The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study

www.fhi.no/morogbarn

Wenche Nystad,
Norwegian Institute of Public Health
December 2008
Background

The limited success of

- Genetic family-based or population-based studies (linkage or association) being satisfied with phenotypes, genotypes and genealogy
- Epidemiological cohorts being satisfied with environmental exposures and no family structure

The assumption that gene-environment interactions actually plays a large role in explaining the liability to develop complex disorders

The notion that prenatal and early influence can determine later chronic disease
Main Goal

To prevent childhood and adult diseases by understanding the interaction between environment and genes.
Objectives

• To estimate associations between environmental exposures and disease

• To estimate associations between genetic factors and disease

• To study interactions between genetic factors and environmental exposures
What do we want?

• To recruit 100,000 pregnancies by 2008.
  – One pregnancy may result in more than one child, and one mother may be included with more than one pregnancy

• To follow the parents and their children as MoBa families for as long as it makes sense

• To safeguard data and biological material with high standards of quality

• To participate in international research efforts in order to have high quality in analysis and publication
Project history

- 1992 - project planning started
- 1997 - pilot study: Two small communities
- 1999 - main project started (Hordaland County)
- 2002 - all Norwegian counties included
- 2007 - 50 hospitals, about 90,000 women
The prenatal period

- MoBa is a pregnancy cohort, not a birth cohort
- The unit of the data collection is the pregnancy
- The family structure is the father-mother-child trio, with the possibility of including twins and repeated pregnancies
Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ultrasound week 17</th>
<th>week 30</th>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>18 months</th>
<th>3 years</th>
<th>7 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>QI</td>
<td>QII</td>
<td>QIII</td>
<td>QIV</td>
<td>QV</td>
<td>QVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recruitment procedures

- When pregnant women are invited to the hospitals for ultrasound investigation, MoBa receives a list of their names and addresses.

- Invitation are sent to all listed women and their partners. A consent form and the first questionnaires are included.
Recruitment

- Hospitals with < 1000 births per year
- Hospitals with > 1000 births per year

Total distance 2634 km (New York - Houston)
Collaboration with hospitals

• Ultrasound departments
• Delivery wards
• Clinical laboratories
• IT - departments
Data Flow

- Medical Birth Registry
  - Hospitals
  - Participants

- Data
  - Data handling
  - Database
    - Bergen
  - Biological samples
    - Processing
  - Freezers
    - Oslo
Variables

- Exposure variables
  - from registries (e.g. air pollution, waterworks)
  - from questionnaires (e.g. life style factors, nutrition)
  - from biological samples (nested case-control studies)
- Health variables
  - from disease registries and hospitals
  - from clinical examinations in sub cohorts
  - from questionnaires
- Other variables (confounders or effect modifiers)
  - from questionnaires
  - from registries (e.g. educational attainment)
Registries

- The medical birth registry of Norway
- The prescription registry
- Cause of death registry
- Cancer registry
- Vaccination registry, infectious disease registry
- Hospital discharge registry
- Socioeconomic and demographic factors
Biological Samples

• EDTA full blood and plasma, frozen at -86°C
  – aliquoted and stored on matrix plates

• DNA extracted from full blood, frozen at -20°C
  – standard concentration, aliquoted and stored on matrix plates

• Urine, frozen at -20°C

• RNA from the umbilical cord, frozen directly at -80°C
Design

• Cohort studies

• Nested case-control studies

• Sub-cohorts

• Family studies
Ethical considerations

• **License from The Data Inspectorate**
  Given in 1996 for the main project. Additional licenses might be necessary for later studies not taken into consideration in the main project

• **Approval by The Regional Ethical Committee**
  Main project approved. New applications for subprojects necessary

• **Informed consent**
  Mother and father, child after age 18 years
Considerations

• Low budget
• Built around the existing routines in the health care system
• No interventions
• Endpoints taken from disease registries
• Largest investment: the biobank
• Not suited for prevalence studies or health monitoring
Limitations

• Different hospitals with different recruitment strategies

• Relatively low participation rate (45%)

• Participants differ from non-participants
Strengths

- Depth and breadth of information from *in utero* and through childhood
- Biological samples from mid-pregnancy, delivery and umbilical cord appropriately stored and archived
- Due to population based registries, easy to trace participants
- Sophisticated data management and tracking system
- Ability to merge data with population-based medical birth registry and other national disease registries
**Women with >1 pregnancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of pregnancies</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74 504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23 September 2008
Questionnaire response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Total no.</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (17 wks)</td>
<td>98 840</td>
<td>95.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (22 wks)</td>
<td>93 793</td>
<td>92.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (30 wks)</td>
<td>89 976</td>
<td>91.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (6 mths)</td>
<td>75 711</td>
<td>85.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 (18 mths)</td>
<td>53 907</td>
<td>73.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6 (36 mths)</td>
<td>26 516</td>
<td>61.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7 (7 yrs) (pilot)</td>
<td>2 001</td>
<td>60.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Q father       | 76 005    | 94.4 %   | 31 July 2008
# Biological samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>91 640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>77 876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>84 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>65 357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24 september 2008
### Participants 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>89 132</td>
<td>Pregnancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>70 100</td>
<td>Pregnancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single child</td>
<td>98 314</td>
<td>Pairs of twins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sets of triplets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September 2008
Challenges

• Financing
• Ethical issues
• Participation rate
• Participation from health personnel in recruiting the women
• Collaboration between research groups
Research strategy

• Data collection only partly hypothesis-driven
• International cooperation
• Open, national meetings on specific items
• Nobody has exclusive rights to variables
• Exclusive rights are given to people to answer certain, specific research questions within a certain period of time
• Agree on division of rights to specific research questions
• Seek funding from national and international funding agencies
Guidelines for access to data

A researcher can write a contract with MoBa which will give a right to analyse and publish on a specific topic within a certain limited period of time.

More details on www.fhi.no
Publications

- Protocols, questionnaires on website: www.fhi.no/tema/morogbarn

- Four main publications
  - Aims, methods, participation
  - Recruitment bias
  - Loss to follow up
  - Biobank

- Several publications based on sub projects
Thank you!

www.fhi.no/tema/morogbarn