8	CAS No.: 106-44-5	Substance: <i>p</i> -Cresol						
Chemica	l Substances Control Law Ref	Ference No.: 3-499 (As cresol) and 4-57(poly(1 - 3)alkyl(C=1 - 3)poly(1 -						
3)hydrox	xyl-poly(1 - 5)phenyl)							
PRTR La	aw Cabinet Order No.: 1-67 (as cre	sol)						
Molecula	ar Formula: C ₇ H ₈ O							
Molecular Weight: 108.14 Structural Formula: OH CH_3								

1. General information

The aqueous solubility of this substance is 2.15×10^4 mg/L (25°C) and the partition coefficient (1-octanol / water) (log Kow) is 1.94. The vapor pressure is 0.105 mmHg (= 13.9Pa) (25°C, extrapolated value). Degradability (aerobic degradation) is considered to be sufficient (as cresol). This substance does not have hydrolyzable groups in the environment.

Cresol is a Class 1 Designated Chemical Substance under the Law concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases to the Environment of Specific Chemical Substances and Promoting Improvements in Their Management (PRTR Law). It is used primarily as raw materials of synthetic resin, paint and agricultural chemical, an antiseptic and sterilizer. The quantities of production (shipment) and import of this substance in FY2001 were 1,000 - below 10,000 tons, and the quantities of export and import in FY2004 were 31,573 tons and 2,883 tons, respectively (the total of cresol and its salt forms in both cases).

2. Exposure assessment

Total release of Cresol to the environment in FY2004 under the PRTR Law came to approximately 130 tons. Of this quantity, the amount reported came to 110 tons (81% of the total). Release to the atmosphere accounted for a large part of the reported release. Nonferrous metals accounted for high levels of release to the atmosphere. Chemical Industry reported high levels of release to the public water bodies. When estimated releases outside notification are included, release to the atmosphere accounted for the greatest quantity of release to the environment.

The distribution into each environment medium predicted by means of a multimedia model was 74.7% for soil, 12.5% for the atmosphere and 12.2% for water bodies in the case of the region where the estimated release quantity to the environment and atmosphere was considered to be the maximum. In the case of the region where the estimated release quantity to the public water bodies was considered to be the maximum, the distribution was 98.4% for water bodies.

No predicted maximum exposure concentration for inhalation exposure to human beings could be established. However, there was a report that when the data for a limited area (Kawasaki City) was used, the concentration was approximately 0.0099 μ g/m³. The predicted maximum oral exposure was estimated to be 0.024 μ g/kg/day. Because the 1-octanol/water partition coefficient (log Kow) is 1.92-1.97, and the bioconcentration is also predicted to be low, exposure from environmental media via the food chain is assumed to be low.

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) that indicates exposure to aquatic organisms was estimated to be $0.04 \mu g/L$ for both freshwater and seawater public water bodies.

3. Initial assessment of health risk

Exposure of this substance may result in corrosivity of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract, and has corrosivity even by

ingestion. Inhalation of vapor or aerosol causes pulmonary edema. By inhalation it may cause burning sensation, sore throat, coughing, headache, nausea, vomiting, laboured breathing and shortness of breath. By ingestion it may cause nausea, vomiting, abdominal pains, shock/collapse and burning sensation. Contact to the skin or eyes may cause redness, pain and burn. It has effect on CNS, cardiovascular system, lung, liver and kidney, and may cause lowering of consciousness and death at high concentration.

There was insufficient information regarding the carcinogenicity of the substance. For this reason, an initial assessment of the substance was conducted based on information of non-carcinogenic effects.

As the 'Non-toxic level' for oral exposure, the NOAEL of 30 mg/kg/day (effects on CNS) was obtained from the mediumand long-term toxicity testing for rats. The NOAEL was adjusted to 21 mg/kg/day taking into account the exposure situation. The value was divided by 10, because of the experimental period being short, and a value of 2.1 mg/kg/day was derived as the 'Non-toxic level'. For inhalation exposure, the 'Non-toxic level' could not be estimated.

With regard to oral exposure, in case of groundwater intakes, the predicted maximum exposure was approximately 0.024 μ g/kg/day. The MOE of 1,800 was derived from the 'Non-toxic level' of 2.1 mg/kg/day divided by the predicted maximum dose, and divided by 10, because the 'Non-toxic level' was established by means of animal testing. As the exposure to this substance through food intakes is estimated minor, even when the exposure through groundwater and food are combined, it would not greatly affect the MOE values. Accordingly, further action for assessment of its health risk from oral exposure to this substance would not be required at present.

For the inhalation, because its 'Non-toxic level' was not determined, and the exposure concentrations were not estimated, its health risk cannot be identified. Of the total amount of cresol released to the environment, 67% was released to the atmosphere, and some reports indicate that this substance evaporates from water bodies to the atmosphere. Accordingly, it would be required to collect information on inhalation exposure to this substance in the ambient air for its health risk assessment.

Information of toxicity				Exposure assessment							
Exposure path	Criteria fo	risk assessment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	exposure of	maximum quantity and ntration	Result of risk assessment			Judgment
Oral	'Non toxic	2.1 mg/kg/day	Rats	Effect on CNS	Drinking water	_	µg/kg/day	MOE	_	×	O
olu	level'	2.1 mg/kg/duy			Groundwater	0.024	µg/kg/day	MOE	8,800	0	
la halati a a	'Non toxic	. 3			Ambient air	_	µg/m ³	MOE	-	×	×
Inhalation	level'	- mg/m ³	_	_	Indoor air	_	µg/m³	MOE	_	×	×

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, reliable information of a 48-hour EC₅₀ growth inhibition value of 21,000 μ g/L was found for the algae *Desmodesmus subspicatus*, a 48-hour EC₅₀ immobilization value of 7,000 μ g/L was found for the crustacea *Daphnia magna* (water flea), and a 96-hour LC₅₀ value of 7,466 μ g/L was found for the fish *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout), and a 48-hour inhibitory growth concentration (IGC₅₀) value of 157,000 μ g/L was found for the other organism *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (*tetrahymena*). Accordingly, an assessment factor of 100 was used, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 70 μ g/L was obtained based on the acute toxicity values. With regard to chronic toxicity, reliable information of a 72-hour no observed effect concentration (NOEC) growth inhibition value of 9,500 μ g/L was found for the algae *P. subcapitata*, and a 21-day NOEC reproduction value of 520 μ g/L was found for the crustacea *D. magna*. So an assessment factor of 100 was used, and a PNEC value of 5.2 μ g/L was obtained based on the chronic toxicity values. As the PNEC for the substance, a value of 5.2 μ g/L obtained from the chronic toxicity for the crustacea was used.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was 0.008 for both freshwater bodies and seawater bodies. Accordingly, further work is thought to be unnecessary at this time.

			Predicted no effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Exposu	re assessment	PEC/ PNEC ratio	Result of assessment
Acute / chronic	Endpoint	Assessment factor		Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)		
Chronic	NOEC reproduction	100	5.2	Freshwater	0.04	0.008	0
				Seawater	0.04	0.008	
Oral exposure	No n	eed of further work.					
	osure	6					
No need of further work.							
] O: No need	1 6 6 1	1 4 5	equiring informat				·
	Chronic S Oral exposure Inhalation expo	Chronic NOEC reproduction S Oral exposure No no Inhalation exposure Impo inform No need of further work.	Acute / chronic Endpoint Chronic NOEC reproduction 100 s Impossible of further information, etc. No need of further work.	Acute / chronic Endpoint International PNEC (µg/L) Chronic NOEC reproduction 100 5.2 S Conclusions Oral exposure No need of further work. Inhalation exposure Impossible of risk characterization information, etc. No need of further work.	Acute / chronic Endpoint Internation PNEC (µg/L) Internation Chronic NOEC reproduction 100 5.2 Freshwater Seawater S Conclusions Oral exposure No need of further work. Inhalation exposure Impossible of risk characterization. There is the information, etc. No need of further work.	Acute / chronic Endpoint Intervention PNEC (μ g/L) Intervention Concentration Chronic NOEC reproduction 100 5.2 Freshwater 0.04 S Conclusions Oral exposure No need of further work. Inhalation exposure Inhalation, etc. No need of further work. No need of further work.	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Acute / chronic & Endpoint & Iactor & Concentration \\ PNEC (\mu g/L) & Water & environmental \\ body & concentration \\ PEC (\mu g/L) & PEC (\mu g/L) & 0.008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } Chronic & NOEC & 100 & 5.2 & Freshwater & 0.04 & 0.008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ S $\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$

Non-toxic level *

• When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to NOAEL.

• When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.