

How to Evaluate & Review a commitment of each country in the Post2020 Framework

Yoshihiro Mizutani
Ministry of the Environment, Japan

Japan's Proposal on Transparency Process in post 2020 framework (1) (Sep. 2013)

(1) Image of Ex-ante consultation



- Each Party submits its initial commitment to the Secretariat several months before an official submission.
- This would be open to the public on the UNFCCC website



- Interested actors such as Parties, international organizations, private sectors, NGOs, make submissions in the form of questions or opinions to presented commitments on the UNFCCC website.



- Each Party examines its initial commitment, taking account of questions and opinions by others, and then decides its official commitment to submit to the Secretariat.

Japan's Proposal on Transparency Process in post 2020 framework (2) (Sep. 2013)

(2) Image of International evaluation and review of the performance



- Each Party submits a regular report.
- A technical assessment such as consistency with the format would be useful in order to facilitate the process.
- The regular report is open to the public on the UNFCCC website.



- Interested actors such as Parties, international organizations, private sectors, NGOs, can send questions or opinions on the performance and other information included in the above report.
- Review sessions are to be held at occasions including SBs to discuss performance and efforts of each Party in line with its commitment and its potential for emission reduction by making the best use of expertise and objective analysis by relevant experts outside UNFCCC.
- Frequency and depth of the ex-post international evaluation and review should be effective and equitable taking into consideration the impacts of GHG emissions of each Party on global warming.



Some Proposal/Suggestion on evaluation and review by other countries/groups

- EU
 - Common robust MRV and accounting rules
 - The 2015 agreement and wider 2015 package will need to build on the provisions of and experience with the current MRV regime .
- NZ
 - Agreement to apply a common MRV framework
- EIG submission
 - All Parties shall participate in a verification process and compliance regime.
- Singapore
 - The new agreement should build on the existing framework of rules relating to MRV to build clarity, transparency and mutual confidence.
- Nepal (LDCs)
 - It is critical for Parties to build on and improve the MRV and compliance system in the 2015 agreement

Discussion Point

➤ **Effective utilization of the existing arrangement**

- ✓ NC, national inventory
- ✓ BR/BUR

➤ **Facilitative approach or compliance**

- ✓ Role of stakeholders (Party, Business, NGO etc.)
- ✓ Role of existing institutions
(Technology mechanism, Capacity Building, etc.)
- ✓ Lesson learnt from the UNFCCC and KP

➤ **Lesson learnt from other Forum**

- ✓ Other international environmental agreement
- ✓ Other international Forum

Provisional Agenda

- (1) Opening remarks (Mr. Shiraishi, MOEJ)
- (2) Objectives of the side event (Mr. Mizutani, MOEJ)
- (3) Current situation and challenges of MRV
(Mr. Tanabe, IGES)
- (4) A Process for Making Nationally-determined Commitments more ambitious
(Mr. Tamura, IGES)
- (5) Assess & review on activities of each party under other international agreements
(Dr. Takamura, Nagoya Univ.)
- (6) Comment from Floor, Discussion/Question & Answer
- (7) Closing