfied with measurement requirements. In addition, it is also considered that tree type distribution differs between Hokkaido and other prefectures. Therefore, Japan selected two surveyed parks, one for

Hokkaido and 0.0069 [t-C/ha/yr] for other prefectures. In addition, carbon fraction in litter is assumed to be 0.05 [t-C/t-dm] which is a default value provided in the LULUCF-GPG<sup>9</sup>.

○ Green area on road, Green area around sewage treatment facility and Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space

It is assumed that manner and frequency of management practices are the same as ones of urban parks. Therefore, the same parameters as urban parks are used.

Activity data

It is similar to living biomass.

d) Remaining land: Soils

Because of insufficiency of scientific knowledge, this category has been reported as "NE".

e) Remaining land: Other gases

Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from N fertilization

It is assumed that volume of nitrogen-based fertilizer applied to urban parks is included in demand for nitrogen-based fertilizers in Agriculture sector, although fertilization application in urban parks has been conducted in Japan. Therefore, these sources have been reported as "IE".

Carbon emissions from lime application

Japan estimates carbon emissions from lime application only for urban parks. On the other hands, this category for green area on road, green area on port, green area around sewage treatment facility and green area by greenery promoting system for private green space is reported as "NE" because activity data is not available.

Methodology

 $C_{UPLm} = C_{UPCaCO3} + C_{UPCaMg(CO3)2}$   $C_{UPCaCO3} = A * \varDelta C_{UPCaCO3} * 12.01 / 100.09$   $C_{UPCaMg(CO3)2} = A * \varDelta C_{UPCaMg(CO3)2} * 12.01 / 184.41$ 

Hokkaido and the other for typical climate zone excluding Hokkaido.

According to the LULUCF-GPG, this default value is originally provided for living biomass. However, Japan uses it for litter because Japan measured carbon fraction in litter in the manner which litter was collected and dried within one month from litter dropping in field survey and its measurement showed that carbon fraction in litter is similar to one in living biomass.

$\Delta C_{UPLm}$	: Annual carbon emissions in urban parks due to lime application [t-C/yr]
$C_{UPCaCO3}$	: Carbon emissions in urban parks due to CaCO <sub>3</sub> application
$C_{UPCaMg(CO3)2}$	: Carbon emissions in urban parks due to dolomite application
A	: Land area for urban parks (total of remaining land and converted land)
	: Amount of CaCO <sub>3</sub> application to urban parks per area
$\Delta C_{UPCaMg(CO3)2}$	: Amount of dolomite application to urban parks per area
12.01/100.09	: Ratio of molecular weight in CaCO <sub>3</sub>
12.01/184.41	: Ratio of molecular weight in dolomite

#### Parameters

Amount of CaCO<sub>3</sub> application per area is established as 298.4 [g/ha/yr] based on the results of questionnaire survey carried out for 11,274 urban parks. Amount of CaMg(CO3)2 application per area is established as 1,088.4 [g/ha/yr] based on the results of questionnaire survey carried out for 9,346 urban parks.

In estimating carbon emissions, it is assumed that all carbon included in applied  $CaCO_3$  and  $CaMg(CO_3)_2$  are released to the atmosphere within the application year.

#### Activity data

Land areas of urban parks qualified as RV are used as activity data.

#### Biomass burning

In settlements or wetlands subjected to RV activities, burning of residues are essentially prohibited by the Law for waste treatment and cleaning. In addition, wild fires do not usually occur in lands subjected to RV activities because these lands are managed. Therefore, biomass burning activities which lead carbon emissions do not occur and Japan reports this category as "NO".

f) Land converted from other land-use category: Above-ground biomass, Below-ground biomass

#### Methodology

For RV activities, land conversion occurs due to establishment or building of "facilities" and all living biomass are basically replaced for one year (In the case of urban parks converted from cropland, new planting in urban parks are carried out after removal of trees in cropland).

In Japan's basic estimation principles for land converted to RV land, facilities established newly by land conversion in the reporting year are defined as "Land converted to RV land". Estimation methods are shown below.

 $\begin{aligned} \Delta C_{RVLUC} &= \Sigma \left( A * \left( C_{AfterLBi} - C_{BeforeLBi} \right) + \left( \Delta C_{RVLUCGi} - \Delta C_{RVLUCLi} \right) \right) \\ \Delta C_{RVLUCG} &= \Delta B_{RVG} \\ \Delta B_{RVG} &= \Sigma NT_j * C_{Ratej} \end{aligned}$ 

$\Delta C_{RVLUC}$	: Annual change in carbon stocks in living biomass in converted revegetation
	land [t-C/yr]
A	: Annual area of converted revegetation land [ha/yr]
$C_{AfterLB}$	: Carbon stock in living biomass immediately following land conversion [t-C/ha]
$C_{BeforeLB}$	: Carbon stock in living biomass immediately before land conversion [t-C/ha]
$\Delta C_{RVLUCG}$	: Annual change in carbon stocks in converted revegetation land due to growth
	in living biomass [t-C/yr]
$\Delta C_{RVLUCL}$	: Annual change in carbon stocks in converted revegetation land due to loss of
	living biomass [t-C/yr]
$\Delta B_{RVG}$	: Annual biomass growth in revegetation land [t-C/yr]
$C_{Rate}$	: Annual biomass growth per tree [t-C/tree/yr]
NT	: Number of trees
i	: Land use type (urban parks, green area on road, green area on port, green area
	around sewage treatment facility and green area by greenery promoting system
	for private green space)
j	: Tree species



#### $\bigcirc$ Urban parks

Carbon stocks in living biomass immediately before conversion [t-C/ha] are the same as the one for Grassland, Cropland, Wetlands and Other land. Carbon stocks in living biomass immediately following conversion are assumed to be zero (When urban parks qualified as RV land were established, planting activities have been occurred and living biomass has been stocked. Japan assumes that these biomass stocks are zero because they were carried from other fields and they have not been grown by RV activities). In addition, it is assumed that living biomass before conversion is emitted due to RV land establishment.

The other parameters are assumed to be the same as ones for "Remaining urban parks".

 $\bigcirc$  Green area on road, Green area on port and Green area around sewage treatment facility

Carbon stocks in living biomass immediately following and before conversion [t-C/ha] is the same as the one for urban parks converted from other land-use.

The other parameters are assumed to be the same as ones for "Remaining green area on road", "Remaining green area on port" and "Remaining green area around sewage treatment facility".

#### Activity data

 $\bigcirc$  Urban parks

Area of land converted to urban parks is calculated by multiplying area of urban parks by area ratio of land conversion for the whole country. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in urban parks is estimated in the same manner as "Remaining urban parks".

	Land use category befor conversion	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Area [ha]	Activity data [tree] (The number of tall trees)
Urban parks which have	Remaining land	89.84%	33,459.78	7,337,999
been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup>	Cropland	0.29%	109.09	23,925
January 1990 and its	Grassland	0.04%	16.81	3,522
$500 \text{ m}^2$ or more (located	Wetlands	IE	IE	IE
in Settlements)	Other land	IE	IE	IE
Urban parks which have	Remaining land	98.49%	4,075.22	893,729
been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup>	Cropland	0.01%	0.22	49
January 1990 and its establishment area is	Grassland	0.00%	0.01	2
$500 \text{ m}^2$ or more (located	Settlements	0.00%	0.01	2
in Wetlands [they occupy the river section])	Other land	0.00%	0.05	11

 Table 3-16
 Area of urban parks and activity data (remaining land / converted land)

#### $\bigcirc\,$ Green area on road

Area of land converted to green area on road is calculated by multiplying area of green area on road by area ratio of land conversion for the whole country. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in green area on road (the number of tall trees) is estimated in the same manner as "Remaining green area on road".

	Land use category befor conversion	Area ratio of land has been converted for the current year	Area (ha)	Activity data [tree]
Green area on	Remaining land	89.84%	34,344.81	11,389,590
road which have	Cropland	0.29%	111.97	37,134
been notified	Grassland	0.04%	16.48	5,466
since 1 <sup>st</sup> January	Wetlands	IE	IE	IE
1990 and its				
establishment area	Other land	IE	IE	IE
is 500 m <sup>2</sup> or more				

 Table 3-17
 Area of green area on road and activity data for each land-use categry

#### $\bigcirc$ Green area on port

Area of land converted to green area on port is calculated by multiplying service area of green area on port by area ratio of land conversion for the whole country. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in green area on port is estimated in the same manner as "Remaining green area on port".

Table 3-18Area of green area on port and activity data for each land-use categry

Land use category befor conversion	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Area (ha)	Activity data [tree] (the number of tall trees)
Remaining land	99.66%	1,094.90	231,875
Cropland	0.29%	3.22	681
Grassland	0.04%	0.47	100
Wetlands	IE	IE	IE
Other land	IE	IE	IE

○ Green area around sewage treatment facility

Area of land converted to green area around sewage treatment facility is calculated by multiplying green area around sewage treatment facility by area ratio of land conversion for the whole country. Activity data for carbon stock change in living biomass in green area around sewage treatment facility is estimated in the same manner as "Remaining green area around sewage treatment facility".

fund use categry									
Land use category befor conversion	Area ratio of land has been converted for the past 20 years	Area (ha)	Activity data [tree] (the number of tall trees)						
Remaining land	89.84%	860.81	346,180						
Cropland	0.29%	2.81	1,129						
Grassland	0.04%	0.41	166						
Wetlands	IE	IE	IE						
Other land	IE	IE	IE						

 Table 3-19
 Area of green area around sewage treatment facility and activity data for each land-use category

#### g) Land converted from other land use category: Dead wood

When RV activities including land-use conversion are implemented, it is essential that dead wood is moved to off-site and planting is implemented on site because most of lands before conversion (excluding Forest land) are "managed lands" and trees are assumed as "resources". Therefore, carbon stock change in dead wood followed by planting are included in carbon stock change in living biomass before conversion and it looks that dead wood does not occur. In addition, carbon stocks in dead wood immediately following conversion are also assumed to be zero because carbon stocks in living biomass are assumed to be zero. Therefore, carbon stocks in dead wood before and after conversion are assumed to be zero.

Carbon stocks in dead wood accumulated for a year after conversion are reported as "IE" the same as "Remaining land".

#### h) Land converted from other land use category: Litter

Carbon stock change in litter for urban parks, green area on port and green area around sewage treatment facility are estimated. For green area on road, this category has been reported as "NE" because of insufficiency of scientific knowledge.

#### Methodology

$$\Delta C_{LUCRVLit} = \Sigma (Ai * (C_{AfterLiti} - C_{BeforeLiti}) + Ai * Liti)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{AfterLit} &: \text{Carbon stock in litter immediately following land conversion [t-C/ha]} \\ C_{BeforeLit} &: \text{Carbon stock in litter immediately before land conversion [t-C/ha]} \\ \varDelta C_{LUCRVLit} &: \text{Annual change in carbon stocks in litter in remaining revegetation land [t-C/yr]} \\ A &: \text{Area of converted revegetation land [ha/yr]} \\ Lit &: \text{Annual change in carbon stocks in litter in revegetation land per area [t-C/ha/yr]} \end{array}$ 

I induct enange in curbon stocks in fact in revegetation had per area (contact);
 I is Land use type (urban parks, green area on port and green area around sewage treatment facility)

#### Parameters

When RV activities including land-use conversion are implemented, it is considered that most of lands before conversion (excluding Forest land) are "managed lands" and most litter is taken out by management including cleaning. Therefore, carbon stocks in litter immediately before conversion are assumed to be zero. Carbon stocks in litter immediately following conversion are also assumed to be zero.

Carbon stocks in litter accumulated for a year after conversion are estimated in the same manner as "Remaining urban parks".

#### Activity data

Activity data is same as living biomass.

i) Land converted from other land use category: Soils

Because of insufficiency of scientific knowledge, this category has been reported as "NE".

- j) Land converted from other land use category: Other gases
- Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from N fertilization

It is assumed that volume of nitrogen-based fertilizer applied to urban parks is included in demand for nitrogen-based fertilizers in Agriculture sector, although fertilization application in urban parks has been conducted in Japan. Therefore, these sources have been reported as "IE".

#### Carbon emissions from lime application

For urban parks, carbon emissions from agricultural lime application are reported in "Remaining RV land" because estimation method is similar and these emissions are estimated for all urban parks.

On the other hand, activity data for green area on road, green area on port and green area around sewage treatment facility is not available. Therefore, this category has been reported as "NE".

#### ➢ Biomass burning

As in the case of "Remaining RV land", biomass burning activities which release carbon do not occur. Therefore, this category has been reported as "NO".

#### k) Results

			t-CO2]		[t-C]
R٧	1	NE		NE	
	Above-ground buioma		-498.24		135.88
	Below-ground biomass		-175.06		47.74
	Dead wood	IE		IE	
	Litter		-2.04		0.56
	Soils	NE		NE	
	Other gases		0.01		0.00

\* CO2)+: Emission, -: Removal C...+: Removal, -: Emission

3.1.2. Justification when omitting any carbon pool or GHG emissions/removals from activities under Article 3.3 and elected activities under Article 3.4

Japan estimates for all carbon pools. Omitted carbon pool is not existed.

3.1.3. Information on whether or not indirect and natural GHG emissions and removals have been factored out

Japan does not factor out indirect, natural and pre-1990 effects in estimating emissions/removals from activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4.

#### 3.1.4. Uncertainty estimates

As a result of uncertainty assessment implemented by method provided in National Greenhouse Gases inventory Report of JAPAN, Annex 7, "7.1 Methodology of Uncertainty Assessment", uncertainty of total emissions/removals from activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4 has been assessed at 33%.

Greenhouse gas source and sink	GHGs	Emissions/Remov	als	Emissions/Removals		Emissions/Removals	rank
activities		[Gg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.]		Uncertainty		Uncertainty as % of	
				[%]		toral national	
			%			emissions	
Article 3.3 activities Afforestation and Reforestation	CO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>4</sub>	▲ 341	-1%	4%	3	0%	2
Article 3.3 avtivities Deforestation	$CO_2$ , $N_2O$ , $CH_4$	2,413	7%	44%	1	-3%	3
Article 3.4 activities Forest management	$CO_2$ , $N_2O$ , $CH_4$	▲ 37,508	-106%	31%	2	32%	1
Article 3.4 activities Revegetation	$CO_2$ , $N_2O$ , $CH_4$	NE	-	-	-	-	-
Total		▲ 35,436	-100%	33%			

#### 3.1.4.1. Afforestation/Reforestation

Uncertainty of emissions/removals from afforestation/reforestation activities in 2005 has been assessed at 4%.

Greenhouse ga	is source and sink activities	GHGs	Emissions/ Removals [Gg CO2eq.]	AD Uncertainty [%]	EF/RF Uncertainty [%]	Combined Uncertainty [%]	rank	Combined Uncertainty as % of toral national emissions [%]	rank
Article 3.3	Change in carbon pool reported								
activities	Above-ground biomass	$CO_2$	▲ 202	-	-	5%	5	3%	1
	Below-ground biomass	$CO_2$	▲ 52	-	-	2%	7	0%	4
Afforestation	Litter	$CO_2$	▲ 18	-	-	6%	4	0%	5
and	Dead wood	$CO_2$	▲ 42	-	-	4%	6	1%	3
and Reforestation	Soil	$CO_2$	▲ 27	-	-	28%	1	2%	2
	Greenhouse gas sources reported								
	Fertilization	N <sub>2</sub> O	IE	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Drainage of soils under forest management	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Disturbance associated with land- use conversion to croplands	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Liming	$CO_2$	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	-	-
	Biomass burning	$CO_2$	IE	IE	IE	IE	-	-	-
		$CH_4$	0	-	-	20%	2	0%	7
		N <sub>2</sub> O	0	-	-	20%	3	0%	6
	Total		▲ 341			4%			

Table 3-20	Uncertainty	of emissions/rem	ovals from a	afforestation/re	eforestation	activities
------------	-------------	------------------	--------------	------------------	--------------	------------

#### 3.1.4.2. Deforestation

Uncertainty of emissions/removals from deforestation activities in 2005 has been assessed at 44%.

Greenhouse g	as source and sink activities	GHGs	Emissions/ Removals [Gg CO2eq.]	AD Uncertainty [%]	EF/RF Uncertainty [%]	Combined Uncertainty [%]	rank	Combined Uncertainty as % of toral national emissions [%]	rank
Article 3.3	Change in carbon pool reported								
activities	Above-ground biomass	CO <sub>2</sub>	1,143	-	-	93%	1	44%	1
Dforestation	Below-ground biomass	CO <sub>2</sub>	352	-	-	7%	4	1%	4
Dforestation	Litter	CO <sub>2</sub>	189	-	-	6%	5	0%	5
	Dead wood	CO <sub>2</sub>	437	-	-	6%	6	1%	3
	Soil	CO <sub>2</sub>	286	-	-	16%	3	2%	2
	Greenhouse gas sources reported								
	Fertilization	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Drainage of soils under forest management	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Disturbance associated with land- use conversion to croplands	N <sub>2</sub> O	5	-	-	23%	2	0%	6
	Liming	CO <sub>2</sub>	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	-	-
	Biomass burning	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
		$CH_4$	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
		N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
	Total		2,413			44%			

Table 3-21	Uncertainty of emissions/removals from deforestation activities

#### 3.1.4.3. Forest Management

Uncertainty of emissions/removals from forest management activities in 2005 has been assessed at 31%.

Greenhouse g	as source and sink activities	GHGs	Emissions/	AD	EF/RF	Combined	rank	Combined	rank
			Removals	Uncertainty	Uncertainty	Uncertainty		Uncertainty	
			[Gg CO2eq.]	[%]	[%]	[%]		as % of toral	
								national	
								emissions	
	-							[%]	
Article 3.4	Change in carbon pool reported								
activities	Above-ground biomass	$CO_2$	▲ 29,391	-	-	39%	2	30%	1
	Below-ground biomass	CO <sub>2</sub>	▲ 7,346	-	-	16%	5	3%	2
Forest	Litter	CO <sub>2</sub>	▲ 402	-	-	10%	6	0%	4
manafement	Dead wood	CO <sub>2</sub>	390	-	-	10%	7	0%	7
	Soil	CO <sub>2</sub>	▲ 765	-	-	151%	1	3%	3
	Greenhouse gas sources reported								
	Fertilization	N <sub>2</sub> O	IE	IE	IE	IE	1	-	-
	Drainage of soils under forest	N <sub>2</sub> O	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	-	-
	Disturbance associated with land- use conversion to croplands	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Liming	$CO_2$	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	-	-
	Biomass burning	CO <sub>2</sub>	IE	IE	IE	IE	-	-	-
		$CH_4$	5	-	-	24%	4	0%	6
		N <sub>2</sub> O	1	-	-	24%	3	0%	5
	Total		▲ 37,508			31%			

Table 3-22 Uncertainty of emissions/removals from forest management activities

#### 3.1.4.4. Revegetation

Uncertainty of emissions/removals from revegetation activities in 2005 has been assessed at 101% for above-ground biomass, 100% for below-ground biomass, 2468% for litter and 10% for liming.

 Table 3-23
 Uncertainty of emissions/removals from revegetation activities

Greenhouse g	as source and sink activities	GHGs	Emissions/ Removals [Gg CO2eq.]	AD Uncertainty [%]	EF/RF Uncertainty [%]	Combined Uncertainty [%]	rank	Combined Uncertainty as % of toral national emissions [%]	rank
Article 3.4	Change in carbon pool reported								
activities	Above-ground biomass	$CO_2$	▲ 498	94%	39%	101%	2	75%	1
	Below-ground biomass	$CO_2$	▲ 175	95%	32%	100%	3	26%	2
Revegetation	Litter	$CO_2$	▲ 2	89%	2466%	2468%	1	7%	3
	Dead wood	$CO_2$	IE	IE	IE	IE	-	-	-
	Soil	CO <sub>2</sub>	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	-	-
	Greenhouse gas sources reported								
	Fertilization	N <sub>2</sub> O	IE	IE	IE	IE	1	-	-
	Drainage of soils under forest management	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Disturbance associated with land- use conversion to croplands	N <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Liming	$CO_2$	0	9%	4%	10%	4	0%	4
	Biomass burning	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
		$CH_4$	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
		N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	NO	NO	NO	-	-	-
	Total		NE	-	-	-			

# 3.1.5. Information on other methodological issues (method dealing with effects of natural disturbance<sup>10</sup>)

#### 3.1.5.1. Afforestation/Reforestation and Deforestation

Effects of natural disturbance and so on have been reflected in forest resources data at update time of Forest registers which have been conducted every 5 years.

#### 3.1.5.2. Forest Management

Effects of natural disturbance have been reflected in forest resources data at update time of Forest Registers which have been conducted every 5 years.

#### 3.1.5.3. Revegetation

It is considered that windstorm, flood and insects are natural disturbance which have a considerable impact on carbon stock change on RV land. However, all land qualified as RV is under human induced management by administration etc. In addition, when disappearance of tall trees and outflow of soils are occurred in RV land located in the Settlements, business budget is often appropriated and urgent restoration measure is administered from viewpoint with respect to safety and view.

Consequently, effects of natural disturbance are not considered in estimation because it looks that carbon stocks do not change. Furthermore, carbon stock change due to post-disaster restoration practices which are not implemented in the year disaster occur does not lead double-counting because it is not considered in this reporting.

3.1.6. For the purpose of accounting as reqired in paragraph 18 of the annex to draft decision -/CMP.1 (LULUCF) attached to decision 11/CP.7, an indication of the year of the onset of an activity, if after 2008

Area of lands which have been subject to activities until 2005 is shown below.

3.1.6.1.	Afforestation/Reforestation and Deforestation	

Afforestation/Reforestation	Deforestation		
(1990-2005)	1990-2005	2005	
25.1 [kha]	280.4 [kha]	7.3 [kha]	

#### 3.1.6.2. Forest Management

Intensively managed forest Ikusei-rin forest	Semi-natural forest Ikusei-rin forest	Tennensei-rin forest	Total
5,027 [kha]	473 [kha]	6,308 [kha]	11,808 [kha]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Including fire, windthrow, insects, droughts, flooding and ice storms etc,.

#### 3.1.6.3. Revegetation

Urban parks	Green area on road	Green area on port	Green area around sewage treatment facility	Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space	Total
37,660 [ha]	34,473 [ha]	1,099 [ha]	864 [ha]	4 [ha]	74,101[ha]

#### 3.2. Article 3.3

### 3.2.1. Information that demonstrates that activities under Article 3.3 began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December 2012 and are direct human-induced

Japan detected change of the forest cover based on orthophotos at the end of 1989 and satellite images in 2005. It can demonstrate that ARD activities have occurred since 1 January 1990 and are directly human-induced.

The following is the results of comparison between ARD land area detected by satellite images and one obtained from administrative information. They are in consistency each other. It indicates that above-mentioned assumption is appropriate.

Tuble 5.21 Results of Interpretation of The Inter (Hurten 2007)					
Area of lands interpreted [km <sup>2</sup> ]	Available plots (excluding plots which are unavailable in interpretation or lack of image)	Plots qualified as AR	AR rate % (1990-2005)	Area of lands qualified as AR Total [kha] (1990-2005)	Reference 1: administration information AR land area (1990-2005) Planted land area [kha]
355,533	509,699	360	0.071%	25.1	29.7

Table 3-24 Results of interpretation of ARD land (March 2007)

Area of lands interpreted [km <sup>2</sup> ]	Available plots (excluding plots which are unavailable in interpretation or lack of image)	Plots qualified as D	D rate % (1990-2005)	Area of lands qualified as D Total [kha] (1990-2005)	Reference 2: administration information D land area (1990-2005) [kha]
355,533	578,850	4,565	0.789%	280.4	242.8

Ref 1: "A move and conversion of cropland" (Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Ministry, Rural Development Bureau), Total area of land converted from cropland by land use: Total of planted land area for 1990-2005

# 3.2.2. Information on how harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation

When prefectures carry out survey to establish forest planning every 5 years, it is determined whether forest lands where tree cover has been removed temporally due to cutting and disturbance (without land conversion) are classified as deforestation or not (taking into account actual land use

Ref 2: "World Census of Agriculture and Forestry", Total area of land converted from forest land for 1990-2005 which was calculated based on total forest conversion area for 1990-2000

and circumstances). Forest lands which are removed temporally and not excluded from forest planning can be distinguished from deforested lands which are converted to non-forest land use because they will be replanted and treated as "Forest land".

3.2.3. Information on the size and geographical location of forest areas that have lost forest cover but which are not yet classified as deforested

Forest lands which are detected as "Forest without standing trees" by Forest Registers continuously (crown covers are actually removed by cutting or disturbance and not classified as deforestation) are 1,190,000 [ha].

#### 3.3. Article 3.4

3.3.1. Information that demonstrates that activities under Article 3.4 have occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human-induced

#### 3.3.1.1. Forest Management

Status of human-induced activities since 1 January 1990 was surveyed by sampling survey for the entire country during 2003-2005, field survey, interview with forest owner's association, administrative information on afforestation granted project and so on. Results of survey have been used in estimating FM ratio.

#### 3.3.1.2. Revegetation

Japan demonstrates that revegetation activities have occurred since 1990 and are human induced based on the following reasons.

	January 1990 and are numan induced
Sub-division	Information that demonstrates that revegetation activities have occurred since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 and are human induced
Urban parks	Extraction of activities which have occurred since 1st January 1990 Japan has collected data on the notification year of urban parks from "Urban Parks Status Survey" implemented every year. Thus, only urban parks which have been notified since 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1990 are reported. If urban parks have established before the notification year, Japan considers that RV activities have occurred since the year in which they were notified as "urban parks" under "Urban Park Act".
	Demonstrate that activities are human induced In estimation of activity data for urban parks, the number of tall tree per area (tree/ha) is used as parameter. This parameter is established for human induced tall trees planting by field survey or interpretation of map on planting. In this way, Japan extracts human induced activities.
Green area on road	Extraction of activities which have occurred since 1st January 1990 Activity data after 1990 is calculated by extrapolating or interpolating 4 data-set from "Road Tree Planting Status Survey" which has been implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport every 5 years.
	Demonstrate that activities are human induced In "Road Tree Planting Status Survey", only human-induced planted tall trees have been counted. In this way, Japan extracts human induced activities.
Green area on port	Extraction of activities which have occurred since 1st January 1990 Japan has collected data on establishment year and service area for green area on port which has been established since 1990 from complete census implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport in January 2007.
	Demonstrate that activities are human induced Activity data for green area on port is calculated by using parameter of urban parks established for only human induced activities.
Green area around sewage	Extraction of activities which have occurred since 1st January 1990 Japan has collected data on establishment year and greening area for green area around sewage treatment facility which has been established since 1990 from "Sewage treatment Facility Status Survey" implemented by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport in January 2007.
treatment facility	Demonstrate that activities are human induced In estimation of activity data for green area around sewage treatment facility, the number of tall trees per area (tree/ha) is used as parameter. This parameter is established for only human-induced planted tall trees. In this way, Japan extracts human induced activities.
Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space	<ul> <li><u>Extraction of activities which have occurred since 1st January 1990</u>         It is clear that all green area by greenery promoting system for private green space has been established since 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 because greenery promoting system has been implemented since 2001. Existing green area (with tall trees) in some green area are reported when it is notified by local authority mayor. It is excluded from RV land area.     </li> <li><u>Demonstrate that activities are human induced</u>         All green area by greenery promoting system for private green space has been     </li> </ul>
L	

 Table 3-25
 Information that demonstrates that revegetation activities have occurred since 1<sup>st</sup>

 January 1990 and are human induced

#### 3.3.2. Information relating to Revegetation for the base year

Revegetation is a activity which has been occurred since 1990. Therefore, RV land area and emissions/removals from its activity are zero.

#### 3.3.3. Information relating to Forest Management

In Japan, area and carbon stock change on lands subject to forest management activities are estimated by applying FM ratios to data on all forests which meet our country's forest definition. Therefore, lands subject to forest management activities are consistent with our country's forest definition.

In addition, Japan defines that forest management activities should be sustainable system and it is judged depending on whether appropriate forest practices have been carried out in Ikusei-rin forests or whether practices for protection or conservation of forests including controlling logging activities and land-use change have been carried out by laws. Therefore, Japan's definition of forest management is consistent with the definition provided in the Marrakesh Accords (*a system of practices for stewardship and use of forest land aimed at fulfilling relevant ecological, economic and social function of the forest in a sustainable manner*).

# **Chapter 4 Other information**

#### 4.1. Key category analysis

In accordance with LULUCF-GPG, Chapter 5, the activity which meets following requirements is considered as key.

- The associated category under the UNFCCC is identified as key
- Emissions/removals from the activity are greater than the smallest category that is identified as key in the UNFCCC inventory

#### Corresponding with key categories under the UNFCCC

Japan's national inventory report states that LULUCF key categories under the UNFCCC for 2005 are as follows;

- 5.A.1. Forest land remaining Forest land (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- 5.A.2. Land converted to Forest land (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- 5.B.2. Land converted to Cropland (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- 5.C.1. Land converted to Grassland (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- 5.E.1. Land converted to Settlements (CO<sub>2</sub>)

In accordance with LULUCF-GPG, all activities to be reported (AR, D, FM, RV) may be identified as key under the Kyoto Protocol.

UNFCCC category	Kyoto Protocol category
5.A.1. Forest land remaining Forest land	FM、GM、CM
5.A.2. Land converted to Forest land	AR
5.B.1. Cropland remaining Cropland	CM、 RV
5.B.2. Land converted to Cropland	D, RV, CM
5.C.1. Grassland remining Grassland	GM、RV
5.C.2. Land converted to Grassland	D、RV、GM
5.D.1. Wetlands remaining Wetlands	RV
5.D.2. Land converted to Wetlands	D、 RV
5.E.1. Settlements remaining Settlements	RV
5.E.2. Land converted to Settlements	D、 RV
5.F.1. Other land remaining Other land	—
5.F.2. Land converted to Other land	D

Refer to LULUCF-GPG, Page 5.39, Table 5.4.4. Yellow shade indicates key categories under the UNFCCC.

Comparison with the smallest key category under the UNFCCC

The smallest category for the UNFCCC for 2005 was 4.A. Enteric Fermentation (CH<sub>4</sub>) [7,040 Gg-CO<sub>2</sub>]. As a result of comparison, only forest management activity was greater than this category.

Therefore, forest management activity was identified as key for 2005.

#### 4.2. Further Improvements

#### 4.2.1. Afforestation/Reforestation and Deforestation

- Japan will curry out cross-checking of ARD data by comparing land use change data between administrative information and satellite images including forest status map (orthophoto) at the end of 1989.
- $\bigcirc$  Japan will discuss on continuous monitoring of carbon dynamics in D land.

#### 4.2.2. Forest Management

- $\bigcirc$  Japan will collect data for estimating carbon dynamics in soils, litter and dead wood.
- Japan will survey status of new practices and change of Ry values, and estimate increase of FM ratios in FM land during the first commitment period.

#### 4.2.3. Revegetation

- In this report, Japan defined five sub-divisions ("Urban parks", "Green area on road", "Green area on port", "Green area around sewage treatment facility" and "Green area by greenery promoting system for private green space"). In the next report, another sub-division may be added.
- In this report, a default value of annual biomass growth was used. In the future report, Japan will measure annual biomass growth in a tall tree planted in RV land and determine country-specific value for dominant tree types (a few types).
- In this report, carbon stock change in litter in green area on road was reported as "NE". In green area on road, however, litter accumulation has been occurred by human induced practices including pruning of planted tall trees. Therefore, Japan will measure the amount of litter and determine country-specific estimation method and parameter.
- $\bigcirc$  In this report, carbon stock change in soils was reported as "NE". Japan will accumulate scientific knowledge about soils as soon as possible.

# **Chapter 5 Information relating to Article 6**

Japan has not carried out any projects under Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol. Therefore, a special indication of whether the boundary of the geographical location encompasses land subject to the Article 6 project is not prepared.

### Reference

IPCC, Revised 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2007

IPCC, Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry, 2003

FAO, Global Forest Researces Assessment 2005, 2006

Daiyu Ito et al, "Estimating the Annual Carbon Balance in Warm-Temperature Deciduous Orchards in Japan"

Ministry of Land Infrastructure and Transport, *Basic Data Collection Survey on Tall Tree Planting* on the Road, 2007

Ministry of Land Infrastructure and Transport, *Road Tree Planting Status Survey*, 1987, 1992, 1997, 2002

Ministry of Land Infrastructure and Transport, Sewage treatment Facility Status Survey, 2007

Ministry of Land Infrastructure and Transport, Urban Parks Status Survey, 2005

Ministry of Land Infrastructure and Transport, Urban Green Space Status Surve, 2005y

Naoto Owa, "Nutrient Balance in Japan's Crops"

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, A move and conversion of Cropland

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, World Census of agriculture and Forestry

Forestry Agency, National Forest Resources Database (NFRDB)

Forestry Agency, Handbook of Forestry Statistics

UNFCCC, *land use, land-use change and forestry* (16/CMP.1) (FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3), 2006