

cough, sore throat, headache, nausea, dizziness, and drowsiness. Contact with the eyes will cause redness and pain. Contact with the skin will cause redness. The substance can be absorbed into the body through the skin and may cause headaches, nausea, etc.

Since not enough information was available on the carcinogenicity of the substance, the initial assessment was conducted based on information on its non-carcinogenic effects.

The 'non-toxic level' for oral exposure could not be identified. The NOAEL of 0.89 ppm (3.4 mg/m³) for inhalation exposure (based on suppression of body weight gain), determined from toxicity tests in rats, was divided by a factor of 10 to account for extrapolation to chronic exposure. The calculated value of 0.34 mg/m³ was deemed the lowest reliable concentration and was identified as the 'non-toxic level' of the substance for inhalation exposure.

Regarding oral exposure, due to the lack of an identified 'non-toxic level', the health risk could not be assessed. However, the tentative 'non-toxic level' of 0.10 mg/kg/day for oral exposure was derived from the conversion of the 'non-toxic level' for inhalation exposure, assuming that 100% of the ingested substance is absorbed. The MOE for reference would be 16,000 which is calculated from the tentative 'non-toxic level' for oral exposure and the predicted maximum exposure level of approximately 0.00064 µg/kg/day based on the data on public freshwater bodies, and subsequently divided by a factor of 10 to account for extrapolation from animals to humans. Since the release of this substance to public freshwater bodies was reported to be 0 kg in FY 2020 under the PRTR Law, the concentrations in public freshwater bodies derived from the effluents from the high discharging plants would not be high. In addition, since exposure to the substance in environmental media via food is presumed to be limited, despite the lack of exposure level via food, including it in the calculation would not change the MOE significantly. Therefore, as a comprehensive judgment, no further work would be required at present.

Regarding inhalation exposure, due to the lack of identified exposure concentrations, the health risk could not be assessed. However, the maximum concentration (annual mean) in ambient air near the operators that are releasing a large amount of the substance was estimated to be 0.026 µg/m³, based on the releases to air reported in FY 2020 under the PRTR Law. The MOE for reference would be 1,300 which is calculated from the estimated concentration in ambient air and the 'non-toxic level' of 0.34 mg/m³, and subsequently divided by a factor of 10 to account for extrapolation from animals to humans. Therefore, as a comprehensive judgment, the collection of further information would not be required to assess the health risk of this substance via inhalation in ambient air.

Toxicity				Exposure assessment		MOE		Comprehensive judgment
Exposure Path	Criteria for risk assessment	Animal	Criteria for diagnoses (endpoint)	Exposure medium	Predicted maximum exposure dose and concentration			
Oral	'Non-toxic level*' - mg/kg/day	-	-	Drinking water	- µg/kg/day	MOE	-	○
				Freshwater	0.00064 µg/kg/day	MOE	-	
Inhalation	'Non-toxic level*' 0.34 mg/m ³	Rats	Suppression of body weight gain, etc.	Ambient air	- µg/m ³	MOE	-	○
				Indoor air	- µg/m ³	MOE	-	×

Non-toxic level *

- When a LOAEL is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a NOAEL-equivalent level.
- When an adverse effect level for the short-term exposure is available, it is divided by 10 to obtain a level equivalent to an adverse effect level for the long-term exposure.

4. Initial assessment of ecological risk

With regard to acute toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h of EC₅₀ of 3,500 µg/L for growth inhibition in the cyanobacterium *Anabaena flos-aquae*, a 48-h EC₅₀ of 1,610 µg/L for the crustacean *Daphnia magna*, and a 96-h LC₅₀ of 960 µg/L for the fish *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout). Accordingly, based on these acute toxicity values and an

assessment factor of 100, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 9.6 µg/L was obtained.

With regard to chronic toxicity, the following reliable data were obtained: a 72-h NOEC of 170 µg/L for growth inhibition in the cyanobacterium *A. flos-aquae*, a 21-d NOEC of 2.5 µg/L for reproductive inhibition in the crustacean *D. magna*, and a 38-d NOEC of 473 µg/L for hatching rate, fry survival rate, and fry growth for the fish *Cyprinodon variegatus* (sheepshead minnow). Accordingly, based on these chronic toxicity values and an assessment factor of 10, a PNEC of 0.25 µg/L was obtained.

The value of 0.25 µg/L obtained from the chronic toxicity to the crustacean species was used as the PNEC for this substance.

The PEC/PNEC ratio was 0.06 for freshwater bodies and 0.04 for seawater.

Further work to assess the ecological risk of this substance is considered unnecessary at this time. Further, notified releases to public water bodies under the PRTR Law for fiscal 2020 were 0 kg, meaning concentrations in public water bodies originating from business sites that notify of releases were expected to be low. Accordingly, based on a comprehensive review of the above findings, further work is considered unnecessary at this time.

Hazard assessment (basis for PNEC)			Assessment coefficient	Predicted no effect concentration PNEC (µg/L)	Exposure assessment		PEC/PNEC ratio	Comprehensive judgment
Species	Acute/ chronic	Endpoint			Water body	Predicted environmental concentration PEC (µg/L)		
Crustacean <i>Daphnia magna</i>	Chronic	NOEC Reproductive inhibition	10	0.25	Freshwater	0.016	0.06	○
					Seawater	0.0099	0.04	

5. Conclusions

	Conclusions		Judgment
Health risk	Oral exposure	No need for further work.	○
	Inhalation exposure	No need for further work.	○
Ecological risk	No need for further work.		○

[Risk judgments] ○: No need for further work ▲: Requiring information collection
 ■: Candidates for further work ×: Impossibility of risk characterization

*Number after revision of law implemented on April 1, 2023