

## **Outline of Results of the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals in FY 2010**

### **(1) History**

In FY 1974, on the basis of the agreement at the establishment of the Law Concerning the Examination and Regulation of Manufacture, etc. of Chemical Substances (No. 117, enacted in 1973) (hereafter, Chemical Substances Control Law), the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals was begun for the purpose of understanding the presence of existing chemical substances in the general environment. Since FY 1979, the framework of the Comprehensive Survey of Chemicals on Environmental Safety based on the Priority List (list of chemicals to be preferentially surveyed) has been established, and the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals has been incorporated as a part of the Comprehensive Survey of Chemicals on Environmental Safety. In addition, as associated surveys, the Wildlife Monitoring, the Follow-up Survey of the Status of Pollution by Unintentionally Formed Chemicals, the Surface Water/Sediment Monitoring, the Examination Survey of the Designated Chemical Substances, and other surveys have been established and improved.

On the other hand, the Priority List-based survey was fundamentally reviewed in order to enable an immediate and appropriate response to the changes in the situation of problems concerning chemicals in the environment and current policy issues, including the enforcement of the Law Concerning Reporting, etc. of Releases of Specific Chemical Substances to the Environment and Promoting Improvement in Their Management (No. 86, enacted in 1999) (hereafter, the PRTR Law) and the effectuation of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (hereafter, Stockholm Convention).

As a result of the review, the Priority List-based method was changed to the method in which target chemicals are selected mainly from those proposed by each department such that the survey results can be effectively utilised to develop the policies concerning chemicals in the environment. In addition, the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals consisting of several surveys with different aims, namely, the Initial Environmental Survey, the Environmental Survey for Exposure Study, and Environmental Monitoring, was adopted as a new framework.

The Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals has been implemented within a system that comprises the Initial Environmental Survey, the Detailed Environmental Survey, and Environmental Monitoring since FY 2006. At the same time, Project for Preserving Environmental Specimens and Analytical Method Development Project have also been actively tackled to support the above survey.

Nor is this all. Various measures and regulatory guidelines pertaining to chemical substances have also been reviewed to more effectively utilize resources. As of JFY 2010, survey points have been re-distributed or newly designated to reflect data and information on emissions

and releases, while monitoring surveys now incorporate adjustments to detection frequencies of data compilation.

## **(2) Survey Procedure**

### **Selection of target chemicals**

Among chemicals that were requested for survey by each department, target chemicals were finally selected by 15th Special Committee for the Assessment of Chemicals, Division of Environmental Health, Central Environment Council, conducted in March 31st, 2010.

### **Contents of the survey**

#### **The Initial Environmental Survey**

For chemical substances considered to present environmental risks, data compiled from areas susceptible to high concentrations in the general environment, supports evaluations of the appropriateness of chemical substance survey targets as Designated Chemical Substances as per the PRTR Law or as chemical substance survey targets that are otherwise derived. This provides the basic references to assess risk potential and determine survey parameters. Such survey data were precisely examined and analysed at the Expert Working Group for Reviewing the Results of the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals (held on July 13rd, August 3rd and August 19th, 2011) and the Expert Working Group for Analysing the Results of the Initial Environmental Survey and Detailed Environmental Survey (held on November 18th, 2011). Moreover, analytical methods were also developed as necessary.

In FY 2010, 16 chemicals, including Amylcinnamaldehyde, were selected as target chemicals. Some target substances or points selected for survey in light of documentation or submittals regarding emissions.

#### **The Detailed Environmental Survey**

Nationwide surveys are implemented to provide the references and parameters needed to properly evaluate risks posed by and levels of exposure to, chemical substances as annotated on the Priority List under the Chemical Substance Control Law. Similarly to the Initial Environmental Survey, the survey data were examined and analysed at the Expert Working Group for Reviewing the Results of the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals and the Expert Working Group for Analysing the Results of the Initial Environmental Survey and Detailed Environmental Survey. Moreover, analytical methods were also developed as necessary.

In FY 2010, 11 chemicals (groups), including Ethyl acetate, were selected as target chemicals. Some target substances or points selected for survey in light of documentation or submittals regarding emissions.

## **The Environmental Monitoring**

Environmental Monitoring is annually implemented to effectively track the residual or persistence levels in the general environment of the Designated Chemical Substances set out in the Chemical Substances Control Law, and/or for the purpose of tracking year to year changes in levels of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) as defined in the text of the Stockholm Convention. Two groups, the Expert Working Group for Review of Results of Environmental Surveys and Monitoring of Chemicals, and, the Expert Working Group for Analyzing the Results of Environmental Monitoring (convened 5 December 2011) along with the Expert Group on POPs Monitoring (convened 7 December 2011) reviewed and evaluated the data.

In FY 2010, 11 chemicals (groups) in the Stockholm Convention and Pentachlorobenzene and other chemicals, namely, a total of 15 chemicals (groups), were selected as target chemicals.

### **Survey results**

#### **The Initial Environmental Survey**

In surface water, 1 of 7 target chemicals was detected.

In sediment, 4 of 5 target chemicals were detected.

In air, 4 of 4 target chemicals were detected.

#### **The Detailed Environmental Survey**

In surface water, 6 of 7 target chemicals (groups) were detected.

In sediment, 2 of 3 target chemical were detected.

In wildlife, 1 of 2 target chemicals (groups) was detected.

In air, 2 target chemicals (groups) were not detected.

## **The Environmental Monitoring**

When examining the change in the FY 2002 – 2010 data for surface water and sediment, it is considered that the concentration levels of POPs in surface water and sediment remain unchanged or gradually decrease as a whole. By focusing on the distribution of chemicals in surface water and sediment by area, it was found that the chemicals frequently tended to be relatively high in concentration in areas that are subjected to human activities, such as harbors and semi-closed coastal areas near large cities, as expected.

When examining the change in the FY 2002 – 2010 data for wildlife, it is considered that the concentration levels of POPs in wildlife remain unchanged or gradually decrease as a whole. Similarly to last fiscal year's data, it was observed that the concentrations of PCBs and DDTs tended to be relatively high in fish living along coasts near populated areas.

When examining the change in the FY 2002 – 2010 data for air, it is considered that the

concentration levels of POPs in air remain unchanged or gradually decrease as a whole. As was the case in the previous fiscal year, the concentrations of POPs in air were measured twice: in warm season and in cold season. For all chemicals (groups), a nationwide tendency of higher concentrations in the warm season than in the cold season was recognised, as expected. In addition, it noticed that there were some problems on collection of HCHs because of some parts of air sampler were contaminated by HCHs and affected monitored concentration. Therefore, all samples in air were recognized as undetectable in calculation of FY 2003 ~ 2008.

### Conditions of detection in survey

From FY 1974 to 2010, the number of chemicals that were subjected to the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals was 1,220, among which, 678 chemicals were detected in the general environment.

### Stocktaking of the detection in the Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals (FY 1974 – FY 2010)

	Surface water	Sediment	Wildlife	Air	Food	Others	Total number of chemicals surveyed
Number of chemicals surveyed	1,069	1,015	463	436	27	26	1,222
Number of chemicals detected	357	459	279	306	21	13	678
Percentage of detected chemicals (%)	33%	45%	60%	70%	78%	50%	55%

(Note 1) Since FY 1985, the detection limit for surface water, sediment, and fish has been uniformly treated.

(Note 2) The total “1,222” is the total number of chemicals surveyed from FY 1974 to FY 2009, and the total number of chemicals detected (“678”) is the number of chemicals detected in any medium as a result of the survey.

(Note 3) “Others” under medium are precipitation and indoor air.

# Schematic of Environmental Survey and Monitoring of Chemicals in FY 2010

